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1. The representation of an integer $n$ as sums of a fixed number $s$ of squares has been studied extensively. In counting the number $r_{s}(n)$ of these representations, i.e. the number of solutions of the diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{s} x_{i}^{2}=n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in integers $x_{i}$, solutions are considered distinct, if they differ by the order, or by the sign of any $x_{i}$. The following results are classical:

Necessary and sufficient conditions that (1) should have solutions are:
for $s=1$, that $n$ should be a square;
for $s=2$, that the highest power at which any prime $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ divides $n$ should be even (possibly zero);
for $s=3$, that $n$ should not belong to the set $M_{4}$ of integers of the form $n=4^{a} n_{1}, n_{1} \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$, with integral $a \geqq 0$;
for $s=4$, (1) has solutions for every $n$.
In these statements as also in the papers of Lehmer [10] and Chakrabarti [2], no distinction is made between representations involving zeros and those by positive squares. The problem of characterizing and counting the integers $n \leqq x$, having representations by $s$ positive squares has been investigated for various values of $s$ by Descartes [3, p. 256, 337-338], Dubois [5] and G. Pall [11] (see also [4, especially vol. 2]). The results may be summarized in the following

Theorem A (G. Pall [11]). Denote by $B$ the set of integers (1, 2, 4, $5,7,10,13$ ). For $s \geqq 6$, every integer $n$ can be represented as a sum of $s$ positive squares, except $1,2, \cdots, s-1$ and $s+b$, with $b \in B$. For $s=5$ the same statement holds, with $b \in\{B, 28\}$. For $s=4$ the statement holds, with $b \in\{B, 25,37\}$, except for $n=4^{a} n_{1}$ with $n_{1} \in\{2,6,14\}$.

For $s=1$ the situation is obvious, and for $s=2$ it follows easily that every $n$ is a sum of two positive squares, if and only if $n=4^{a} n_{1} n_{2}^{2}$, with
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integral $a \geqq 0, \quad n_{1}>1$ and where $n_{1}=\prod_{i} p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}, p_{i} \equiv 1(\bmod 4), n_{2}$ $=I_{j} q_{j}^{\beta_{j}}, q_{j} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, with $p_{i}, q_{j}$ primes.
2. No similar complete results seem to be known for $s=3$. As partial results one has the following two theorems:

Theorem B (Hurwitz [8]). The set $N_{1}$ of integers $n$ that are squares but not sums of three positive squares consists precisely of $n=4^{a}$ and $n=25.4^{a}$.

Theorem C (G. Pall [11]). ${ }^{1}$ Every integer $n \notin M_{4}$ and containing an odd square factor larger than one is a sum of three positive squares, unless $n=4^{a} \cdot 25$.

It is the purpose of this paper to prove the following
Theorem. There exists a finite set $S$ of $m$ integers, such that every integer $n$ is a sum of three positive squares, unless $n \in M_{4} \cup M$, with $M_{4}$ defined above and $M$ consisting of the integers $n=4^{a} n_{1}, n_{1} \in S$. If $N$ stands for the set of integers that are sums of three positive squares and $N(x)$ is the number of integers in $N$ not exceeding $x$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(x)=\frac{5 x}{6}-\left(m-\frac{7}{8}\right) f \log x+a-R \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f=(\log 4)^{-1}, \cdot a=7 / 6+f\left(\sum_{n \in S} \log n-(7 / 8) \log 7\right)$ and $0<R$ $<f \log x / 7+m+2$.
3. Proof of the theorem. ${ }^{2}$ Let $N_{i}(i=1,2)$ stand for the set of integers that are sums of $i$, but not of three positive squares and set $N_{i}(x)=\sum_{n \leqq x} 1$, with the summations extended over $N_{i}$. Let also $N_{12}(x)=\sum_{n \leqq x} 1$ with $n \in N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ and $M_{4}(x)=\sum_{n \leqq x} 1, \quad n \in M_{4}$. Every $n \notin M_{4}$ belongs either to $N$, or to $N_{1} \cup N_{2} . N_{1}$ is known by Theorem B so that only $N_{2}$ remains to be determined, in order to complete the characterization of $N$.

If $n=4^{a} n_{1}, n_{1} \neq 0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \in N_{2}$, then $n_{1} \in N_{2}$ and conversely. Hence, it is sufficient to determine the set $T \subset N_{2}$ of integers $n \in N_{2}$, $n \neq 0(\bmod 4)$. By Theorem C , if $n \in T$, then either $n=25$, or else $n$ cannot contain the square of any odd prime; hence it is square free. Consequently, if any prime $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ would divide $n, q^{2} \nmid n$, and, hence, by a classical result $r_{2}(n)=0$, so that $n \notin N_{2}$, contradicting

[^0]$n \in T \subset N_{2}$. All intgers of $T$, except $n=25$, are therefore of the form $n=\prod_{i} p_{i}$, with $p_{i} \neq 3(\bmod 4), p_{i} \neq p_{j}$ for $i \neq j$ and $n \equiv 1,2$ or 5 $(\bmod 8)$; and $T$ contains all such integers that are sums of two, but not of three positive integers. We show now that the set of these integers is finite.

As $n=a^{2}+b^{2}+0=b^{2}+0+a^{2}=0+a^{2}+b^{2}$ are counted as three distinct representations of $n$ in $r_{3}(n)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{3}(n) \geqq 3 r_{2}(n) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $n$, and $n$ has a representation by three positive squares if, and only if, the inequality in (3) is strict. In order to show that this is always the case for sufficiently large square free $n \equiv 1,2$ or $5(\bmod 8)$ we observe that (see [1]) for any $n, r_{3}(n)=\sum_{d^{2} \mid n} R_{3}\left(n / d^{2}\right)$ with $R_{3}(n)=\left(G_{n} / \pi\right) n^{1 / 2} L(1, \chi)$. Here $G_{n}$ depends only on the residue class $(\bmod 8)$ of $n$, and

$$
L(1, \chi)=\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-k / v)}{v}, \text { with } k=4 n .
$$

For square free $n=1,2$ or $5(\bmod 8), r_{3}(n)=R_{3}(n)$ and $G_{n}=24$, so that $r_{3}(n)=(24 / \pi) n^{1 / 2} L(1, \chi)$. Also, if $n \in T, n=2^{b} n_{1}(b=0,1)$, then $r_{2}(n)=4 \tau\left(n_{1}\right) \leqq 4 \tau(n)$, where $\tau(n)$ stands for the number of divisors of $n$. The strict inequality in (3) is now a consequence of

$$
\frac{24}{\pi} n^{1 / 2} L(1, \chi)>12 \tau(n) \text { or } \tau(n) \frac{1}{L(1, \chi)}<\frac{2}{\pi} n^{1 / 2},
$$

which holds for sufficiently large $n$ because for any $\epsilon>0, \tau(n)=O\left(n^{\epsilon}\right)$ (see [7, Theorem 315]) and $1 / L(1, \chi)=O\left(k^{〔}\right)=O\left(n^{\iota}\right)$, by Siegel's theorem (see [12 or 6]).

This finishes the proof that there are only finitely many, say $t$ integers in $T$. The integers $n$ of $N_{2}$ are precisely those of the form $n=4^{a} n_{1}, n_{1} \in T$ and, in order to obtain $M$, one only has to adjoin to them the elements of $N_{1}$, not already in $N_{2}$; these are the integers $n=4^{a}$, as follows from theorem B. This finishes the proof of the first part of the theorem, with $S=\{1, T\}$ and $m=t+1$.
4. In order to prove (2), one observes that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(x)=[x]-M_{4}(x)-N_{1}(x)-N_{2}(x)+N_{12}(x), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the square bracket denoting the greatest integer function. Following Landau [2, vol. 2, p. 644]

$$
M_{4}(x)=\frac{x}{6}-\frac{x}{24 \cdot 4^{2}}-\frac{7}{8}(z+1)+\theta_{1}(z+1)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z=[f \log (x / 7)]=f \log (x / 7)-1+\theta_{2} \\
& f=(\log 4)^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad 0<\theta_{i} \leqq 1 \quad(i=1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $M_{4}(x)=x / 6+\left(\theta_{1}-7 / 8\right) f \log (x / 7)-(7 / 6) 4^{-\theta_{2}}-(7 / 8) \theta_{2}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2}$. Similarly, by Theorem $\mathrm{B}, N_{1}(x)-N_{12}(x)=f \log x+\theta_{3}, 0<\theta_{3} \leqq 1$. Finally, for given $n \neq 0(\bmod 4)$, the number of integers $4^{a} n \leqq x$ is $[f \log (x / n)]+1$; hence,

Replacing in (4) $[x]$ by $x-1+\theta_{5}$ and $M_{4}(x), N_{1}(x)-N_{12}(x)$ and $N_{2}(x)$ by their values, setting $m=t+1$ and observing that in the last summation $n \in T$, may be replaced by $n \in S$, one obtains (2) with $R=\theta_{1} f \log x / 7+\theta_{1} \theta_{2}-(7 / 8) \theta_{2}-(7 / 6) 4^{-\theta_{2}}+\theta_{3}+(m-1) \theta_{4}-\theta_{5}+13 / 6$, $0<\theta_{i} \leqq 1(i=1,2, \cdots, 5)$. For $x \rightarrow \infty, R$ is dominated by its first term; hence, $R$ is maximum for $\theta_{1}=\theta_{3}=\theta_{4}=1, \theta_{5}=0$. An elementary consideration shows that now $R$ increases with $\theta_{2}$; setting $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=\theta_{3}$ $=\theta_{4}=1, \theta_{5}=0$ one obtains $R=f \log x / 7+m+2$. Similarly, one shows that $R=0$ is the least possible value for $R$, attained for $\theta_{1}=\theta_{3}=\theta_{4}=0$, $\theta_{2}=\theta_{5}=1$. In order to complete the proof of the theorem it is sufficient to observe that $R$ cannot take its extreme values, because $\theta_{i} \neq 0$.
5. By direct computation one finds that the integers $1,2,5,10,13$, $25,37,58,85$ and 130 belong to $S$, and up to 2000 no further integers of $S$ are found. This suggests (see [10]) the

Conjecture. $S=\{1,2,5,10,13,25,37,58,85,130\}$. From this conjecture would follow that $m=10$ and (2) could be sharpened to read:

$$
N(x)=\frac{5}{6} x-\frac{73}{8} f \log x+a-R
$$

with $f=(\log 4)^{-1}, a=19.68 \cdots$ and $\theta<R<12+f \log x / 7$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This result follows also from [1].
    ${ }^{2}$ The authors gratefully acknowledge valuable suggestions of a referee, in particular the use of Siegel's rather than Tatuzawa's theorem in the present proof. Also the correction of many minor and of at least one serious error are due to the exceptional attention of a referee.

