## AN ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF A THEOREM OF BECKENBACH<sup>1</sup>

## EDGAR REICH

Beckenbach [1] has shown that the following analogue of Schwarz's lemma holds:

THEOREM. If f(z) is regular for |z| < 1, and

(1) 
$$I(\rho, \theta) = \int_0^{\rho} \left| f(re^{i\theta}) \right| dr \leq 1, \qquad 0 \leq \rho < 1, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi,$$

then  $I(\rho, \theta) \leq \rho$ . Equality for any  $(\rho_0, \theta_0)$ , with  $\rho_0 > 0$ , implies  $f(z) = e^{i\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha$  real.

We shall derive this theorem by using a device employed by Landau [2] for proving a theorem of Hardy.

By a change of variable of integration, (1) becomes

(2) 
$$I(\rho, \theta) = \rho \int_0^1 \left| f(\rho t e^{i\theta}) \right| dt \leq 1, \qquad 0 \leq \rho < 1, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi.$$

Consider the function

$$F(\rho, \theta, z) = z \int_0^1 f(zte^{i\theta}) \exp\left[-i \arg f(\rho te^{i\theta})\right] dt,$$
$$0 \le \rho < 1, 0 \le \theta < 2\pi, 0 \le |z| < 1.$$

For every fixed  $\rho$  and  $\theta$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ , F is an analytic function of z for |z| < 1, vanishing for z=0. Let  $\sigma = \theta + \arg z$ . Then

$$\left|F(\rho,\,\theta,\,z)\right| \leq \left|z\right| \int_{0}^{1} \left|f(zte^{i\theta})\right| dt = \left|z\right| \int_{0}^{1} \left|f(\left|z\right| te^{i\sigma})\right| dt \leq 1$$

by (2). Schwarz's lemma now implies that

$$|F(\rho, \theta, z)| \leq |z|.$$

In particular, for  $z = \rho$ , we have

$$|F(\rho, \theta, \rho)| = F(\rho, \theta, \rho) = I(\rho, \theta) \leq \rho,$$

Received by the editors October 8, 1953 and, in revised form, January 18, 1954.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The author wishes to thank Professor Beckenbach for suggesting the desirability of finding a purely complex-variable proof of this result which had previously been derived only by subharmonic function methods [1].

as was to be shown. The discussion for the case of equality in the conclusion of the theorem is straightforward.

More generally, by using a stronger form of Schwarz's lemma one can show that if in the hypothesis of the theorem one adds the statement that f(z) has a zero of order n at the origin, then one can actually conclude that  $I(\rho, \theta) \leq \rho^n$ .

## References

1. E. F. Beckenbach, A relative of the lemma of Schwarz, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 44 (1938) pp. 698-707.

2. E. Landau, Neuer Beweis eines Hardyschen Satzes, Arch. d. Math. u. Physik (3) vol. 25 (1916) pp. 173-178.

The Rand Corporation and University of California, Los Angeles