

## A GENERALIZATION OF THE CARTAN- BRAUER-HUA THEOREM

EUGENE SCHENKMAN AND W. R. SCOTT

Let  $K$  be a division ring,  $K'$  its multiplicative group, and  $M$  a subdivision ring. In a recent note, Schenkman [3] proved that if  $M$  is a subfield whose multiplicative group  $M'$  is subinvariant in  $K'$ , then either  $M=K$  or  $M$  is contained in the center of  $K$ . For the case of noncommutative  $M$ , the same result was obtained provided  $M$  contains at least five elements of the center of  $K$ . However, there is a gap in the proof for the noncommutative case.<sup>1</sup> It is the purpose of this paper to fill this gap and to remove the restriction on  $M$  in the noncommutative case. Combining the result here with the result in [3], we will have

**THEOREM.** *The only subinvariant subdivision rings of a division ring  $K$  are  $K$  itself and subfields of the center of  $K$ .*

If  $S$  is a subset of  $K$ , then  $Z(S)$  will denote its centralizer in  $K$  and  $N(S)$  its normalizer in  $K'$ . For brevity,  $N(N(S))$  will be written  $N^2(S)$ . The notation  $J\triangle L$  means that  $J$  is an invariant subgroup of  $L$ . A subgroup or subdivision ring  $M_1$  is a conjugate of  $M$  via  $H$  if there is an  $x\in H$  such that  $M_1=M^x=x^{-1}Mx$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are sets, then  $A < B$  means that  $A$  is a proper subset of  $B$ , while  $B\setminus A$  denotes the complement of  $A$  in  $B$ .

For convenience, Lemmas 1 and 2 of [3] will be restated here.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $M$  is a subdivision ring of a division ring  $K$ ,  $x\in N(M)$ ,  $x\in M$ ,  $x\notin Z(M)$ ,  $m\in Z(M)\cap M'$ , then  $m+x\notin N(M)$ .*

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $H$  and  $M$  are subdivision rings of  $K$ ,  $H\triangleleft M$ ,  $H'\subset N(M)$ , then  $H\subset Z(M)$ .*

**LEMMA 3.**<sup>2</sup> *Let  $L$  and  $M$  be subdivision rings of  $K$  with  $L$  noncommutative. Then the index  $[L':L'\cap N(M)]\neq 2$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $P=L\cap M$ ,  $Q=L\cap Z(M)$ ,  $R=L'\cap N(M)$ . Then  $P'\triangle R$  and  $Q'\triangle R$ . Now deny the lemma. Assume that  $x\in R$ ,  $x\notin M$ ,  $x\notin Z(M)$ . By Lemma 1,  $x+1\notin N(M)$  and  $x-1\notin N(M)$ . Since

---

Presented to the Society, January 29, 1960; received by the editors August 1, 1959.

<sup>1</sup> The gap occurs in the first half of the next to the last sentence in the paper, where the case  $N(M)=N^2(M)$  is not considered.

<sup>2</sup> For closely related results, see Faith [1, Theorem 3 and Corollary 1].

$[L': R] = 2$ ,  $x^2 - 1 = (x+1)(x-1) \in R$ . Since  $x^2 \in N(M)$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that  $x^2 \in M$  or  $x^2 \in Z(M)$ , and so  $x^2 \in P$  or  $x^2 \in Q$ . Thus for any  $y \in R$ ,  $y^2 \in P$  or  $y^2 \in Q$ . It follows that

(1) If  $u \in L$ , then  $u^4 \in P$  or  $u^4 \in Q$ .

We assert that

(2) There is a function  $f$  from  $L$  to the natural numbers such that either (i)  $u^{f(u)} \in P$  for all  $u \in L$ , or (ii)  $u^{f(u)} \in Q$  for all  $u \in L$ .

In fact, if (2) is false, there are elements  $u$  and  $v$  of  $L$  such that  $u^n \notin Q$ ,  $v^n \notin P$  for all natural numbers  $n$ . But then by (1),  $u^4 \in P$ ,  $v^4 \in Q$ , and by the definitions of  $P$  and  $Q$ ,  $u^4$  and  $v^4$  permute. By (1),  $(u^4v^4)^4 \in P$  or  $Q$ . Hence  $v^{16} \in P$  or  $u^{16} \in Q$ , a contradiction. Hence (2) holds.

In either case of (2), there is a proper subdivision ring  $S$  (one of the rings  $P$  or  $Q$ ) of  $L$  such that  $u^{f(u)} \in S$  for all  $u \in L$ , and such that  $S$  has just one or two conjugates via  $L$ , namely  $S$  and (perhaps)  $S^*$ . Clearly there is a function  $g$  from  $L$  to the natural numbers such that  $u^{g(u)} \in S^*$  for all  $u \in L$ . Since  $(S \cap S^*)' \triangle L'$ , by the Cartan-Brauer-Hua theorem,  $S \cap S^* \subset Z(L)$ . Therefore  $u^{f(u)g(u)} \in Z(L)$  for all  $u \in L$ . By [2],  $L$  is commutative, a contradiction.

LEMMA 4. *If  $K$  is a division ring and  $M$  a noncommutative proper subdivision ring, then  $M'$  is not subinvariant in  $K'$ .*

PROOF. Deny the lemma. Let  $n$  be the smallest natural number such that there exist a division ring  $K$ , a noncommutative subdivision ring  $M$  and subgroups  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  such that  $M' \triangle G_1 \triangle G_2 \triangle \dots \triangle G_n = K'$ .

If  $x \in K'$  and  $M < M^x$ , then  $M' \triangle (G_1 \cap M^x) \triangle \dots \triangle (G_{n-1} \cap M^x) = (M^x)'$ , contradicting the minimality property of  $n$ . Hence  $M \not< M^x$  if  $x \in K'$ .

By Lemma 2, if  $x \in G_2$  and  $M^x \neq M$ , then  $M \subset Z(M^x)$ . By the Cartan-Brauer-Hua theorem,  $n \neq 1$ . Hence by the minimality property of  $n$ , there is a conjugate  $M^y \neq M$  of  $M$  with  $y \in G_2$ . Let  $Q$  be the intersection of all centralizers of conjugates of  $M$  via  $G_2$ , other than  $M$  and  $M^y$ , and let  $Q = K$  if there are no such conjugates. Then  $M \subset Q$  and  $M^y \subset Q$ . It is then clear that  $M$  has at most two conjugates via  $G_2 \cap Q$ . Now  $M' \triangle G_1 \cap Q \triangle \dots \triangle G_n \cap Q = Q'$ . Hence, returning to the original notation, it may be assumed that  $M$  has just two conjugates via  $G_2$ , namely  $M$  and  $M^y$ .

If  $M < Z(M^y)$ , then  $M$  is the only conjugate of itself via  $G_2 \cap Z(M^y)$ , and the minimality of  $n$  is contradicted in the usual way. Hence  $M = Z(M^y)$ , and similarly  $M^y = Z(M)$ . If  $x \in N(M)$ , then  $M^{yx} = (Z(M))^x = Z(M^x) = Z(M) = M^y$ , so that  $N(M^y) \supset N(M)$ . Similarly  $N(M)$

$\supset N(M^y)$ . Hence  $N(M^y) = N(M)$ . Therefore  $N(M)^y = N(M^y) = N(M)$ , and  $y \in N^2(M) \setminus N(M)$ .

If  $x \in G_2$ , then  $M^x = M$  or  $M^y$ , so that  $x \in N(M)$  or  $x \in N(M)y$ . Hence  $G_2 \subset N^2(M)$ . Now if  $G_2 = K'$ , then  $M$  has just two conjugates in  $K$ , in contradiction to Lemma 3. Thus  $G_2 < K'$ . If  $G_3 \subset N^2(M)$ , then  $M' \triangle G_3 \cap N(M) \triangle G_3 \cap N^2(M) = G_3$ , contradicting the minimality of  $n$ . Hence there is a  $u \in G_3 \setminus N^2(M)$ . Then  $(M^u)' \subset G_2 \subset N^2(M)$ . If  $M^u \not\subset N(M)$ , then  $[(M^u)': M^u \cap N(M)] = 2$ . This contradicts Lemma 3. Therefore  $(M^u)' \subset N(M)$ . Since  $u \notin N^2(M)$ ,  $M^u \neq M$  or  $M^y$ . By Lemma 2,  $M^u < Z(M) = M^y$ . Thus  $M < M^{yu^{-1}}$ , which was shown to be impossible in the first part of the proof.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. C. C. Faith, *On conjugates in division rings*, Canad. J. Math. vol. 10 (1958) pp 374-380.
2. I. Kaplansky, *A theorem on division rings*, Canad. J. Math. vol. 3 (1951) pp. 290-292.
3. Eugene Schenkman, *Some remarks on the multiplicative group of a field*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 9 (1958) pp. 231-235.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN,  
LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY AND  
UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS