## IRREDUCIBLE CONGRUENCES OVER GF(p)

## C. B. HANNEKEN

1. **Introduction.** In this paper we shall classify the irreducible *m*-ic congruences

$$(1.1) C_m(z) = z^m + a_1 z^{m-1} + \cdots + a_{m-1} z + a_m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

belonging to the modular field defined by the prime p under the group G of linear fractional transformations

(1.2) 
$$T: z = (az' + b)/(cz' + d)$$

with coefficients belonging to the same field. Two irreducible m-ic congruences are said to belong to the same conjugate set if one of them can be transformed into the other by a transformation of G. The number of distinct irreducible congruences in a conjugate set will be referred to as the order of the conjugate set. Since the order of the group G is  $p(p^2-1)$ , it follows that the order of any conjugate set will be at most  $p(p^2-1)$ .

A classification of the irreducible binary modular forms under the group of all binary linear homogeneous transformations of determinant unity in the field  $GF(p^n)$  has been done by Dickson[4]. Since an irreducible binary modular form over GF(p) of degree m in x and y defines an irreducible m-ic congruence C(z) over GF(p) with roots  $\lambda^{p^i} = (x/y)^{p^i}$ ,  $(i=0, 1, 2, \cdots, m-1)$ , in the Galois field  $GF(p^m)$ , it follows that Dickson's results provide a classification of the irreducible m-ic congruences over GF(p) under the subgroup G' of transformations of G with determinant a square in GF(p). Clearly, G' is a proper subgroup of G if p>2, and a conjugate set G' under the group G' will consist of, at most, two conjugate sets G', G' under the smaller group G', i.e.,  $C = G' \cup G'$ . It is shown in §2 that if  $\sigma_1 \subseteq GF(p^m)$  characterizes the set G' under G', then G' will characterize the set G' under G' and G' will characterize the conjugate set G' under the group G.

In studying the irreducible binary modular forms over  $GF(p^n)$ , Dickson lists two relative invariants, namely,

$$Q = (x^{p^{2n}-1} - y^{p^{2n}-1})/(x^{p^{n}-1} - y^{p^{n}-1}),$$

and

$$L = x^{pn}y - xy^{pn}.$$

Received by the editors March 29, 1958.

It is also shown in this paper that the invariant  $\pi_m$  (m>2) is an absolute invariant under the group  $\overline{G}$  of all binary transformations in  $GF(p^n)$ , and that  $\pi_m$  is expressible homogeneously in terms of J and K, where

(1.3) 
$$J = Q^{p^{n+1}} = q^r$$
, and  $K = L^{p^{n}(p^{n}-1)} = l^r$ ,

and where r=1 if p=2, r=2 if p>2. The above invariants are invariants under the group G provided n=1 and, hence, may be applied directly in our problem.

The recursion formula

(1.4) 
$$F_t = QF_{t-1}^{p^n} - KF_{t-2}^{p^{2n}} \qquad (F_1 = 1, F_2 = Q)$$

given by Dickson and the fact that

(1.5) 
$$\pi_m = \frac{F_m \pi F_{m/q_i q_j} \pi F_{m/q_i q_j q_k q_l \cdots}}{\pi F_{m/q_i \pi} F_{m/q_i q_j q_k \cdots}}$$

where  $m = q_1^{\alpha_1} q_2^{\alpha_2} q_3^{\alpha_3} \cdots q_u^{\alpha_u}$  and where  $q_1, \dots, q_u$  are distinct prime factors > 1 of m make it possible to express  $\pi_m$  explicitly as a function of J and K for any degree m.

The need for such a classification as that given in this paper arises in the study of the metabelian subgroups in the holomorph of an Abelian group of order  $p^n$  and type 1, 1,  $\cdots$  each having commutator subgroup of order  $p^m$ . A classification of the irreducible m-ic congruences over  $GF(p^n)$  under the group  $\overline{G}$  of linear fractional transformations with coefficients in  $GF(p^n)$  may be obtained by generalizing the results of this paper. Since the group problem does not require such a generalization, and since such a generalization would be quite simple to make, we do not offer it in this paper. Consequently, we make use of a special case of Dickson's results; namely, that where n=1. Furthermore, if n=1, p=2, then G=G' and Dickson's classification applies directly, hence, in the sequel we restrict p to be greater than 2.

In §4 we make use of the previous results for the cases  $m = 2, 3, \dots, 7$ . Although classifications relative to G of the irreducible m-ic congruences for m = 3, 4, 5, 6 have been done, it seems in order here to show how easily this may be done with the techniques of this paper. In §5 we devote a detailed discussion to the special case for m = 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a connection between the two problems see Brahana, *Metabelian groups of order*  $p^{n+m}$  with commutator subgroup  $p^m$ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 34 (1934) pp. 776–792.

2. A characterization of conjugate sets under G. Since in (1.3) r=2 if p>2, it follows that one may express  $\pi_m(J,K)$  given by (1.5) and (1.4) in terms of q and l. Corresponding to each irreducible factor  $\Gamma_r$  of degree r over GF(p) of  $\pi_m(J,K)$  is a factor  $\gamma_{2r}$  of degree 2r of  $\pi_m(q,l)$ . This factor is factorable over GF(p) into two irreducible factors  $\gamma_r^{(1)}$  and  $\gamma_r^{(2)}$  each of degree r or is irreducible of degree 2r over GF(p) according as the root  $\pi=J/K$  of  $\Gamma_r$  is a square or a nonsquare in  $GF(p^r)$ . If  $\gamma_{2r}$  is factorable and  $\theta$  is a root of  $\gamma_r^{(1)}$ , then  $-\theta$  is a root of  $\gamma_r^{(2)}$ . If  $\gamma_{2r}$  is irreducible and  $\theta$  is a root, then  $-\theta$  is also a root. Conversely, corresponding to any two roots  $\xi$  and  $-\xi$  of  $\pi_m(q,l)$  is an irreducible factor of  $\pi_m(J,K)$ .

In deciding whether or not two binary forms  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are conjugate relative to G', Dickson shows that one may employ a root  $\mu_1 = x/y$  of  $\phi_1 \equiv 0$  and a root  $\mu_2 = x/y$  of  $\phi_2 \equiv 0$  and determine corresponding values of  $\sigma_1 = q_1/l_1$  and  $\sigma_2 = q_2/l_2$  for  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , respectively. According as these values  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are roots of the same irreducible factor or different irreducible factors of  $\pi_m(q, l)$  the given forms  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  belong to the same or different conjugate sets.

Let  $C_{\mu_1}(z)$  and  $C_{\mu_2}(z)$  be the two irreducible *m*-ic congruences defined by  $\phi_1(x, y)$  and  $\phi_2(x, y)$ , respectively. Since  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are roots of  $C_{\mu_1}(z)$  and  $C_{\mu_2}(z)$ , respectively, we have, by making use of (1.3) and the fact that z = x/y, the following important result:

$$(J/K)_{i} = (q_{i}/l_{i})^{2} = \sigma_{i}^{2} = \pi \mu_{i} = \frac{(\mu_{i}^{p^{2}} - \mu_{i})(\mu_{i}^{p} - \mu_{i}^{p^{3}})}{(\mu_{i}^{p^{2}} - \mu_{i}^{p^{3}})(\mu_{i}^{p} - \mu_{i})}$$

$$= (\mu_{i}^{p^{2}} \mu_{i}^{p}, \mu_{i} \mu_{i}^{p^{3}}), \qquad (i = 1, 2).$$

If  $C_{\mu_1}(z)$  and  $C_{\mu_2}(z)$  are conjugate under G and if  $\mu_1 T = \mu_2$  for  $T \in G$ , then, since the cross ratio  $\pi$  is an invariant under G, we have  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ . If, further,  $C_{\mu_1}(z)$  and  $C_{\mu_2}(z)$  are not conjugate under G', then  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are not roots of the same irreducible factor of  $\pi_m(q, l)$  and  $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$ . It follows since  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$  that  $\sigma_1 = -\sigma_2$ , and that  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are roots of  $\gamma_r^{(1)}$  and  $\gamma_r^{(2)}$ , respectively.

Since any conjugate set under G consists of, at most, two distinct conjugate sets under G', and since there are as many conjugate sets of irreducible m-ic congruences under G' as there are distinct irreducible factors of  $\pi_m(q, l)$ , we have along with the above results the following:

THEOREM 2.1. There are as many distinct conjugate sets of irreducible m-ic congruences over GF(p) under the group G of linear fractional trans-

formations with coefficients in GF(p) as there are distinct irreducible factors over GF(p) of the invariant  $\pi_m(K, L)$ .

Since the corresponding value  $\sigma_{\mu} = q/l$  of a root  $\mu$  of an irreducible m-ic  $C_{\mu}(z)$  is a root of  $\pi_m(q, l)$  and is in  $GF(p^m)$  and, conversely, since any root  $\sigma_{\mu} = q/l$  of  $\pi_m(q, l)$  defines an irreducible m-ic congruence having a root  $\mu$  whose corresponding value of q/l is equal to  $\sigma_{\mu}$ , it follows that any root  $\pi = J/K$  of  $\pi_m(J, K)$  will define an irreducible m-ic congruence  $C_{\mu}(z)$  having a root  $\mu$  such that

$$\pi_{\mu} = (\mu^{p^2} \mu^p, \mu \mu^{p^3}).$$

Conversely, the cross ratio  $\pi_{\mu} = (\mu^{p^2}\mu^p, \mu\mu^{p^3})$  of the roots  $\mu, \mu^p, \dots, \mu^{p^{m-1}}$  of an irreducible m-ic  $C_{\mu}(z)$  will be a root of an irreducible factor of  $\pi_m(K, L)$ . This gives the following:

THEOREM 2.2. Two irreducible m-ic congruences  $C_{\mu_1}(z)$  and  $C_{\mu_2}(z)$  having roots  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , respectively, are conjugate under G if, and only if, the corresponding cross ratios  $\pi_{\mu_1}$  and  $\pi_{\mu_2}$  as defined by (1.6) are roots of the same irreducible polynomial over GF(p).

Since the value  $\sigma = q/l$  for a root  $\mu = x/y$  of an irreducible binary form  $\phi(x, y)$  belongs to  $GF(p^m)$  and since  $\pi_{\mu} = \sigma^2$ , it follows that any irreducible factor  $\Gamma_r$  of  $\pi_m(J, K)$  is of degree m or a divisor of m, i.e.,  $r \mid m$ . If the root  $\pi_{\mu} = J/K$  of  $\Gamma_r$  is a square in  $GF(p^r)$ , then  $\Gamma_r$  defines two distinct irreducible factors  $\gamma_r^{(1)}$  and  $\gamma_r^{(2)}$  of  $\pi_m(q, l)$ . These factors each define distinct conjugate sets relative to G'. Hence, in this case the conjugate set defined by  $\Gamma_r$  under G splits into two distinct ones relative to G'. If, however,  $\pi_{\mu}$  is a nonsquare in  $GF(p^r)$ , then the corresponding factor  $\gamma_{2r}$  of  $\pi_m(q, l)$  is irreducible over GF(p) and the corresponding conjugate set defined by  $\Gamma_r$  does not split into two distinct sets relative to G'. This gives the following:

THEOREM 2.3. Let S be a conjugate set of irreducible m-ic congruences over FG(p) under the group G of all linear fractional transformations with coefficients belonging to GF(p) and let  $C_{\mu}(z)$  having a root  $\mu$  be any congruence belonging to S. Let the cross ratio  $\pi_{\mu} = (\mu^{p^2}\mu^p, \mu\mu^{p^3})$  be a root of the irreducible polynomial  $\Gamma_r$  of degree r over GF(p). Then S will split into two distinct conjugate sets under the subgroup G' of all transformations of G whose determinant is a square if, and only if, the mark  $\pi_{\mu}$  of  $GF(p^r)$  is a square in  $GF(p^r)$ .

As a direct result of this theorem we see that if  $\pi_{\mu}$  is a nonsquare of  $GF(p^r)$  then 2r|m since the degrees of the irreducible factors of  $\pi_m(q, l)$  must divide m. Hence, m must be even. Conversely, if m is

odd, then every root of  $\pi_m(K, L)$  must be a square. This along with Theorem 2.3 gives

THEOREM 2.4. If m is an odd degree, then every conjugate set S relative to G splits into two distinct conjugate sets relative to G' and the number of distinct conjugate sets relative to G is one-half the number relative to G'.

3. Number of conjugate sets of a given order relative to G. Since the group G is of order  $p(p^2-1)$  it follows that any conjugate set S will have order at most  $p(p^2-1)$ . If S contains less than  $p(p^2-1)$  distinct m-ics, then there exist transformations of G that carry each m-ic into itself. Such transformations must be of order d where  $d \mid m$ . If d is the largest order of a transformation that carries an m-ic into itself, then S must be of order  $p(p^2-1)/d$ . Let  $m=r\cdot d$  and S be a conjugate set of order  $p(p^2-1)/d$  containing  $S_{\mu}(z)$ . Then there exists a transformation  $T \in G$  of order d that transforms  $S_{\mu}(z)$  into itself and, hence,  $\mu \cdot T = \mu^{p^r}$ ,  $\mu^p \cdot T = \mu^{p^{r+1}}$ ,  $\cdots$ . By making use of (1.6) we see that

$$(3.1) \pi_{\mu} = \pi_{\mu^{p^r}} = (\mu^{p^{r+2}}\mu^{p^{r+1}}, \mu^{p^r}\mu^{p^{r+3}}) = (\mu^{p^2} \cdot T\mu^p \cdot T, \mu \cdot T\mu^{p^3} \cdot T).$$

Hence,  $\pi_{\mu} \in GF(p^r) \subset GF(p^m)$  and is a root of an irreducible polynomial of degree r over GF(p). This gives

THEOREM 3.1. If m = rd, then the irreducible factors of  $\pi_m(K, L)$  of degree r define distinct conjugate sets of order  $p(p^2-1)/d$ . Conversely, the conjugate sets of order  $p(p^2-1)/d$  are defined by the irreducible factors of  $\pi_m(K, L)$  of degree r.

4. Number of conjugate sets of irreducible m-ics for  $m = 3, 4, \dots, 7$ . Clearly, if m = 1, 2 the m-ic congruences are all conjugate relative to G. For m = 3 we see by Theorem 2.3 that there exists only one conjugate set relative to G since there are in all exactly two conjugate sets relative to G'. This is in accordance with Brahana's On cubic congruences [1].

For m=4 we have  $\pi_4 = J^p - J^{p-1}K - K^p$  Dickson [4]. Setting t=J/K we see that  $\pi_4$  vanishes only if  $t^p - t^{p-1} - 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$ . From this we see that  $t^p = t/(t-1)$  and  $t^{p^2} = t^p/(t^p-1) = t$ . Hence, any root of  $\pi_4$  must be in  $GF(p^2)$ , and the irreducible factors of  $\pi_4$  must be of degree at most two. If  $t \in GF(p)$  then the only root of  $\pi_4$  is t=2. Since  $\pi_4$  is of degree p the irreducible factors of  $\pi_4$  consist of one linear and (p-1)/2 quadratic factors. This along with Theorem 3.1 gives

THEOREM 4.1. The irreducible quartic congruences belonging to GF(p) constitute (p+1)/2 conjugate sets under G of which there are

(p-1)/2 conjugate sets of order  $p(p^2-1)/2$  and exactly one of order  $p(p^2-1)/4$ .

The above theorem is in accordance with Brahana's, Note on irreducible quartic congruences [2]. Furthermore, we might add that if p=7, then  $\pi_4=(t-2)(t^2+5t+2)(t^2+2t+5)(t^2+t+6)$ .

For m=5 we have  $\pi_b = J^{p^2+1} - J^{p^2}K - J^{p^2-p+1}K^p + K^{p^2+1}$ , which vanishes for t=J/K if

$$(4.1) t^{p^2+1}-t^{p^2}-t^{p^2-p+1}-t+1\equiv 0 (\text{mod } p).$$

A root t of (4.1) is either in GF(p) or  $GF(p^5)$ . If  $t \in GF(p)$  then (4.1) vanishes if t satisfies

$$(4.2) t^2 - 3t + 1 \equiv 0 (\text{mod } p).$$

If p=5, then t=-1 is a double root of (4.2) and it follows that there exist only one distinct linear factor and exactly  $p^2/5=5$  irreducible fifth degree factors of  $\pi_5$ . If  $p=5K\pm 2$  then (4.2) is irreducible, hence, there are no linear factors. If  $p=5K\pm 1$  then (4.2) is factorable into two distinct linear factors. In this case  $\pi_5$  factors into two linear factors and  $(p^2-1)/5$  irreducible fifth degree factors. Using Theorem 3.1 with the above results gives

THEOREM 4.2. The irreducible quintic congruences belonging to GF(p) constitute 6,  $(p^2+9)/5$ ,  $(p^2+1)/5$  distinct conjugate sets under G according as p=5,  $p=5K\pm 1$ ,  $p=5K\pm 2$ .

The above theorem is in accordance with C. B. Hanneken's, Irreducible quintic congruences, [5].

For m = 6 we have

$$\pi_6 = J^{p^3+p-1} - J^{p^3-p^2+p-1}K^{p^2} - J^{p-1}K^{p^3} - K^p \left(\frac{J^{p^3} - K^{p^3}}{J - K}\right),$$

which vanishes for t = J/K if

$$(4.3) t^{p^3+p-1}-t^{p^3-p^2+p-1}-t^{p-1}-\frac{t^{p^3}-1}{t-1}\equiv 0 (\text{mod } p).$$

t=1 is not a root of (4.3) and for p=2 or 3, there is no root in GF(p), while for p>3 the only root is t=3. The roots t of (4.3) that belong to the subfield  $GF(p^2)$  must be roots of the irreducible quadratics over GF(p) of the form  $t^2+t(s-1)+s^2\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , where  $s\in GF(p)$ , and, conversely, any root of this irreducible quadratic is a root of (4.3). It follows that there are (p-3)/2 irreducible quadratic factors of  $\pi_6$  if p=6K+5, and (p-1)/2 if p=3 or p=6K+1.

Corresponding to each of these factors is a conjugate set of order  $p(p^2-1)/3$ .

The roots of (4.3) that belong to  $GF(p^3)$  must satisfy the equation

$$\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t^p} + \frac{1}{t^{p^2}} = 1.$$

Conversely, any root of (4.4) belongs to  $GF(p^3)$  and, except for t=3, does not belong to GF(p). It follows that the irreducible cubic factors of  $\pi_6$  are of the form  $\rho^3 - \rho^2 + \cdots$ , where  $\rho = 1/t$ , and their number is 3 if  $\rho = 3$  or  $(p^2 - 1)/3$  if  $\rho > 3$ .

Since the degree of (4.3) is  $p^3+p-1$ , it follows that the number of sixth degree factors of  $\pi_6$  is  $(p^3-p^2+2)/6$  for p=6K-1 and  $(p^3-p^2)/6$  for p=3 or p=6K+1. Corresponding to each of these factors is a conjugate set of order  $p(p^2-1)$ . This gives

THEOREM 4.3. The irreducible sextic congruences belonging to GF(p) constitute  $(p^3+p^2+3p+1)/6$  distinct conjugate sets under G if p=6K+1, and  $(p^3+p^2+3p-3)/6$  conjugate sets if p=6K-1 or p=3.

The above theorem is in accordance with C. B. Hanneken's, Irreducible sextic congruences, [6].

For m=7 we may use Theorem 2.3 along with the number of classes of irreducible septic forms relative to the subgroup G' of G and obtain

THEOREM 4.4. The irreducible septic congruences over GF(p) constitute 351,  $(p^4+p^2+19)/7$ ,  $(p^4+p^2+1)/7$  conjugate sets according as p=7,  $p=7K\pm 1$  or  $p\neq 7K\pm 1$ .

5. A classification of the irreducible octic congruences. For the irreducible octic congruences we find by making use of (1.4) and (1.5) that

$$(5.1) \quad \pi_8 = (J-K)^{p^5+p^3} - J^{p^5-p^4+p^3}K^{p^4} - (J-K)^{p^3-p^2+p-1}K^{p^2}\pi_4^{p^4-1}.$$

Setting  $J = \rho K$  we see that  $\pi_8$  vanishes if  $\rho$  satisfies

$$(5.2) (\rho - 1)^{p^{5} + p^{3}} - \rho^{p^{5} - p^{4} + p^{3}} - (\rho - 1)^{p^{3} - p^{2} + p - 1} \cdot \frac{(\rho - 1)^{p^{5}} - \rho^{p^{5} - p^{4}}}{(\rho - 1)^{p} - \rho^{p - 1}} \equiv 0$$

$$(\text{mod } p).$$

The irreducible factors of (5.1) are of degree 1, 2, 4, or 8. To determine the number of factors of each of these degrees we use the fact that  $\rho \in GF(p^8)$ . We shall first determine the number of factors of

degree 4 or less. If  $\rho \in GF(p^4)$ , then  $\rho^{p^4} = \rho$  and we see that  $\rho$  must satisfy the following relation:

(5.3) 
$$\rho^{p^3+p^2+p+1} - \rho^{p^2+p+1} - \rho^{p^3+p^2+1} - \rho^{p^3+p^2+1} - \rho^{p^3+p^2+p} - \rho^{p^3+p+1} + \rho^{p^3+p} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Setting  $\lambda = 1/\rho$ , multiplying by  $\lambda^{p^3+p^2+p+1}$ , and simplifying we obtain

$$(5.4) 1 - (\lambda + \lambda^p + \lambda^{p^2} + \lambda^{p^3}) + \lambda \lambda^{p^2} + (\lambda \lambda^{p^2}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Clearly, any solution  $\lambda$  of (5.4) will define a solution  $\rho$  of (5.3).

Let  $\mu$  be a fixed nonsquare of  $GF(p^2)$  not in GF(p). Then any mark of  $GF(p^4)$  is of the form  $\lambda = A + B\mu^{1/2}$ , where A, B are marks of  $GF(p^2)$ . It follows that  $\lambda^{p^2} = A - B\mu^{1/2}$ ,  $\lambda + \lambda^{p^2} = 2A$ ,  $\lambda \lambda^{p^2} = A^2 - B^2\mu$  and upon substitution into (5.4) we have after simplifying

$$[(A-1)^2 - B^2\mu] + [(A-1)^2 - B^2\mu]^p = 1.$$

Since A, B,  $\mu \in GF(p^2)$ , then  $(A-1)^2 - B^2\mu = \xi \in GF(p^2)$ , and  $\xi = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \delta^{1/2}$ , where  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2 \in GF(p)$  and  $\delta$  is a nonsquare of GF(p). (5.5) then gives  $\xi + \xi^p = 1$  which implies that  $\gamma_1 = 1/2$ . From this it follows that

$$(5.6) (A-1)^2 - B^2\mu = 1/2 + \dot{\gamma_2}\delta^{1/2},$$

where, of course,  $\gamma_2 \in GF(p)$ . Since  $\mu$  is a nonsquare, and since  $\gamma_2$  may assume any one of p different values of GF(p), it follows that there exist  $p(p^2+1)$  distinct sets (A, B) satisfying (5.5). If  $B \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda$  is a root of an irreducible quartic, and, hence, defines an irreducible quartic factor of  $\pi_8$ . If B=0, then  $\lambda \in GF(p^2)$  and will define a linear factor of  $\pi_8$  or a quadratic factor of  $\pi_8$  according as  $\lambda \in GF(p)$  or  $\lambda \notin GF(p)$ . To determine the number of such factors we set B=0 in (5.5), thus obtaining

$$(5.7) (A-1)^2 + (A-1)^{2p} = 1.$$

Setting  $A = a + b\delta^{1/2}$ , a,  $b \in GF(p)$ , into (5.7) and simplifying, we obtain

$$(5.8) (a-1)^2 + \delta b^2 = 1/2.$$

Since -1 is a square or a nonsquare according as p is of the form 4K+1 or 4K-1, we have

LEMMA 5.1. (a) If p=4K+1, then there are p+1 solutions (a, b) of (5.8); (b) If p=4K-1, then there are p-1 solutions (a, b) of the Equation (5.8).

Each of these solutions (a, b) will define  $A \in GF(p^2)$  satisfying

(5.7). Since there are  $p(p^2+1)$  distinct solutions (A, B) satisfying (5.5), the following theorem is immediate:

THEOREM 5.1. (a) If p = 4K + 1, then there exist  $[p(p^2 + 1) - (p + 1)]/4$ =  $[p^3 - 1]/4$  distinct irreducible quartic factors of  $\pi_8$ ;

(b) If p = 4K - 1, then there exist  $[p(p^2 + 1) - (p - 1)]/4 = [p^3 + 1]/4$  distinct irreducible quartic factors of  $\pi_8$ .

To determine the number of irreducible quadratic factors of  $\pi_8$  we turn to the solutions (a, b) of (5.8). Clearly,  $b \neq 0$  unless 2 is a square. If b = 0, then  $\lambda \in GF(p)$  and will define a linear factor of  $\pi_8$ . The mark 2 is a square or a nonsquare according as  $p = 8K \pm 1$ , or  $p = 8K \pm 3$ . This, along with Lemma 5.1, will give the number of linear and quadratic factors of  $\pi_8(J, K)$ .

Since  $\pi_8(J, K)$  is of degree  $p^5+p^3$ , we may determine the exact number of irreducible factors of degree 8 of  $\pi_8$ . We summarize the above results in the following table giving the number of irreducible factors of  $\pi_8(J, K)$ :

Þ	Linear	Quadratic	Quartic	Octic	Total Number
8 <i>K</i> +1	2	(p-1)/2	$(p^3-1)/4$	$(p^5-p)/8$	$(p^5+2p^3+3p+10)/8$
8K-1		(p-3)/2	$(p^3+1)/4$	$(p^5-p)/8$	$(p^5+2p^3+3p+6)/8$
8K+3	0	(p-1)/2	$(p^8+1)/4$	$(p^5-p)/8$	$(p^5+2p^3+3p-2)/8$
8K-3	0	(p+1)/2	$(p^8-1)/4$	$(p^5-p)/8$	$(p^5+2p^3+3p+2)/8$

Theorem 3.1 enables one to find the exact number of conjugate sets of irreducible octic congruences of a given order, e.g., if p=8K+1, then there exist 2 conjugate sets of order  $p(p^2-1)/8$ , (p-1)/2 conjugate sets of order  $p(p^2-1)/4$ ,  $(p^3-1)/4$  conjugate sets of order  $p(p^2-1)/2$ , and  $(p^5-p)/8$  conjugate sets of order  $p(p^2-1)$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. H. R. Brahana, On cubic congruences, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 39 (1933) pp. 962-969.
- 2. —, Note on irreducible quartic congruences, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 38 (1935) pp. 395-400.
- 3. L. E. Dickson, An invariant investigation of irreducible binary modular forms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 12 (1911) pp. 1-18.
  - 4. —, ibid., p. 6, where n = 1.
- 5. C. B. Hanneken, Irreducible quintic congruences, Duke Math. J. vol. 22 (1955) pp. 107-118.
- 6. ——, Irreducible sextic congruences. This paper has been offered to the Duke Mathematical Journal.

## MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY