

## ON $n$ -METACALORIC FUNCTIONS

AVNER FRIEDMAN

**Introduction.** Some theorems on polyharmonic functions can be generalized to  $n$ -metaharmonic functions, that is functions  $u(x)$  ( $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ ) satisfying equations of the form  $p(\Delta)u(x) = 0$ , where  $p(t)$  is a polynomial in  $t$  of degree  $n$  and  $\Delta = \sum \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2$ . We especially refer to [2] and [4].

In a recent paper, [5], Nicolesco has developed the theory of  $n$ -caloric functions, that is functions satisfying the parabolic equation  $\Omega^n u(x, t) = 0$ , where  $\Omega u = \Delta u - \partial / \partial t$ .

The aim of this paper is to generalize some of the theorems on  $n$ -caloric functions to  $n$ -metacaloric functions, that is to functions satisfying  $p(\Omega)u(x, t) = 0$ . Our results are analogous to the results of Ghermanesco [4] and the author [2], in the theory of  $p(\Delta)u(x) = 0$ . For the sake of simplicity we take  $N = 1$ ,  $\Delta = \partial^2 / \partial x^2$ , but all the results hold for the general case  $\Delta = \sum \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2$ .

By a solution of  $p(\Omega)u(x, t) = 0$ , we shall always mean a function which possesses all the derivatives which appear in  $p(\Omega)$  and which satisfies  $p(\Omega)u(x, t) = 0$ .

1. **THEOREM 1.** *Let  $p(z)$  be the polynomial  $\prod_{i=1}^k (z - \alpha_i)^{n_i}$  and consider the equation*

$$(1) \quad p(\Omega)u(x, t) = 0$$

*in a domain  $D$ . Then the general solution of (1) has the form*

$$(2) \quad u(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} t^j u_j^{\alpha_i}(x, t),$$

*where  $u_j^{\alpha_i}(x, t)$  are solutions in  $D$  of  $(\Omega - \alpha_i)u(x, t) = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** It can easily be proved by induction that if  $v(x, t)$  satisfies  $(\Omega - \alpha)v(x, t) = 0$ , then

$$(\Omega - \alpha)^k (t^k v(x, t)) = (-1)^k k! v(x, t).$$

From this remark it follows that the  $u$  given by (2) satisfies (1). To prove the converse, consider first the case of  $p(\Omega) = (\Omega - \alpha)^n$ . The proof is by induction on  $n$ . It is sufficient to show that if  $u$  satisfies  $(\Omega - \alpha)^n$

---

Received by the editors April 2, 1956 and, in revised form, September 4, 1956.

$u = 0$ , then there exists a function  $v(x, t)$  satisfying

$$(3) \quad (\Omega - \alpha)v = 0, \quad (\Omega - \alpha)^{n-1}(t^{n-1}v) = (\Omega - \alpha)^{n-1}u.$$

For then we write  $u = t^{n-1}v + (u - t^{n-1}v)$  and use the inductive assumption. By the remark made at the beginning of the proof it is obvious that the function

$$v(x, t) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\Omega - \alpha)^{n-1}u(x, t)$$

satisfies (3).

Having proved the theorem for  $p(\Omega) = (\Omega - \alpha)^n$ , we can prove the general case of  $p(\Omega) = \prod_{i=1}^k (\Omega - \alpha_i)^{n_i}$  by induction on  $k$ , in exactly the same way as in the case of  $p(\Delta)$  (see [2]).

REMARK. That the representation (2) is unique, is easily seen by applying  $\prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^k (\Omega - \alpha_i)^{n_i} (\Omega - \alpha_j)^m$   $m = n_j - 1, \dots, 1, 0$  to both sides of (2).

COROLLARY. *The solutions  $u(x, t)$  of  $p(\Omega)u = 0$  have the following properties:*

- (a)  $u(x, t)$  is infinitely differentiable in  $(x, t)$ ,
- (b) for every  $t_0$ ,  $u(x, t_0)$  is analytic in  $x$ , and
- (c) for every  $x_0$ ,  $u(x_0, t)$  belongs to the second class of Holmgren (see [3]).

The corollary follows from Theorem 1 and the fact that metacaloric functions possess the properties (a), (b), (c).

2. LEMMA 1. *Let  $u(x, t)$  be a solution of  $(\Omega - \alpha)u(x, t) = 0$  in the strip  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$  and*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq Me^{Kx^2} \quad (t_1 \leq t \leq t_2).$$

*Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $M' = M'(\epsilon, N, M)$  such that for  $t$ ,  $t_1 + \epsilon \leq t \leq t_2$ ,*

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k u(x, t)}{\partial x^{k-i} \partial t^i} \right| \leq M' e^{2Kx^2} \quad (0 \leq j \leq k, 0 \leq k \leq N).$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove that for every  $t_0$ ,  $t_1 \leq t_0 < t_2$  and for every  $t$  which satisfies  $t_0 + \epsilon \leq t \leq t_0 + 1/8K - \epsilon$ ,  $t \leq t_2$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k u(x, t)}{\partial x^{k-i} \partial t^i} \right| \leq M' e^{2Kx^2} \quad (0 \leq j \leq k, 0 \leq k \leq N)$$

where  $M'$  depends on  $\epsilon, N, M$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume  $t_0=0$ . Consider the function

$$v(x, t) = \frac{e^{-\alpha t}}{2(\pi t)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-\xi)^2/4t} u(\xi, 0) d\xi$$

and apply to it the operator  $\partial^k/\partial x^{k-i}\partial t^i$ . Substituting  $x-\xi = -2t^{1/2}s$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial^k v(x, t)}{\partial x^{k-i}\partial t^i} \right| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^{k-i}\partial t^i} \left( \frac{e^{-\alpha t} e^{-(x-\xi)^2/4t}}{2(\pi t)^{1/2}} \right) \right| M e^{K(x+2t^{1/2}s)^2} ds \\ &\leq M_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s^{2N} e^{-s^2} e^{2K(x^2+4ts^2)} ds \leq M' e^{2Kx^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that  $v(x, t) = u(x, t)$ . Since the function  $w = u - v$  satisfies

$$w(x, 0) = 0, \quad (\Omega - \alpha)w(x, t) = 0, \quad |w(x, t)| \leq (M + M')e^{2Kx^2},$$

it follows from [1] that  $w(x, t) \equiv 0$ .

3. THEOREM 2. Let  $u(x, t)$  be a solution of  $(\Omega - \alpha)u = 0$  in the strip  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$  and  $|u(x, t)| \leq M e^{Kx^2}$  ( $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ ). Then for every  $h, t$  which satisfy  $t_1 < t \leq t_2, t_1 < t - h, 0 < h < 1/8K$ , the following mean-value formula holds:

$$(5) \quad \mu(u; h) \equiv \frac{1}{2(\pi h)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-\xi)^2/4h} u(\xi, t - h) d\xi = e^{\alpha h} u(x, t).$$

PROOF. Let  $\phi(h) = 2(\pi h)^{1/2} \mu(u; h)$ . Using Lemma 1 and integrating by parts, we have

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial h} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-\xi)^2/4h} \left[ \Omega(u\xi, t - h) + \frac{1}{2h} u(\xi, t - h) \right] d\xi = \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2h} \right) \phi,$$

or  $\phi(h) = ch^{1/2} e^{\alpha h}$ . To find  $c$ , observe that  $\mu(u; h) = u(x, t) + o(1)$  ( $h \rightarrow 0$ ), so that  $c = 2(\pi)^{1/2} u(x, t)$  and we have proved (5).

4. DEFINITION. A function  $u(x, t)$  defined in a strip  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ , is said to be of type  $(K, n)$  (we suppose  $K \neq 0$ ), if  $\Omega^k u(x, t)$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) exist and satisfy

$$|\Omega^k u(x, t)| \leq M e^{Kx^2} \quad (t_1 \leq t \leq t_2, k = 0, 1, \dots, n),$$

and of type  $(0, n)$ , if for every positive  $\epsilon$  it is of type  $(\epsilon, n)$ .

THEOREM 3. Let  $u(x, t)$  be a solution of  $p(\Omega)u = 0$ , where  $p(z)$

$= \prod_{i=1}^k (z - \alpha_i)^{n_i}$  ( $\sum n_i = n$ ), and suppose  $u$  to be of type  $(K, n - 1)$  in the strip  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ . Then, for every  $t, h$  which satisfy

$$(6) \quad t_1 < t \leq t_2, \quad t_1 < t - h, \quad 0 < h < 1/8K,$$

$$\mu(u; h) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} (t - h)^j e^{\alpha_i h} u_j^{\alpha_i}(x, t).$$

PROOF. Since  $u(x, t)$  is of type  $(K, n - 1)$ , for every polynomial  $q(z)$  of degree  $\leq n - 1$ ,  $|q(\Omega)u(x, t)| \leq Me^{Kx^2}$ . Choosing  $q(z) = \prod_{i=1}^k (z - \alpha_i)^{n_i} (z - \alpha_j)^m$ ,  $m = n_j - 1, n_j - 2, \dots, 0$  we can easily see that each  $u_j^{\alpha_i}(x, t)$  in (2) is of type  $(K, 0)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(u; h) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{1}{2(\pi h)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-\xi)^2/4h} (t - h)^j u_j^{\alpha_i}(\xi, t - h) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} (t - h)^j \mu(u_j^{\alpha_i}; h) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} (t - h)^j e^{\alpha_i h} u_j^{\alpha_i}(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

5. We now prove a generalization of Liouville's Theorem:

THEOREM 4. Let  $p(z)$  be a polynomial of the form  $\prod_{i=1}^k (z - \alpha_i)^{n_i}$  ( $\sum n_i = n$ ) with  $\text{Re} \{ \alpha_i \} \geq 0$ , and let  $u(x, t)$  satisfy  $p(\Omega)u(x, t) = 0$  in the strip  $-\infty < t \leq t_2$ . Suppose  $u(x, t)$  to be of the type  $(0, n - 1)$  in every strip  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ . If  $u(x, t)$  is bounded and if

$$(7) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mu(u; h) \text{ exists,}$$

then  $u(x, t) \equiv \text{const.}$

PROOF. Using Theorem 1 with  $D: -\infty < t \leq t_2$ , we derive equation (6) for all positive  $h$ . Write (6) in the form

$$(8) \quad \mu(u; h) = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(x, t) \phi_i(h).$$

Letting  $h \rightarrow \infty$  and comparing the behaviour at infinity of the functions  $\phi_i(h)$ , we conclude that

$$(9) \quad \mu(u; h) = \sum_{\text{Re}\{\alpha_i\}=0; \alpha_i \neq 0} e^{\alpha_i h} u_0^{\alpha_i}(x, t) + u_0^0(x, t).$$

The sum on the right-hand side in (9) is an almost periodic function in the sense of Bohr, and since its limit (as  $h \rightarrow \infty$ ) exists, it must vanish. We obtain

$$\mu(u; h) = u_0^0(x, t).$$

Noting that  $\mu(u; h) = u(x, t) + o(1)$  ( $h \rightarrow 0$ ), we conclude

$$(10) \quad \mu(u; h) = u(x, t).$$

By [5],  $\mu(u; h) = u(x, t) + h\Omega u(x, t) + o(h)$  ( $h \rightarrow 0$ ) and together with (10),  $\Omega u(x, t) = 0$ . Since for caloric functions Liouville's Theorem is true (see [5]), the proof is completed.

REMARK. From the proof it follows that in case that either  $\text{Re} \{ \alpha_i \} > 0$  or  $\alpha_i = 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ), the assumption (7) is superfluous.

6. In this section we prove that equation (8) characterizes the  $n$ -metacaloric functions.

THEOREM 5. Let  $u(x, t)$  be defined in the strip  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$  and possess second-order continuous derivatives which satisfy

$$\left| u(x, t) \right|, \quad \left| \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} \right|, \quad \left| \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x} \right|, \quad \left| \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \right| \leq M e^{Kx^2}.$$

Then for every  $t, h$  which satisfy

$$(11) \quad t \leq t_2, \quad t_1 \leq t - h < t_2 \quad 0 < h < \frac{1}{4K},$$

$$\Omega \mu(u; h) = \frac{\partial \mu(u; h)}{\partial h}.$$

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 3,

$$\frac{\partial(2(\pi h)^{1/2} \mu)}{\partial h} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-\xi)^2/4h} \left( \Omega u + \frac{1}{2h} u \right) d\xi.$$

Since on the other hand

$$\frac{\partial(2(\pi h)^{1/2} \mu)}{\partial h} = 2(\pi h)^{1/2} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial h} + \frac{2\pi^{1/2}}{2h^{1/2}} \mu,$$

we conclude

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial h} = \frac{1}{2(\pi h)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-\xi)^2/4h} \Omega u(\xi, t - h) d\xi = \Omega \mu.$$

Suppose that each  $\Omega^k u(x, t)$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ ) satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5. We then have

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega^k \mu(u; h) &= \frac{1}{2(\pi h)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-\xi)^2/4h} \Omega^k u(\xi, t - h) d\xi \\ &= \Omega^k u(x, t) + o(1) \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n; h \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $u(x, t)$  satisfies (8) with  $n$  times differentiable  $\phi_i(h)$ . Then by Theorem 5

$$\Omega^k \mu = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(x, t) \frac{d^k \phi_i(h)}{dh^k} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

Since this system has a nontrivial solution, we conclude that

$$(13) \quad \begin{vmatrix} \mu(u; h) & \phi_1(h) & \dots & \phi_n(h) \\ \Omega \mu(u; h) & \phi_1'(h) & \dots & \phi_n'(h) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \Omega^n \mu(u; h) & \phi_1^{(n)}(h) & \dots & \phi_n^{(n)}(h) \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Taking  $h \rightarrow 0$  and using (12) it follows that  $u$  is  $n$ -metacaloric. Hence

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $u(x, t)$  is  $n$ -metacaloric and of type  $(K, n-1)$  in the strip  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ , then*

$$\mu(u; h) = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(x, t) \phi_i(h) \quad (t_1 < t - h, t < t_2, 0 < h < 1/8K),$$

$\phi_i(h)$  are analytic in  $h$  and  $F_i(x, t)$  are indefinitely differentiable in  $(x, t)$  and analytic in  $x$ . Conversely, if

$$(14) \quad \left| \Omega^k u(x, t) \right|, \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Omega^k u(x, t) \right|, \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Omega^k u(x, t) \right|, \\ \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Omega^k u(x, t) \right| \leq M e^{Kx^2} \quad (k = 0, \dots, n-1)$$

and if (8) holds with  $n$  times differentiable  $\phi_i(h)$  and for  $h$  sufficiently small, then  $u(x, t)$  is  $n$ -metacaloric and of type  $(K, n-1)$ .

**7. LEMMA 2.** *Let  $u(x, t)$  be  $n$ -metacaloric and of type  $(K, n-1)$  in the strip  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ . Then (14) holds in any interval  $t_1 + \epsilon \leq t \leq t_2$  and with  $2K$  in place of  $K$ .*

**PROOF.** Write  $u$  in the form (2). As in the proof of Theorem 3, each  $u_j^{a_i}$  is of type  $(K, 0)$ . Apply Lemma 1 to  $u_j^{a_i}$ .

Suppose  $u(x, t)$  to be  $n$ -metacaloric and of type  $(K, n-1)$ . Using Lemma 2, we have

$$\Omega^k \mu(u; h) = \frac{1}{2(\pi h)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-\xi)^2/4h} \Omega^k u(\xi, t-h) d\xi.$$

Since  $p(\Omega)u=0$ , we also have  $p(\Omega)\mu(u; h)=0$ . By Theorem 5 we get

$$(15) \quad p\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\right)\mu(u; h) = 0.$$

Conversely, if  $\mu(u; h)$  satisfies (15) and  $u$  satisfies (14), then by Theorem 5 and (15) we get  $p(\Omega)\mu(u; h) = 0$ . Taking  $h \rightarrow 0$ , we conclude that  $p(\Omega)u(x, t) = 0$ . We have proved:

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $u(x, t)$  satisfies  $p(\Omega)u = 0$  and if it is of type  $(K, n - 1)$ , then*

$$(16) \quad p(\partial/\partial h)\mu(u; h) = 0 \quad (t_1 < t - h < t_2, 0 < h < 1/8K).$$

Conversely, from (14) and (16) follows  $p(\Omega)u = 0$ .

8. We shall derive a special form of equation (8). From (6) it is clear that the  $\phi_i(h)$  are independent solutions of  $p(\partial/\partial h)\phi(h) = 0$ , therefore their Wronskian is different from zero. Applying  $\Omega^k$  to both sides of (8) and letting  $h \rightarrow 0$ , we get the system

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(u; h) &= \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(x, t)\phi_i(h), \\ \Omega^k u(x, t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(x, t) \frac{d^k \phi_i(0)}{dh^k} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1), \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mu(u; h) & \phi_1(h) & \cdots & \phi_n(h) \\ u(x, t) & \phi_1(0) & \cdots & \phi_n(0) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \Omega^{n-1} u(x, t) & \phi_1^{(n-1)}(0) & \cdots & \phi_n^{(n-1)}(0) \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

or

$$\mu(u; h) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k(h)\Omega^k u(x, t),$$

where  $c_k(h)$  are linear combinations of expressions  $h^i e^{\alpha_i h}$ .

REFERENCES

1. C. L. Eidelman, *Estimates of solutions of parabolic systems and some applications*, Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S. vol. 33 (1953) pp. 359-382.
2. A. Friedman, *On n-metaharmonic functions and harmonic functions of infinite order*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 8 (1957) pp. 223-229.
3. E. Goursat, *Cours d'analyse*, vol. 3, Paris, 1923.
4. M. Ghermanesco, *Sur les moyennes successives des fonctions*, Bull. Soc. Math. France vol. 62 (1934) pp. 245-264.
5. M. Nicolesco, *L'equation iteree de la chaleur*, Studii Cercetari Math. vol. 5 (1954) pp. 243-332.