

## ON THE IMBEDDABILITY OF CERTAIN COMPLEXES IN EUCLIDEAN SPACES

P. J. HILTON AND E. H. SPANIER<sup>1</sup>

**1. Statement of results.** Let  $S^p \cup_f e^q$  ( $0 < p < q$ ) denote the complex obtained by attaching a  $q$ -cell  $e^q$  to the  $p$ -sphere  $S^p$  by means of a continuous map  $f: S^{q-1} \rightarrow S^p$ . Thus  $S^p \cup_f e^q$  is the union of the mapping cylinder of  $f$  with a cone over  $S^{q-1}$ . In this note we consider the problem of imbedding such a complex in euclidean space or, equivalently, in a sphere. It is clear that the mapping cylinder of  $f$  can be imbedded in the join  $S^{q-1} * S^p$ , which is homeomorphic to  $S^{p+q}$ , and, therefore,  $S^p \cup_f e^q$  can be imbedded in  $S^{p+q+1}$ . We shall give a condition which insures that this imbedding is into the lowest dimensional sphere in which it is possible to imbed  $S^p \cup_f e^q$ .

We say that the map  $f: S^{q-1} \rightarrow S^p$  can be *S-desuspended* if there is a map  $g: S^{q-2} \rightarrow S^{p-1}$  and an integer  $k \geq 0$  such that the  $(k+1)$ -fold suspension of  $g$  is homotopic to the  $k$ -fold suspension of  $f$  (denoted by  $S^{k+1}g \simeq S^k f$ ). If there is no such  $g$ , then we say  $f$  cannot be *S-desuspended*. Our main result is:

**THEOREM I.** *Let  $f: S^{q-1} \rightarrow S^p$  be a map which cannot be S-desuspended. Then  $S^p \cup_f e^q$  can be imbedded in  $S^{p+q+1}$  but not in  $S^{p+q}$ .*

As an application of this theorem we see that if  $f: S^{q-1} \rightarrow S^2$  ( $q \geq 4$ ) is such that no suspension of  $f$  is null homotopic then  $S^2 \cup_f e^q$  can be imbedded in  $S^{q+3}$  but not in  $S^{q+2}$ . In particular, the complex projective plane is homeomorphic to  $S^2 \cup_f e^4$  where  $f: S^3 \rightarrow S^2$  is a map of Hopf invariant one so it can be imbedded in  $S^7$  but not in  $S^6$ .

In Theorem I note that if  $p = q - 1$  then any map  $f: S^p \rightarrow S^p$  can be *S-desuspended* unless  $p = 1$  and  $f$  has degree different from 0 and  $\pm 1$ . If  $p = 1$  and  $f$  has degree  $\pm k$  where  $k > 1$ , then  $S^1 \cup_f e^2$  has a finite nontrivial second cohomology group so if it could be imbedded in  $S^3$  it would follow from the Alexander duality theorem that its complement in  $S^3$  would have a finite nontrivial zero dimensional homology group, and this is impossible. Hence, Theorem I is proved in this case. Since any inessential map  $f: S^{q-1} \rightarrow S^p$  can be *S-desuspended*, Theorem I does not apply for such maps. Therefore, to com-

---

Received by the editors September 8, 1959.

<sup>1</sup> The authors were supported in part by Contract No. Nonr. 401 (20) and NSF Grant No. G-9103, respectively, during the period when this paper was in preparation.

plete the proof of Theorem I we need only consider the range of values  $1 < p < q - 1$ .

The proof of Theorem I follows from an investigation of a weaker imbeddability which is better adapted to the  $S$ -category and duality therein. We say that a finite CW-complex  $X$  can be  $S$ -imbedded in  $S^n$  if there is a subcomplex  $Y$  of  $S^n$  and an integer  $k \geq 0$  such that  $S^k X$  and  $S^k Y$  have the same homotopy type. Let  $i(X)$  denote the least integer  $n$  such that  $X$  can be  $S$ -imbedded in  $S^n$ . The function  $i(X)$  has been considered in [2; 3]. Clearly  $X$  cannot be imbedded in a sphere of dimension  $i(X) - 1$ .

Given a map  $f: S^{q-1} \rightarrow S^p$  ( $1 < p < q - 1$ ) let  $d(f)$  denote the greatest integer  $k$  such that there is a map  $g: S^{q-k-1} \rightarrow S^{p-k}$  with  $S^{k+r}g \simeq S^r f$  for some  $r \geq 0$ . Then  $0 \leq d(f) \leq p$ , and  $d(f) = 0$  if and only if  $f$  cannot be  $S$ -desuspended while  $d(f) = p$  if and only if some suspension of  $f$  is null homotopic. In view of remarks made earlier Theorem I will follow if we can prove that if  $f$  cannot be  $S$ -desuspended then

$$i(S^p \cup_f e^q) \geq p + q + 1.$$

This is a consequence of the following:

**THEOREM II.** *Let  $1 < p < q - 1$ . Then for any map  $f: S^{q-1} \rightarrow S^p$  we have*

$$i(S^p \cup_f e^q) = p + q + 1 - d(f).$$

The proof of Theorem II uses the duality in the  $S$ -category [4] and some results of [1]. The case  $q = p + 3$  of this theorem has been established by F. P. Peterson and N. Stein (in a forthcoming paper) using secondary cohomology operations.

**2. Proofs.** Before we proceed to the proof of Theorem II we list some results which will be used in the proof. Throughout this section we shall assume  $1 < p < q - 1$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $S^p \cup_f e^q$  is of the same homotopy type as a suspension, then  $f$  is homotopic to  $Sg$  for some map  $g: S^{q-2} \rightarrow S^{p-1}$ .*

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $S^p \cup_f e^q$  and  $S^p \cup_{g'} e^q$  have the same homotopy type, then  $f \simeq h g' h'$  where  $h: S^p \rightarrow S^p$  and  $h': S^{q-1} \rightarrow S^{q-1}$  are homotopy equivalences.*

Lemma 1 is just Lemma 3.6 of [1], and Lemma 2 is proved in the proof of Lemma 3.6 of [1].

We let  $X \# Y = X \times Y / X \vee Y$  denote the reduced product of  $X$  and  $Y$ . A duality map

$$v: S^p \# S^r \rightarrow S^{p+r}$$

as defined in [4] reduces in the special case of spheres to just a homotopy equivalence between  $S^p \# S^r$  and  $S^{p+r}$ . It is a consequence of Theorem (5.9) of [4] that if

$$u: S^r \# S^p \rightarrow S^{p+r}, \quad v: S^p \# S^r \rightarrow S^{p+r}$$

are duality maps there is an isomorphism

$$D(u, v): \{S^p, S^r\} \approx \{S^p, S^r\}$$

(where  $\{S^p, S^r\}$  denotes the group of stable homotopy classes and is the direct limit of the groups  $\pi_{p+k}(S^{r+k})$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ). Furthermore, if  $k$  is large enough and  $f: S^{p+k} \rightarrow S^{r+k}, f': S^{p+k} \rightarrow S^{r+k}$  represent elements  $\{f\}, \{f'\} \in \{S^p, S^r\}$ , then  $D(u, v)\{f\} = \{f'\}$  if and only if the following diagram is homotopy commutative (see (5.11) of [4])

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{p+k} \# S^{p+k} & \xrightarrow{1 \# f} & S^{p+k} \# S^{r+k} \\ f' \# 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow v_{k,k} \\ S^{r+k} \# S^{p+k} & \xrightarrow{u_{k,k}} & S^{p+r+2k} \end{array}$$

The following answers a question raised on page 270 of [3].

LEMMA 3. *The map  $D(u, v): \{S^p, S^r\} \approx \{S^p, S^r\}$  is equal to  $\pm 1$  (where 1 denotes the identity map of  $\{S^p, S^r\}$ ).*

PROOF. Since  $v_{k,k}$  and  $u_{k,k}$  are homotopy equivalences and the map  $T: S^{p+k} \# S^{r+k} \rightarrow S^{r+k} \# S^{p+k}$  which interchanges the two factors is also a homotopy equivalence, it follows that  $v_{k,k} \simeq h u_{k,k} T$  where  $h: S^{p+r+2k} \rightarrow S^{p+r+2k}$  is a homotopy equivalence (so has degree  $\pm 1$ ). From the homotopy commutativity of the diagram above we see that

$$u_{k,k}(f' \# 1) \simeq h u_{k,k} T(1 \# f) \simeq u_{k,k} h' T(1 \# f)$$

where  $h'$  is a homotopy equivalence of  $S^{r+k} \# S^{p+k}$  with itself. Since  $u_{k,k}$  is a homotopy equivalence, this implies that

$$f' \# 1 \simeq h' T(1 \# f).$$

Let  $T'$  be the map of  $S^{p+k} \# S^{p+k}$  into itself which interchanges the two factors. Then  $T(1 \# f) = (f \# 1)T'$  so

$$f' \# 1 \simeq h'(f \# 1)T'.$$

Since  $f' \# 1 = S^{p+k}f', f \# 1 = S^{p+k}f$  and  $h', T'$  are homotopy equivalences, the above equation implies that  $\{f'\} = \pm \{f\}$  and completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM II. Let  $g: S^{q-k-1} \rightarrow S^{p-k}$  be a map which cannot be  $S$ -desuspended and such that  $S^{k+r}g \simeq S^r f$  (so  $d(f) = k$ ). Then as we

saw in the first paragraph of §1,  $S^{p-k} \cup_{\theta} e^{q-k}$  can be imbedded in  $S^{p+q-2k+1}$  so  $S^k(S^{p-k} \cup_{\theta} e^{q-k})$  can be imbedded in  $S^{p+q-k+1}$ . Since  $S^r(S^k g) \simeq S^r f$  it follows that  $S^r(S^k(S^{p-k} \cup_{\theta} e^{q-k}))$  has the same homotopy type as  $S^r(S^p \cup_f e^q)$  so  $S^p \cup_f e^q$  can be  $S$ -imbedded in  $S^{p+q-k+1}$  showing that  $i(S^p \cup_f e^q) \leq p+q+1-d(f)$ .

To prove the opposite inequality note that if  $d(f) = p$  there is no subset  $Y$  of  $S^q$  such that  $S^k Y$  and  $S^k(S^p \cup_f e^q)$  have the same homotopy type because such a  $Y$  would have to have  $H^p(Y)$  and  $H^q(Y)$  different from 0, and there is no subset of  $S^q$  like that. Therefore, if  $d(f) = p$ ,  $i(S^p \cup_f e^q) = q+1$ .

Therefore, we may assume  $d(f) < p$ . Since  $2 \leq p < q-1$  we must also have  $d(f) < p-1$ . Assume  $i(S^p \cup_f e^q) < p+q+1-d(f)$  so  $S^p \cup_f e^q$  can be  $S$ -imbedded in  $S^{p+q-d(f)}$ . Let  $X$  be a subcomplex of  $S^{p+q-d(f)}$  such that  $S^r X$  has the same homotopy type as  $S^r(S^p \cup_f e^q)$ . Let  $X'$  be a deformation retract of  $S^{p+q-d(f)} - X$ . Then  $X'$  is a  $(p+q-d(f)-1)$ -dual<sup>2</sup> of  $X$  (5.1 of [4]) so  $X'$  is a  $(p+q+r-d(f)-1)$ -dual of  $S^r X$  and, hence, also of  $S^r(S^p \cup_f e^q)$ . Let  $u: S^p \# S^{q-1} \rightarrow S^{p+q-1}$ ,  $v: S^{q-1} \# S^p \rightarrow S^{p+q-1}$  be duality maps. Then  $D(u, v)\{f\} = \{g\}$  where  $\{g\} = \pm \{f\}$  by Lemma 3. By (6.10) of [4]  $S^p \cup_f e^q$  and  $S^p \cup_{\theta} e^q$  are  $(p+q)$ -dual. Then  $S^r(S^p \cup_f e^q)$  and  $S^p \cup_{\theta} e^q$  are  $(p+q+r)$ -dual. Since  $S^{d(f)} X'$  is also  $(p+q+r)$ -dual to  $S^r(S^p \cup_f e^q)$ , it follows that, for some  $k$ , we have  $S^{k+d(f)+1} X'$  is of the same homotopy type as  $S^k(S^p \cup_{\theta} e^q)$ . Now  $S^1 X'$  is of the same homotopy type as  $S^{p-d(f)} \cup_{\theta_1} e^{q-d(f)}$  for some  $g_1: S^{q-d(f)-1} \rightarrow S^{p-d(f)}$  (because it is simply connected and has nontrivial integral homology groups only in dimensions  $p-d(f)$  and  $q-d(f)$ , and these are infinite cyclic). By Lemma 1,  $g_1 \simeq S g'_1$  for some  $g'_1: S^{q-d(f)-2} \rightarrow S^{p-d(f)-1}$ , and by Lemma 2,  $S^k g \simeq \pm S^{k+d(f)} g_1$  so  $S^k g \simeq \pm S^{k+d(f)+1} g'_1$ . Therefore,  $d(g) \geq d(f) + 1$ . Since  $\{g\} = \pm \{f\}$ ,  $d(g) = d(f)$ , and we have arrived at a contradiction.

REFERENCES

1. I. Bernstein and P. J. Hilton, *Category and generalized Hopf invariants*, Illinois J. Math. (to appear.)
2. F. P. Petersen, *Some non-embedding problems*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana, (II) vol. 2 (1957) pp. 9-15.
3. E. Spanier, *Duality and the suspension category*, Symposium Internacional de Topologia Algebraica, Mexico City, 1958, pp. 259-272.
4. ———, *Function spaces and duality*, Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 70 (1959) pp. 338-378.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY AND UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM,  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

<sup>2</sup> This is the notational usage of [4]. In terms of the definition and terminology of [3]  $X'$  is a  $(p+q-d(f))$ -dual of  $X$ .