$S^n$ , and K is then a fibre bundle over  $S^n$ . Consequently we have the following commutative diagram of exact sequences

 $\cdots \to \Pi_k(O_n) \to \Pi_k(O_{n+1}) \to \Pi_k(S^n) \to \Pi_{k-1}(O_n) \to \Pi_{k-1}(O_{n+1}) \to \cdots$  $\downarrow i_* \qquad \downarrow i_* \qquad \downarrow j_* \qquad \downarrow i_* \qquad \downarrow i_*$  $\cdots \to \Pi_k(K_0) \to \Pi_k(K) \rightarrow \Pi_k(S^n) \to \Pi_{k-1}(K_0) \to \Pi_{k-1}(K) \to \cdots$ 

where  $j_*$  is the identity. It is easily seen that  $\operatorname{Ker}(i_*: \Pi_k(O_{n+1}) \to \Pi_k(K))$ is the image under  $\Pi_k(O_n) \to \Pi_k(O_{n+1})$  of  $\operatorname{Ker}(i_*: \Pi_k(O_n) \to \Pi_k(K_0))$ . Since this last kernel is O the theorem follows.

## References

1. D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, *Topological transformation groups*, New York, Interscience Publishers, 1955.

2. N. Steenrod, The topology of fibre bundles, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1951.

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

## A NOTE ON GAUSS' FIRST PROOF OF THE QUADRATIC RECIPROCITY THEOREM

## L. CARLITZ

We assume that the reader is familiar with Mathews' exposition [1, pp. 45-50] of the inductive proof of the reciprocity theorem. There are three main cases:

I. pRq,

II. pNq,  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,

III. pNq,  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

In I we have  $e^2 - p = q^f$ , in II we have  $e^2 + p = q^f$ . In III we have first the lemma which asserts the existence of a prime p' < q such that qNp'. This implies p'Nq, so that pp'Rq and so  $e^2 - pp' = qf$ . In each of the cases I and II it is necessary to treat two sub-cases; in case III there are four sub-cases. Thus in all there are eight cases to consider.

We should like to point out in this note that it is possible to handle all cases simultaneously by introducing a little notation. To begin with, we define

Received by the editors September 22, 1959.

$$r = \begin{cases} 1 & (\text{case I}) \\ -1 & (\text{case II}) \\ p' & (\text{case III}). \end{cases}$$

Thus we have the single equation

$$e^2 - rp = qf,$$

where e is even and  $\langle q, f$  is odd and  $|f| \langle q$ . Next we put

$$d = (f, rp), \quad f = df', \quad e = de', \quad rp = dd',$$

so that (1) becomes

$$de'^2 - d' = qt';$$

moreover

$$(f', dd') = (d, d') = (q, dd') = 1.$$

From (2) we get  $qf' \equiv -d' \pmod{4}$ , so that

(3) 
$$q+d'+f'\equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

Now from (2) we also get

$$\left(\frac{dd'}{f'}\right) = \left(\frac{qdf'}{d'}\right) = \left(\frac{-qd'f'}{d}\right) = 1,$$

which gives

$$\left(\frac{q}{dd'}\right) = \left(\frac{-d'}{d}\right) \left(\frac{d}{d'}\right) \left(\frac{f'}{dd'}\right).$$

We now apply the generalized reciprocity theorem:

$$\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = -1^{(m-1)(n-1)/4},$$

where *m* and *n* are odd and relatively prime; also one of the numbers may be negative. The special cases *m* or  $n = \pm 1$  are included. Then we get, since (dd'/f') = 1,

(4) 
$$\left(\frac{q}{dd'}\right) = (-1)^{\lambda},$$

where

[August

564

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4} (d-1)(-d'-1) + \frac{1}{4} (f'-1)(dd'-1).$$

Using (3) we find that

$$\begin{split} \lambda &\equiv \frac{1}{4} (d-1)(-d'-1) - \frac{1}{4} (q+d')(dd'-1) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{4} (d-1)(-d'-1) - \frac{1}{4} (d'+1)(d+d'-2) \\ &- \frac{1}{4} (q-1)(dd'-1) \\ &\equiv -\frac{1}{4} (d'+1)(2d+d'-3) - \frac{1}{4} (q-1)(dd'-1) \\ &\equiv -\frac{1}{4} (d'^2-1) - \frac{1}{2} (d'+1)(d-1) - \frac{1}{4} (q-1)(dd'-1) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{4} (q-1)(rp-1) \pmod{2}; \end{split}$$

where at the last step we used rp = dd'. Thus (4) becomes

(5) 
$$\left(\frac{q}{rp}\right) = (-1)^{(q-1)(rp-1)/4}.$$

In cases I and II (5) is in obvious agreement with the reciprocity theorem; in III there is also agreement since we have qNp'. Thus in III (5) reduces to

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = -1,$$

which is the desired relation.

## Reference

1. G. B. Mathews, Theory of numbers, Cambridge, 1892.

DUKE UNIVERSITY