THE RELATION BETWEEN TWO DEFINITE INTEGRALS

GEORGE E. CROSS

The C_rP -integral of Burkill [1] and the P^{r+1} -integral of James [2] both depend on the concept of major and minor functions which in turn are defined in terms of generalized derivatives and derivates. The upper and lower C_r -derivates occurring in the definition of the C_rP -integral of f(t), are given in terms of a $C_{r-1}P$ -integral. The C_rP integral is thus defined inductively, the C_0P -integral being the well known Perron integral [4, p. 201]. The definition of the \mathcal{P}^{r+1} -integral, however, is made directly, the generalized derivative utilized in this case being the de la Vallée Poussin derivative [6, p. 59]. The properties of the de la Vallée Poussin derivatives in connection with the C_rP -integral have been studied by Burkill [1] and Sargent [5], and James [2] was able to show that C_rP -integrability implies \mathcal{P}^{r+1} integrability. In particular James proved that the indefinite \mathcal{P}^{r+1} integral is equal to an (r+1)-fold integral in which the innermost integral is an indefinite C_rP -integral, the next one an indefinite $C_{r-1}P$ -integral, and so on, the outermost integral being an indefinite C_0P -integral. This note demonstrates the factor, dependent on r, by which the C_rP -(definite) integral differs from the P^{r+1} -(definite) integral.

THEOREM. Let f(t) be C_rP -integrable over [-b, b], where r is a positive integer; define f for all other real t by extending it to be periodic with period 2b. Let m be (r-1)/2 if r is odd and (r-2)/2 if r is even, and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+1}$ be the points

$$-2(m+1)b, -2mb, \cdots, -2b, 2b, 4b, \cdots, 2(r-m)b.$$

Then

$$(2b)^{-r} \binom{r+1}{m+1} \int_{\alpha_i}^0 f(t) d_{r+1} t = C_r P \int_{-b}^b f(t) dt.$$

Proof. Let

$$F_r(x) = C_r P \int_{-rb}^x f(t) dt,$$

$$F_k(x) = C_k P \int_{-rb}^x F_{k+1}(t) dt, \qquad 0 \le k \le r - 1,$$

Received by the editors May 21, 1959 and, in revised form, October 5, 1959.

$$F(x) = F_0(x),$$

and consider the case when r is odd. (The proof for r even is similar.) It is easy to verify that

$$F_r(x) = C_r P \int_{-x}^x f(t)dt = \frac{x}{2b} C_r P \int_{-b}^b f(t)dt + G(x) = x\mu + G(x),$$

where G(x) is periodic with period 2b. It follows that F(x) may be written as $G_1(x) + G_2(x) + G_3(x)$ where $G_1(x) = \mu x^{r+1}/(r+1)!$, $G_2(x)$ is periodic with period 2b, and $G_3(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree r.

It is known [2, p. 168] that if $\lambda(x; \alpha_i) = \prod_{j \neq i} (x - \alpha_j) / (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$, then

$$(*) \qquad (-1)^{\lceil (r+1)/2 \rceil + r + 1} \int_{(\alpha_i)}^0 f(t) d_{r+1} t = F(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \lambda(0; \alpha_i) F(\alpha_i).$$

The terms involving G_1 in (*) are equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{r+1} (-\alpha_i)$ multiplied by a divided difference [3, Chapter 1] of order (r+1) for the function G_1 . Every divided difference of order (r+1) for the constant $G_2(0)$ and the polynomial $G_3(x)$ equals 0.

The right hand side of (*) thus reduces to

$$(-1)^{(r+1)/2}\mu(2b)^{r+1} / {r+1 \choose m+1}$$

This yields

$$(2b)^{-r-1}\binom{r+1}{m+1}\int_{(a_r)}^0 f(t)d_{r+1}t = (2b)^{-1}C_rP\int_{-b}^b f(t)dt,$$

the required result.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. C. Burkill, The Cesàro-Perron scale of integration, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) vol. 39 (1935) pp. 541-552.
- 2. R. D. James, Generalized n-th primitives, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 76 (1954) pp. 149-176.
 - 3. L. M. Milne-Thomson, The calculus of finite differences, London, 1933.
 - 4. S. Saks, Theory of the integral, Warsaw, 1937.
- 5. W. L. C. Sargent, On generalized derivatives and Cesàro-Denjoy integrals, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) vol. 52 (1951) pp. 365-376.
 - 6. A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series, 2nd ed., vol. II, Cambridge, 1958.

VICTORIA COLLEGE, VICTORIA, B. C., CANADA