## A DEVICE FOR GENERATING FIELDS OF EVEN CLASS NUMBER

## HARVEY COHN ${ }^{1}$

The device in question is typefied by the following two theorems:
Quadratic theorem. If for integral $g(>0), m, k(>1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{k^{2}-1}{g}+g \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f=m^{2}+4$ is square-free, then the quadratic field of discriminant $f$ has even class number.

Cubic theorem. If for integral $g(>0), m, k(>1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{k^{2}-2 g-1}{g(g+1)}+g-1, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f=m^{2}+3 m+9$ is a square-free ${ }^{2}$ integer, then an abelian cubic field of discriminant $f^{2}$ has even class number.

Proof of theorems.

| Quadratic | $*$ | Cubic |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $n=2$ | $*$ | $n=3$. |

We consider a square-free number $f$ of the form

$$
f=m^{2}+4, \quad * \quad f=m^{2}+3 m+9
$$

with $m>0$, together with the equation $F(\xi)=0$, where
$F(x)=x^{2}-m x-1 . \quad * \quad F(x)=x^{3}-m x^{2}-(m+3) x-1$.
The equation has (root) discriminant

$$
\prod_{i>j}\left(\xi_{i}-\xi_{j}\right)^{2}=f^{n-1}
$$

where $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{n}$ are the roots which generate the abelian field $R(\xi)$.

[^0]The quantity $f^{n-1}$ is also the discriminant of the field $R(\xi)$, as follows from the fact that $f$ is square-free while

$$
R(\xi) \quad * \quad R(\xi, \rho),\left[\rho^{2}+\rho+1=0\right]
$$

is formed by the adjunction of the irreducible radical ${ }^{3}$

$$
f^{1 / 2} \text { to } R \quad \quad * \quad[f(m-3 \rho)]^{1 / 3} \text { to } R(\rho)
$$

As a result, the most general integer in $R(\xi)$ is given by the expres$\operatorname{sion} \lambda=A_{0}+\cdots+A_{n-1} \xi^{n-1}$ where $A_{0}, \cdots, A_{n-1}$ are rational integers. It also follows, by subtraction, that no two of the $n$ conjugates $\xi_{1}-g, \cdots, \xi_{n}-g$ can have as common factors different conjugates of a prime ideal. Thus any number of the form $\xi-g$ with square norm must generate a square ideal. We finally note, in preparation, that a unit which is totally positive (i.e., for which all three conjugates are positive) is the square of another unit in $R(\xi)$. To see this, we need only display the following $2^{n}$ units which exhibit all possible $2^{n}$ arrays of + and - signs when their $n$ conjugates are listed:

$$
+1,-1,+\xi_{1},-\xi_{1} . \quad * \quad+1,-1,+\xi_{1},-\xi_{1},+\xi_{2},-\xi_{2},+\xi_{3},-\xi_{3}
$$

In fact, in some order of listing,

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
m<\xi_{1}<m+1, & * & m+1<\xi_{1}<m+2, \\
-1<\xi_{2}<0 . & * & -1<\xi_{2}<0, \\
& * & -2<\xi_{3}<-1 .
\end{array}
$$

We consider now integers $g, k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(g)=N(g-\xi)=-k^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result leads on expansion to equations (1), (2). Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<g<m+1 ; \quad \quad * \quad 0<g<m+2 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the number, of norm $k^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\xi(\xi-g) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

must be totally positive. Thus $\xi-g$ generates the square of an ideal which could not be principal unless $\zeta$ were a perfect square. We shall now eliminate this last possibility, completing the proofs.
In the quadratic case, the equation $\left(A_{0}+A_{1} \xi\right)^{2}=\xi(\xi-g)$ leads to a triviality, all the more so in the cubic case $\left(A_{2}=0\right)$. Henceforth we

[^1]restrict ourselves to the cubic case, writing in terms of three conjugates
$$
A_{0}+A_{1} \xi_{i}+A_{2} \xi_{i}^{2}= \pm \zeta_{i}^{1 / 2} \quad(i=1,2,3)
$$
with choice of sign open. We solve for $A_{2}$, recalling that $f^{2}$ is the (root) discriminant, so that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
f A_{2}= \pm \zeta_{1}^{1 / 2}\left(\xi_{2}-\xi_{3}\right) \pm \zeta_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(\xi_{3}-\xi_{1}\right) \pm \zeta_{3}^{1 / 2}\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

We find, from equations (4) and (5) that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|\zeta_{1}\right|<(m+1)^{2}, & \left|\xi_{2}-\xi_{3}\right|<2, \\
\left|\zeta_{2}\right|<(m+1), & \left|\xi_{3}-\xi_{1}\right|<m+4, \\
\left|\zeta_{3}\right|<2 m, & \left|\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right|<m+3,
\end{array}
$$

so that from equation (6),

$$
\left|A_{2}\right| \leqq\left[2(m+1)+\left(1+2^{1 / 2}\right)(m+1)^{1 / 2}(m+4)\right] /\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)
$$

Thus if $m \geqq 12, A_{2}=0$, leading to a triviality. (The smaller $m$ can be checked by hand as the values of $g$ are limited by equation (4) and the values of $k$ by equation (2).)

Corollary. If $g \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, then the fields $R(\xi)$ referred to in the above theorems have an unramified quadratic extension produced by $[\xi(\xi-g)]^{1 / 2}$.

The proofs depend on the relation ${ }^{4} \zeta \equiv \xi^{2} \bmod 4$. We should note that with $g \equiv 0 \bmod 4$ the condition " $g(>0)$ " in the (cubic) theorems is superfluous from the integral nature of $m$, as $k^{2} \neq-1 \bmod (g+1)$.

Tabulation. The above proofs still leave unanswered the question of how effective a method we have for (say) finding cubic fields of even class number where the discriminant is $f^{2}$, for $f\left(=m^{2}+3 m+9\right)$ restricted to prime values. Under such a restriction we have a convenient basis of comparison in Mr. Peter Swinnerton-Dyer's unpublished table (abbreviated SDT) of class numbers as computed from the cyclotomic units (rather than the ideal structure). The table below lists $g, k, m, f$ and the class number $h$ (where provided by SDT) for $f<100,000, m<316, g \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. The first field of even class number that does not emerge has $f=18,913$ with $h=100$ according to SDT.

[^2]We now have another illustration of the classical observation that the composite norms (such as $-k^{2}$ ) which are so useful in determining class structures ${ }^{5}$ are more readily accessible than one would believe on the basis of known theory alone. In this case the desired norms are found from the generating polynomial, i.e., $F(g)$, without requiring the use of the full (ternary) norm expression for the general integer of the field. This convenient state of affairs, as expected, becomes dissipated as $f \rightarrow \infty$, however.

Table of unramified quadratic extensions of cubic fields of even class NUMBER AND PRIME CONDUCTOR $f=m^{2}+3 m+9<100,000$, FORMED BY ADJOINING $[\xi(\xi-g)]^{1 / 2}$, OF NORM $k$, WHERE $\xi^{3}-m \xi^{2}-(m+3) \xi-1=0$.

| $g$ | $k$ | $m$ | $f$ | $h$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 13 | 11 | 163 | 4 |
| 12 | 5 | 11 | 163 | 4 |
| 4 | 17 | 17 | 349 | 4 |
| 12 | 31 | 17 | 349 | 4 |
| 24 | 7 | 23 | 607 | 4 |
| 16 | 47 | 23 | 607 | 4 |
| 12 | 47 | 25 | 709 | 4 |
| 4 | 23 | 29 | 937 | 4 |
| 28 | 41 | 29 | 937 | 4 |
| 24 | 157 | 64 | 4,297 | 16 |
| 24 | 193 | 85 | 7,489 | $?$ |
| 4 | 43 | 95 | 9,319 | 28 |
| 84 | 293 | 95 | 9,319 | 28 |
| 40 | $11 \cdot 29$ | 101 | 10,513 | 64 |
| 60 | 499 | 127 | 16,519 | 52 |
| 72 | 557 | 130 | 17,299 | 52 |
| 24 | 257 | 133 | 18,097 | 52 |


| $g$ | $k$ | $m$ | $f$ | $h$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 136 | 18,913 | 100 |
| 72 | $13 \cdot 47$ | 142 | 20,599 | 112 |
| 4 | 53 | 143 | 20,887 | 64 |
| 28 | 307 | 143 | 20,887 | 64 |
| 64 | 577 | 143 | 20,887 | 64 |
| 124 | 557 | 143 | 20,887 | 64 |
| 144 | 17 | 143 | 20,887 | 64 |
| 36 | $7 \cdot 59$ | 163 | 27,067 | $?$ |
| 156 | 443 | 163 | 27,067 | $?$ |
| 144 | $11 \cdot 67$ | 169 | 29,077 | $?$ |
| 168 | 239 | 169 | 29,077 | $?$ |
| 88 | $5 \cdot 167$ | 176 | 31,513 | $?$ |
| 100 | $29 \cdot 31$ | 179 | 32,587 | $?$ |
| 180 | 19 | 179 | 32,587 | $?$ |
| 88 | 1,013 | 218 | 48,187 | $?$ |
| 84 | $11 \cdot 107$ | 277 | 77,569 | $?$ |
| 112 | 1,567 | 305 | 93,949 | $?$ |
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