## THE EQUIVALENCE OF TWO EXTENSIONS OF LEBESGUE AREA

## EDWARD SILVERMAN

The area of a triangle in a Banach space was defined in [5] and then Lebesgue's definition for the area of a continuous function (surface) was applicable to a surface in a Banach space. If X is a continuous function on a closed simply-connected Jordan region J into a Banach space B let  $L_B(X)$  denote its area in accordance with this definition. Let m be the space of bounded sequences [1]. It was shown that isometric functions on J into m have the same  $L_m$  area. If X is continuous on J into m which is isometric with M. Define M to be equal to M to be equal to M to M which is isometric with M.

If X is continuous on J into a Euclidean space E, then  $L_E(X)$  is the classical Lebesgue area of X. It was shown that  $L(X) = L_E(X)$  and hence it seemed reasonable to call L an extension of Lebesgue area which applied to surfaces in a metric space.

Now let us consider those functions which are continuous on J into a Banach space B. There are two Lebesgue type areas available, L and  $L_B$ . Morrey's representation theorem [3] and the cyclic additivity theory were used to show that  $L = L_B$  under a variety of conditions, in particular, as mentioned above, if B = E.

The hypothesis in Morrey's theorem that the functions range in E was too strong a restriction to enable us to conclude that  $L(X) = L_B(X)$  for all Banach spaces B and continuous functions X on J into B. In [6] Morrey's theorem is extended to apply to surfaces in a metric space. We shall see that this version of Morrey's theorem can be used to show that L and  $L_B$  are equivalent, whenever  $L_B$  is applicable.

Let J be contained in the u, v plane. According to Cesari [2], a function X on J into E is a D-mapping if each component of X is A.C.T. in  $J^0$ , the interior of J, and if all of the partial derivatives are square summable over  $J^0$ . This definition can be generalized so as to apply to functions with range in a Banach space [6]. The property of being a D-mapping is invariant under an isometric transformation. If X is a D-mapping in B then X is of class  $\overline{L}_B$  in the sense of [5] (see [4, IV. 4.33]).

Received by the editors April 7, 1958.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If X and Y map J into metric spaces M and N respectively, then X and Y are isometric if  $\operatorname{dist}_M(X(u), X(v)) = \operatorname{dist}_N(Y(u), Y(v))$  for all  $u, v \subseteq J$ .

The equivalence of L and  $L_B$ , whenever the latter is defined, is a result of the cyclic additivity theory, the fact that Fréchet equivalent functions have the same Lebesgue area, L or  $L_B$ , and Theorems 1 and 2 (from [5] and [6], respectively).

THEOREM 1. If range  $X \subset B$  then  $L(X) \leq L_B(X)$ . If X is of class  $\overline{L}_B$  then  $L(X) = L_B(X)$ .

THEOREM 2. If range  $x \subset m$ , if x is light, and if  $L(x) < +\infty$ , then there is a D-mapping y which is Fréchet equivalent to x.

THEOREM 3. If X is continuous on J into B then  $L(X) = L_B(X)$ .

PROOF. We can use Theorem 1 and the cyclic additivity theory to assume, without loss of generality, that X is light and that  $L(X) < +\infty$ . Take x isometric with X, range  $x \subset m$ . According to Theorem 2 there is a D-mapping y which is Fréchet equivalent to x. For each  $u \in J$  choose v to satisfy x(v) = y(u) and define Y(u) = X(v). (If  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  correspond to u then  $x(v_1) = x(v_2)$  which implies that  $X(v_1) = X(v_2)$ .) It is easy to see that X and Y are Fréchet equivalent and that Y and Y are isometric. Thus Y is a Y-mapping and Theorem 1 enables us to conclude that  $Y = x(v_1) = x(v_2) = x(v_1) = x(v_2)$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. S. Banach, Theorie des operations lineaires, Warsaw, 1932.
- 2. L. Cesari, Surface area, Princeton University Press, 1956.
- 3. C. B. Morrey, A class of representations of manifolds, Amer. J. Math. vol. 55 (1933) pp. 683-707.
- 4. T. Radó, Length and area, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 30, 1948
- 5. E. Silverman, Definitions of Lebesgue area for surfaces in metric spaces, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma vol. 2 (1951) pp. 47-76.
- 6. ——, Morrey's representation theorem for surfaces in metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. vol. 7 (1957) pp. 1677-1690.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY