

## ON THE LOCATION OF THE ZEROS OF CERTAIN ORTHOGONAL FUNCTIONS<sup>1</sup>

J. L. WALSH AND J. P. EVANS

Let  $R$  be a finite region of the  $z$ -plane and let  $L^2(R)$  denote the class of functions  $f(z)$  each analytic in  $R$  with  $\iint_R |f(z)|^2 dS < \infty$ . Let the points  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots$  be given interior to  $R$  and let  $\phi_n(z)$  be that function of class  $L^2(R)$  for which  $\phi_n(\beta_1) = \phi_n(\beta_2) = \dots = \phi_n(\beta_{n-1}) = 0$ ,  $\phi_n(\beta_n) = 1$ , and which minimizes  $\iint_R |\phi_n(z)|^2 dS$  over the class  $L^2(R)$ . If the points  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots$  are not all distinct these requirements of interpolation on  $\phi_n(z)$  are to be interpreted in the usual way in the theory of interpolation, to refer to the vanishing of suitable derivatives of  $\phi_n(z)$  in multiple points  $\beta_k$ .

The purpose of this note is to establish results on the location of zeros of the functions  $\phi_n(z)$ . Our main result is the

**THEOREM.** *Let  $R$  be a finite region of the  $z$ -plane which contains in its interior the points  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots$  and all limit points of the  $\beta_n$ . Then any circle which together with its interior lies in  $R$  and which contains in its interior all limit points of the  $\beta_n$ , contains in its interior no zero of  $\phi_n(z)$  (other than  $\beta_k, k=1, \dots, n-1$ ) for  $n$  sufficiently large.*

The functions  $\phi_n(z)$  were first introduced by Bergman [1] in the case that  $\beta_n$  is independent of  $n$ , and were later studied by Walsh and Davis [6] in the case that the  $\beta_n$  approach a limit. The totality of zeros of such functions were first studied by the present writers [2] in the analogous case that the double integral over  $R$  is replaced by a line integral over the boundary of  $R$ ; our theorem and other results on the totality of zeros of the  $\phi_n(z)$  are of particular significance with reference to the asymptotic properties of the functions, and to the divergence of series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \phi_n(z)$ .

The existence of the functions  $\phi_n(z)$  follows readily from the theory of normal families, and uniqueness is also easily proved [2]. We introduce the normalized functions  $\phi_n^*(z) \equiv \phi_n(z) / [\iint_R |\phi_n(z)|^2 dS]^{1/2}$ .

A rapid sketch of the ideas underlying the proof is as follows. The functions  $\phi_n^*(z)$  are bounded in norm in  $R$ , hence uniformly bounded in absolute value on any closed set interior to  $R$ . The fact that  $\phi_n^*(z)$  has  $n-1$  zeros in  $R$  but not near the boundary of  $R$  implies that

---

Received by the editors February 6, 1956.

<sup>1</sup> This research was sponsored in part by the U. S. Air Force, Office of Scientific Research of the Air Research and Development Command.

$\phi_n^*(z) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on any closed set in  $R$ . Thus the subset of  $R$  on which  $|\phi_n^*(z)|$  is not small lies near the boundary of  $R$ , and the situation is similar to that in which the given functions are defined in terms of a line integral over the boundary of  $R$ .

As a first step in the formal proof, we establish the

LEMMA. *Let  $\phi(z)$  be analytic and of modulus not exceeding the constant  $L$  for  $|z| \leq A$  and let  $\phi(\beta_k) = 0, k = n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots, n - 1$ , with  $|\beta_k| \leq A\rho, \rho < 1$ . Then in  $|z| \leq |Z| < A$  we have*

$$|\phi(z)| \leq L \left( \frac{|Z/A| + \rho}{1 + \rho|Z/A|} \right)^{n-1-n_0}.$$

If  $A = 1$  we have by the maximum principle

$$|\phi(z)| / \prod_{k=n_0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{z - \beta_k}{1 - \bar{\beta}_k z} \right| \leq L \quad \text{for } |z| \leq 1,$$

and since [3, p. 290]

$$\left| \frac{z - \beta_k}{1 - \bar{\beta}_k z} \right| \leq \frac{|Z| + \rho}{1 + \rho|Z|} < 1, \text{ for } |z| \leq |Z| < 1,$$

the result follows for this case. The conclusion of the lemma for arbitrary  $A$  follows immediately by making the transformation  $z' = z/A$ .

We now turn to the proof of the theorem. If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are any two point sets,  $d(S_1, S_2)$  shall denote the distance from  $S_1$  to  $S_2$ . The closure of  $S_1$  is denoted by  $\bar{S}_1$ . Let  $T$  be any circle (i.e. circumference) which together with its interior  $D$  lies in  $R$  such that the points  $\beta_k, k \geq n_0$ , lie in  $D$ . Since  $d(T, C) > 0$ , where  $C$  is the boundary of  $R$ , there exists a constant  $d$  such that  $d(\beta_n, T) \geq d > 0$  when  $n \geq n_0$ . We now choose a circle  $T_1$  contained in  $R$  and containing  $T$  in its interior; we consider the integral  $\iint_{R-\bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 (z-\alpha)^{-1} dS$ , where  $D_1$  is the interior of  $T_1$  and  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary point in  $D$ . We can interpret the conjugate of this integral as the force at  $z = \alpha$  due to a spread of non-negative matter over  $R - \bar{D}_1$  which repels according to the law of inverse distance. Since the set  $R - \bar{D}_1$  lies exterior to  $T$  and  $\alpha$  is interior to  $T$ , this force is equal to the force at  $\alpha$  due to the same total mass concentrated at a suitable point  $\beta'_n$  exterior to  $T$  [4, pp. 13, 247]:

$$(1) \quad \iint_{R-\bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 \frac{dS}{z - \alpha} = \iint_{R-\bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS / (\beta'_n - \alpha).$$

We shall now show that the assumption  $\phi_n^*(\alpha) = 0$  ( $\alpha \neq \beta_k$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) implies that the point  $\beta_n'$  cannot lie exterior to  $T$  when  $n$  is sufficiently large, and we are thus led to a contradiction. If  $\phi_n^*(\alpha) = 0$  the function  $(z - \beta_n)\phi_n^*(z)/(z - \alpha)$  when suitably defined at  $z = \alpha$  is of class  $L^2(R)$  and vanishes in the points  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  and hence (compare [5]) is orthogonal to  $\phi_n^*(z)$ . That is to say,

$$\int \int_R \frac{z - \beta_n}{z - \alpha} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad \int \int_R |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 \frac{dS}{z - \alpha} = \int \int_R |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS / (\beta_n - \alpha) = \frac{1}{\beta_n - \alpha}.$$

We next show that as a consequence of (1), (2), and the lemma we have for given  $\eta$  ( $> 0$ ) and for all  $n$  sufficiently large

$$(3) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\beta_n' - \alpha} \right| < \frac{2}{d(T, T_1)},$$

$$(4) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\beta_n - \alpha} - \frac{1}{\beta_n' - \alpha} \right| < \eta.$$

Let  $T_2$  be a circle concentric with  $T_1$  which together with its interior lies in  $R$  and which contains  $T_1$  in its interior. Since  $\int \int_R |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS = 1$  there exists [3, p. 96] a constant  $L$  depending on  $T_2$  but not on  $\phi_n^*(z)$  such that  $|\phi_n^*(z)| \leq L$  for  $z$  on and interior to  $T_2$ . We let  $T_2$  play the rôle of the circle  $|z| = A$  in the lemma. It then follows from the lemma that when  $n > n_0 + 1$  there exists a positive constant  $r$  ( $< 1$ ) independent of  $n$  and of  $z$  on  $\bar{D}_1$  such that

$$(5) \quad |\phi_n^*(z)| \leq Lr^{n-1-n_0}, \quad z \text{ on } \bar{D}_1.$$

The finite region  $R$  is contained in a circle of radius  $M$  and by (5) there exists an  $n_1$  ( $> n_0 + 1$ ) such that when  $n \geq n_1$  we have  $|\phi_n^*(z)|^2 < 1/2\pi M^2$ ,  $z$  in  $\bar{D}_1$ . Thus when  $n \geq n_1$  we have

$$\left| \int \int_{R - \bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS - 1 \right| = \left| \int \int_{R - \bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS - \int \int_R |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS \right|$$

$$= \left| \int \int_{D_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS \right| < \frac{1}{2},$$

or

$$\left| \int \int_{R - \bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS \right| > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{when } n \geq n_1.$$

Also

$$\left| \iint_{R-\bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 \frac{dS}{z-\alpha} \right| \leq \frac{1}{d(T, T_1)} \iint_R |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS = \frac{1}{d(T, T_1)}$$

for all  $n$  and all  $\alpha$  interior to  $T$ . Hence, from this last inequality and from (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\beta_n' - \alpha} \right| &= \left| \iint_{R-\bar{D}_1} [|\phi_n^*(z)|^2 / (z-\alpha)] dS \right| / \left| \iint_{R-\bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS \right| \\ &< \frac{2}{d(T, T_1)}, \end{aligned} \quad n \geq n_1,$$

and (3) is established.

By virtue of (5) there exists an  $n_2 (> n_0 + 1)$  such that when  $n \geq n_2$

$$(6) \quad |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 < \frac{\eta d(T, T_1)}{4\pi M^2}, \quad z \text{ in } \bar{D}_1.$$

Thus, for  $z$  on  $T_1$  and hence for  $z$  in  $D_1$  we also have ( $n \geq n_2$ ) by (6)

$$(7) \quad \frac{|\phi_n^*(z)|^2}{|z-\alpha|} < \frac{\eta}{4\pi M^2},$$

since the function  $\phi_n^*(z)/(z-\alpha)$  is analytic in  $\bar{D}_1$  (when suitably defined for  $z=\alpha$ ). Then, by (6) for  $n \geq n_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 - \iint_{R-\bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS \right| &= \left| \iint_R |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS - \iint_{R-\bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS \right| \\ &= \left| \iint_{D_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS \right| < \frac{\eta d(T, T_1)}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and by (7) for  $n \geq n_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\beta_n - \alpha} - \iint_{R-\bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 \frac{dS}{z-\alpha} \right| \\ &= \left| \iint_R |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 \frac{dS}{z-\alpha} - \iint_{R-\bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 \frac{dS}{z-\alpha} \right| \\ &= \left| \iint_{D_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 \frac{dS}{z-\alpha} \right| < \frac{\eta}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, when  $n \geq \max(n_1, n_2)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\beta_n - \alpha} - \frac{1}{\beta'_n - \alpha} \right| &= \left| \left[ \frac{1}{\beta_n - \alpha} - \frac{1}{\beta'_n - \alpha} \int \int_{R-\bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\beta'_n - \alpha} \left[ 1 - \int \int_{R-\bar{D}_1} |\phi_n^*(z)|^2 dS \right] \right| \\ &< \frac{\eta}{4} + \frac{\eta d(T, T')}{4} \cdot \frac{2}{d(T, T')} < \eta, \end{aligned}$$

and (4) is established.

We are now in a position to show that  $\beta'_n$  cannot lie exterior to  $T$ . If in (4) we set  $\eta = 1/d(T, T_1)$ , it follows from (3) and (4) that for  $n$  sufficiently large (and independent of  $\alpha$  in  $D$ ) we have  $1/|\beta_n - \alpha| < 3/d(T, T_1)$ . Since  $1/2M < 1/|\beta_n - \alpha|$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large and for all  $\alpha$  in  $D$ , we have by setting  $\eta = 1/4M$  in (4) that  $1/4M < 1/|\beta'_n - \alpha|$  for  $n$  sufficiently large and for all  $\alpha$  in  $D$ . Thus for all  $n$  sufficiently large we have

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{4M} < \frac{1}{|\beta_n - \alpha|} < \frac{3}{d(T, T_1)}, \quad \frac{1}{4M} < \frac{1}{|\beta'_n - \alpha|} < \frac{3}{d(T, T_1)}.$$

Since the function  $f(Z) \equiv 1/Z$  is uniformly continuous on any closed bounded set  $B$  of the  $Z$ -plane not containing  $Z=0$ , there corresponds to arbitrary  $\epsilon (>0)$  a  $\delta (>0)$  such that for all  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  on  $B$  with  $|Z_1 - Z_2| < \delta$  we have  $|1/Z_1 - 1/Z_2| < \epsilon$ . We now choose  $B$  as the set  $1/4M \leq |Z| \leq 3/d(T, T_1)$  with  $\epsilon = \delta \leq d(\beta_n, T)$ . In (4) we set  $\eta = \delta$ , whence it follows that there exists an  $n_3$  such that when  $n \geq n_3$  we have  $|1/(\beta_n - \alpha) - 1/(\beta'_n - \alpha)| < \delta$  and such that (8) is valid. Then with  $Z_1 = 1/(\beta_n - \alpha)$ ,  $Z_2 = 1/(\beta'_n - \alpha)$  when  $n \geq n_3$  we have  $|(\beta_n - \alpha) - (\beta'_n - \alpha)| = |\beta_n - \beta'_n| < \delta \leq d(\beta_n, T)$  and hence the points  $\beta'_n$  cannot lie exterior to  $T$  when  $n \geq n_3$ . Since the choice of  $n_3$  is independent of  $\alpha$  interior to  $T$ , this contradiction completes the proof of the theorem.

The application of this theorem in the study of asymptotic properties of the  $\phi_n^*(z)$  and in the study of divergence of series  $\sum_1^\infty a_n \phi_n^*(z)$  is wholly analogous to the treatment previously given [2] and is left to the reader.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. S. Bergman, *The kernel function and conformal mapping*, Mathematical Surveys, no. 5, American Mathematical Society, 1950.
2. J. P. Evans and J. L. Walsh, *On interpolation to a given analytic function by analytic functions of minimum norm*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 79 (1955) pp. 158-172.

3. J. L. Walsh, *Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 20, 1935.

4. ———, *The location of critical points of analytic and harmonic functions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 34, 1950.

5. ———, *An interpolation problem for harmonic functions*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 76 (1954) pp. 259–272. Also *Determination d'une fonction analytique par ses valeurs données dans une infinité dénombrable de points*, Bull. Soc. Math. de Belgique (1955) pp. 52–70.

6. J. L. Walsh and Philip Davis, *Interpolation and orthonormal systems*, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique vol. 2 (1952) pp. 1–28.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY AND  
SMITH COLLEGE

---

## PARTIALLY BOUNDED CONTINUED FRACTIONS

H. S. WALL

For each complex number sequence  $a$ ,  $f(a)$  denotes the continued fraction

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{a_1}{1 + \frac{a_2}{1 + \frac{a_3}{1 + \dots}}}}$$

The statement that  $f(a)$  is *partially bounded*<sup>1</sup> means that the sequence  $a$  has a bounded infinite subsequence. If  $f(a)$  is partially bounded, the series  $\sum |b_p|$  diverges, where  $b_1 = 1$ ,  $a_p = 1/b_p b_{p+1}$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ , —a necessary condition for convergence of  $f(a)$ .

Any continued fraction  $f(a)$  such that  $\sum |b_p|$  diverges is convergent provided its even and odd parts are absolutely convergent,<sup>2</sup> i.e. provided the series  $\sum |f_{2p+2} - f_{2p}|$  and  $\sum |f_{2p+1} - f_{2p-1}|$  are convergent, where  $\{f_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  is the sequence of approximants. The *simple* convergence of the even and odd parts of  $f(a)$ , together with the divergence of  $\sum |b_p|$ , is *not* sufficient for the convergence of  $f(a)$ , (Theorem 3). However, the simple convergence of the even and odd parts of the partially bounded continued fraction  $f(a)$  is sufficient for the convergence of  $f(a)$ . In fact, we have this theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose there is a positive integer  $k$  such that the sub-*

---

Presented to the Society, December 27, 1955; received by the editors October 27, 1955 and, in revised form, December 27, 1955.

<sup>1</sup>  $f(a)$  is called *bounded* if the sequence  $a$  is bounded—a condition equivalent to the boundedness of a certain infinite matrix. Cf. H. S. Wall, *Analytic theory of continued fractions*, 1948, p. 110. (Referred to later on as AT.)

<sup>2</sup> Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 67 (1949) pp. 368–380.