

THE EXISTENCE OF OUTER AUTOMORPHISMS OF SOME GROUPS, II

RIMHAK REE

Developing the idea used in [3], we prove a few results concerning the "size" of the groups of automorphisms of some nilpotent groups.

THEOREM 1. *If G is a finite p -group every element of which satisfies the equation $x^p = e$ (the unit element of G), and if G is of order greater than p^2 , then the order of G divides the order of the group of automorphisms of G .*

PROOF. First we consider the case where G is not abelian. Evidently there exists a normal subgroup N of G which contains the center Z of G such that G/N is cyclic of order p . Let a be an element in G such that aN generates the group G/N , and let Z_N be the center of N . Clearly Z_N is a normal subgroup of G , and $Z \leq Z_N$. The mapping $\phi: Z_N \rightarrow Z_N$ defined by $\phi(x) = [x, a] = xax^{-1}a^{-1}$ is easily seen to be a homomorphism into. Denote by $\phi(Z_N)$ and K the image and the kernel of ϕ respectively. Since $Z_N/K \simeq \phi(Z_N)$ and $Z \leq K$, we have

$$(1) \quad (Z:1) \mid (Z_N:\phi(Z_N)).$$

Denote now by \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{I} the group of automorphisms of G and the group of inner automorphisms of G respectively. For any $x \in Z_N$ define a mapping $\sigma(x): G \rightarrow G$ by

$$(a^r u)^{\sigma(x)} = (ax)^r u,$$

where $u \in N$, and r is an integer modulo p . We shall show that $\sigma(x)$ is an automorphism of G . We have, for $u, v \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a^r u a^s v)^{\sigma(x)} &= (a^{r+s} [a^{-s}, u] uv)^{\sigma(x)} = (ax)^{r+s} [a^{-s}, u] uv, \\ (a^r u)^{\sigma(x)} (a^s v)^{\sigma(x)} &= (ax)^r u (ax)^s v = (ax)^{r+s} [(ax)^{-s}, u] uv. \end{aligned}$$

Since, however, $(ax)^{-s} = a^{-s} x'$ with $x' \in Z_N$, we have $[(ax)^{-s}, u] = [a^{-s}, u]$. It follows that $(a^r u a^s v)^{\sigma(x)} = (a^r u)^{\sigma(x)} (a^s v)^{\sigma(x)}$. If $(a^r u)^{\sigma(x)} = (ax)^r u = e$ then $a^r x' u = e$. Hence $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $u = e$, and $a^r u = e$. Now any element in G is clearly an image under the mapping $\sigma(x)$. Therefore $\sigma(x)$ is an automorphism of G . Since $(a^{\sigma(x)})^{\sigma(y)} = (ax)^{\sigma(y)} = ayx = a^{\sigma(yx)}$ for any $x, y \in Z_N$ and since $x = e$ if $\sigma(x)$ is the identity automorphism of G , it follows that the mapping $\sigma: Z_N \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is an isomorphism into. We shall show that

Received by the editors, March 5, 1957.

$$(2) \quad \sigma(Z_N) \cap \mathfrak{Z} = \sigma(\phi(Z_N)).$$

Indeed, if $\sigma(x)$ is an inner automorphism induced by $y = ar u \in G$, then $y = y^{\sigma(x)} = (ax)^r u$ and hence $(ax)^r = ar$. If $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then $ax = a$, $x = e$, and hence $\sigma(x) \in \sigma(\phi(Z_N))$. If $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then $y \in N$, and since $v^{\sigma(x)} = yvy^{-1} = v$ for all $v \in N$, we have $y \in Z_N$. Now $ax = a^{\sigma(x)} = yay^{-1}$, $x = \phi(a^{-1}ya)$, and hence $\sigma(x) \in \sigma(\phi(Z_N))$ and, for any x in Z_N , $\sigma(\phi(x))$ is the inner automorphism induced by axa^{-1} . Hence (2) is proved. From (2) we have

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(Z_N)\mathfrak{Z}/\mathfrak{Z} &\simeq \sigma(Z_N)/(\sigma(Z_N) \cap \mathfrak{Z}) \\ &= \sigma(Z_N)/\sigma(\phi(Z_N)) && \text{[by (2)]} \\ &\simeq Z_N/\phi(Z_N), \end{aligned}$$

since σ is an isomorphism into. Since $(\sigma(Z_N) : \mathfrak{Z})$ divides $(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{Z})$, from (1) we have $(Z : 1) \mid (\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{Z})$. Hence $(G : 1) = (G : Z)(Z : 1) = (\mathfrak{Z} : 1)(Z : 1)$ divides $(\mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{Z})(\mathfrak{Z} : 1) = (\mathfrak{A} : 1)$.

Now consider the case where G is abelian. The order of \mathfrak{A} for this case is well-known [5, p. 112]. It is equal to $(p^d - 1)(p^d - p) \cdots (p^d - p^{d-1})$, where p^d denotes the order of G . Since $d \geq 3$, the theorem follows for G abelian. The proof of Theorem 1 is thus complete.

Now let G be a finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group. Following [2] we define an F -series of G as a finite series $G = F_1 > F_2 > \cdots > F_d > F_{d+1} = \{e\}$ of normal subgroups F_i of G such that $[G, F_i] \leq F_{i+1}$ for all i and such that the factor groups F_i/F_{i+1} are infinite cyclic. It is known [2] that the group G always possesses an F -series and that the length d of any F -series of G is an invariant of G , called the *dimension* of G . Any d elements f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d in G , where $d = \dim G$, are said to form an F -basis if the series $G = F_1 > F_2 > \cdots > F_d > \{e\}$, where F_i is the subgroup generated by f_i, \dots, f_d , is an F -series of G . Given any F -basis f_1, \dots, f_d , every element a in G is written uniquely as $a = f_1^{r_1} f_2^{r_2} \cdots f_d^{r_d}$, where r_1, r_2, \dots, r_d are integers. Thus G becomes a linearly ordered group if we order elements in G lexicographically with respect to r_1, r_2, \dots, r_d . (It was proved in [4] that every linear ordering of G which makes G an ordered group is obtained in this way.) We shall call a linear ordering of G obtained in the above manner *regular* if the group F_2 generated by f_2, \dots, f_d contains the center of G , or if G is abelian.

THEOREM 2. *Let G be a finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group and let $<$ be a linear ordering in G by which G becomes an ordered group. Then the group \mathfrak{A} of automorphisms of G which preserve the ordering $<$ is a finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group. If the ordering $<$ is regular and if $\dim G > 2$, then $\dim \mathfrak{A} \geq \dim G$.*

PROOF. It was shown in [4] that $<$ can be obtained from an F -basis f_1, \dots, f_d of G . Denote by F_i the group generated by f_i, \dots, f_d . It is clear that the set-theoretic differences $F_i - F_{i+1}$, $i=1, 2, \dots, d-1$, can be characterized as C -classes in the sense of [4], i.e., classes of comparable elements with respect to the ordering $<$. Since G has only a finite number of C -classes it follows easily that every C -class $F_i - F_{i+1}$ and hence every F_i is invariant under all automorphisms σ of G which preserve $<$. We infer that for every i , f_i^σ is of the form $f_i^\sigma = f_i f_{i+1}^a \dots f_d^k$, where a, \dots, k are integers. In particular, $f_d^\sigma = f_d$.

Denote by \mathfrak{A}_t , $t=1, 2, \dots$, the subgroup of \mathfrak{A} consisting of all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $f_i^\sigma \equiv f_i \pmod{F_{i+t}}$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, d$ (we set $F_{d+1} = F_{d+2} = \dots = \{e\}$). Then what we have shown above can be expressed as $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_1$, and obviously we have $\mathfrak{A}_d = 1$. We shall now show that $[\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_t] \leq \mathfrak{A}_{t+1}$ for all t , and that the factor groups $\mathfrak{A}_t/\mathfrak{A}_{t+1}$ are free abelian groups of finite ranks. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_t$, $\rho \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then for any fixed i we have $f_i^\sigma \equiv f_i x$, $f_i^\rho \equiv f_i y \pmod{F_{i+t+1}}$, where $x \in F_{i+t}$, $y \in F_{i+t}$. Since $x^\sigma \equiv x^\rho \equiv x$, $y^\sigma \equiv y \pmod{F_{i+t+1}}$, we have easily

$$f_i^{[\sigma, \rho]} \equiv f_i y^{-1} x^{-1} y x \pmod{F_{i+t+1}}.$$

But from $[G, F_{i+t}] \leq F_{i+t+1}$ we have $y^{-1} x^{-1} y x \in F_{i+t+1}$. Hence $f_i^{[\sigma, \rho]} \equiv f_i \pmod{F_{i+t+1}}$ for all i . This proves $[\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_t] \leq \mathfrak{A}_{t+1}$. In order to prove that $\mathfrak{A}_t/\mathfrak{A}_{t+1}$ is a free abelian group of finite rank, let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_t$ and set

$$f_i^\sigma \equiv f_i f_{i+t}^{a_i} \pmod{F_{i+t+1}},$$

where a_1, \dots, a_d are integers. It is easily seen that the mapping $\sigma \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ is a homomorphism of \mathfrak{A}_t into the additive group M of all d -tuples of integers (with the addition defined componentwise), and that the kernel of the homomorphism is exactly \mathfrak{A}_{t+1} . Therefore $\mathfrak{A}_t/\mathfrak{A}_{t+1}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of M . Our assertion is now clear. By refining the series $\mathfrak{A} \geq \mathfrak{A}_2 \geq \dots \geq \mathfrak{A}_d$, we obtain easily an F -series of \mathfrak{A} , and hence \mathfrak{A} is a finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group. Thus the first part of the theorem is proved.

We now proceed to prove the second part. If G is abelian, then \mathfrak{A} is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of all $d \times d$ triangular matrices with integral entries and with 1's on the principal diagonal. By arguing the same way as in the above, we see easily that $\dim \mathfrak{A} = d(d-1)/2$, where $d = \dim G$. Since we assume $d > 2$, we have $\dim \mathfrak{A} \geq \dim G$, and hence the second part is proved for G abelian.

If G is not abelian, we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1 by setting $a = f_1$, $N = F_2$. Let Z, Z_N, ϕ , and K be as in the proof of Theorem

1. It is known [2] that G/Z is torsion-free. Therefore $Z_N/K \simeq \phi(Z_N)$ and $Z \leq K$ imply

$$(4) \quad \dim Z \leq \dim Z_N - \dim \phi(Z_N).$$

We shall show that the automorphisms $\sigma(x)$ of G defined by $(a^r u)^{\sigma(x)} = (ax)^r u$, where $u \in N$, preserve the ordering $<$ obtained from the F -basis f_1, \dots, f_d . This is seen as follows: $a^r u > e$ implies $r > 0$ or $r = 0$ and $u > e$. If $r > 0$ then $(a^r u)^{\sigma(x)} = (ax)^r u = a^r x^r u > e$, since $x^r u \in N$. If $r = 0$ then $(a^r u)^{\sigma(x)} = u > e$. Thus $\sigma(x)$ preserves the ordering $<$. Also every inner automorphism preserves the ordering $<$. Since G is linearly ordered, we can prove (2) by observing that $(ax)^r = a^r$ with $r \neq 0$ implies $ax = a, x = e$. From (2) we have (3) as before. By (3) and a theorem of Hirsch [1, Theorem 2.23], we may prove easily that $\dim Z_N - \dim \phi(Z_N) \leq \dim \mathfrak{A} - \dim \mathfrak{Z}$. Then from (4) it follows that $\dim Z \leq \dim \mathfrak{A} - \dim \mathfrak{Z}$. Since $\dim G - \dim Z = \dim \mathfrak{Z}$, we have the desired result $\dim G \leq \dim \mathfrak{A}$. Thus Theorem 2 is proved.

The method of proof used in the above may be applied to similar but more general nilpotent groups, namely nilpotent groups G which have decreasing series of normal subgroups $G = G_1 > G_2 > \dots > G_d > \{e\}$ such that $[G, G_i] \leq G_{i+1}$ for all i and such that G_i/G_{i+1} are all isomorphic to the additive group of an integral domain.

By analyzing the main points of the above method we can prove more:

THEOREM 3. *Let p be a prime. If a group G possesses a normal subgroup N of index p whose center $Z_N \neq \{e\}$ is of order $< p^p$ and if every element $\neq e$ in Z_N is of order p , then there exists an outer automorphism of G .*

PROOF. Let $a \in G$ be such that aN generates the group G/N . The mapping $\alpha: Z_N \rightarrow Z_N$ defined by $\alpha(x) = axa^{-1}$ is an automorphism of Z_N of order p . Define a homomorphism β of Z_N into itself by

$$\beta(x) = x\alpha(x)\alpha^2(x) \cdots \alpha^{p-1}(x).$$

Then we see easily that $(ax)^p = \beta(x)a^p$. The argument used in the proof of Theorem 1 shows that for any $x \in Z_N$ such that $\beta(x) = e$ there exists an automorphism $\sigma(x)$ of G such that $a^{\sigma(x)} = ax$ and $u^{\sigma(x)} = u$ for all $u \in N$. Further $\sigma(x)$ is an inner automorphism of G if and only if $x = (1 - \alpha)y$ with $y \in Z_N$, where 1 denotes the identity automorphism of Z_N . Therefore our theorem is proved if we can derive a contradiction from the assumption that $x \in Z_N$ is of the form $x = (1 - \alpha)y, y \in Z_N$, whenever $\beta(x) = e$. Now every element $\neq e$ of the abelian group Z_N is of order p . Hence $1 = \alpha^p$ implies $(1 - \alpha)^p = 0$, where 0

denotes the homomorphism of Z_N into itself which carries every element into e . Hence

$$(5) \quad \beta = 1 + \alpha + \cdots + \alpha^{p-1} = (1 - \alpha)^{p-1}.$$

Now, for $i=1, 2, \dots, p$, let

$$Z_i = \{x \mid x \in Z_N, (1 - \alpha)^i x = e\}.$$

Then we have $Z_i \supseteq Z_{i-1}$. We shall show that the equality can not hold. Suppose $Z_i = Z_{i-1}$ for some $i > 0$. Now for any $x \in Z_N$ we have

$$(1 - \alpha)^i((1 - \alpha)^{p-i}x) = (1 - \alpha)^p x = e.$$

Thus the assumption $Z_i = Z_{i-1}$ implies that

$$(1 - \alpha)^{i-1}((1 - \alpha)^{p-i}x) = (1 - \alpha)^{p-1}x = e$$

for all $x \in Z_N$. Therefore from (5) it follows that $\beta(x) = e$, and hence, by our assumption, that every $x \in Z_N$ is of the form $x = (1 - \alpha)y$. From this it follows easily that every element $x \in Z_N$ is of the form $x = (1 - \alpha)^p z = e$. Therefore $Z_N = \{e\}$, contradicting our assumption $Z_N \neq \{e\}$. Therefore $Z_i \neq Z_{i-1}$. Similarly we may prove $Z_1 \neq \{e\}$. Now from the fact that the series $Z_N = Z_p > Z_{p-1} > \cdots > Z_1 > \{e\}$ is strictly decreasing it follows that the order of Z_N is $\geq p^p$. This again contradicts our assumption that the order of Z_N is $< p^p$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. K. A. Hirsch, *Infinite soluble groups II*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) vol. 44 (1938) pp. 336-345.
2. S. A. Jennings, *The group ring of a class of infinite nilpotent groups*, Canadian J. Math. vol. 7 (1955) pp. 169-187.
3. Rimhak Ree, *The existence of outer automorphisms of some groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 7 (1956) pp. 962-964.
4. ———, *On ordered, finitely generated, solvable groups*, Transactions of the Royal Society of Canada vol. 48 (1954) pp. 39-42.
5. H. Zassenhaus, *The theory of groups*, Chelsea Publishing Company, 1949.

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA