

$r \geq \sum_p r(p)$ then G is quotient divisible.

PROOF. For each p , G/pG is a direct sum of cyclic groups of order p : $G/pG = \sum_{(i,p) \in I(p)} Z(x(i, p) + pG)$ for some elements $x(i, p) \in G$. Evidently, $r(p) = |I(p)|$. Since $\text{rank } pG = \text{rank } G = r$ for each p , we may apply Theorem 2.1 with $N = \{p \mid p \text{ is a prime}\}$, $A_p = pG$ for each $p \in N$ and $B_p = G$ for each $p \in N$, and obtain a free group $F \subseteq G$ such that $F + pG = G$ for each p . Applying Lemma 3.3, with S any basis of F , we conclude that G is quotient divisible.

COROLLARY 3.5. Any torsion free group of infinite rank is quotient divisible.

PROOF. $\sum_p r(p) \leq \sum_p r = \aleph_0 r = r$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. A. Beaumont and R. S. Pierce, *Torsion free rings*, Illinois J. Math. 5 (1961), 61-98.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

CONVOLUTIONS OF SLOWLY OSCILLATING FUNCTIONS

J. P. TULL

1. **Introduction.** In the study of asymptotic formulae for arithmetic functions we invariably come upon such functions as $x^\alpha \log^\beta x$, $\text{li } x$, $x^\alpha (\log x)^\beta (\log \log x)^\gamma$, $x^\alpha \exp(\beta \log^{\gamma_0} x)$. If α is complex and β and γ are real numbers ($\gamma_0 < 1$) then these functions are of the form $x^\alpha L(x)$, where L is a *slowly oscillating* function; i.e., a continuous positive valued function on $[x_0, \infty)$ for some $x_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} L(cx)/L(x) = 1$$

for each $c > 0$. A common approach to asymptotic formulae is the convolution method of Landau which was formulated into a general theorem by the author [4]. The resulting main terms involve convolutions of functions $x^\alpha L(x)$. In the present paper we shall give conditions under which such convolutions are also in the form $x^\alpha L(x)$ or nearly so.

Now it is known [1; 3] (see [2] for other properties) that a function L on $[x_0, \infty)$ is slowly oscillating if and only if there exist continuous functions ρ and δ on $[x_0, \infty)$ such that $\rho(x) > 0$, $\rho(x) \rightarrow \rho_0 > 0$, $\delta(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and

Received by the editors February 24, 1961.

$$(2) \quad L(x) = \rho(x) \exp \int_{x_0}^x t^{-1} \delta(t) dt.$$

We shall be concerned in particular with the *special* slowly oscillating functions having the form

$$(3) \quad L(x) = \rho \exp \int_1^x t^{-1} \delta(t) dt,$$

where $\rho > 0$ is constant and δ is a bounded measurable function with $\delta(x) = o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. By a *convolution* of two functions A and B we shall mean one of

$$(4) \quad \int_a^{x/a} A(x/u) dB(u) \quad \text{or} \quad \int_a^{x/a} k(u) A(x/u) B(u) du.$$

The former of these expressions is called the *Stieltjes resultant* of A by B on $[a^2, \infty)$.

2. A convolution theorem. *Suppose α and β are real numbers with $\beta \neq 0$ and that L and M are slowly oscillating with M special. Then for sufficiently large $a \geq 1$ the Stieltjes resultant on $[a^2, \infty)$ of $x^\alpha L(x)$ by $x^\beta M(x)$ has the form $\beta x^\gamma N(x)$ where $\gamma = \max(\alpha, \beta)$ and $N(x)$ is slowly oscillating for $x > a^2$. Further, $N(x)$ is asymptotically proportional to $L(x)$ if $\alpha > \beta$ and to $M(x)$ if $\alpha < \beta$.*

PROOF. If M is special then we have

$$M(x) = \rho \exp \int_1^x t^{-1} \delta(t) dt$$

with $\rho > 0$, $\delta(t) = o(1)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Choose $a \geq 1$ so that $|\delta(t)| < |\beta|$ for all $t \geq a$ and thus $\beta + \delta(t)$ remains constant in sign for $t \geq a$. Now the Stieltjes resultant in question is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^{x/a} (x/u)^\alpha L(x/u) d(u^\beta M(u)) \\ &= \int_a^{x/a} (x/u)^\alpha L(x/u) u^{\beta-1} M(u) (\beta + \delta(u)) du \\ (1) \quad &= x^\alpha \int_a^{x/a} u^{-(\alpha-\beta)-1} L(x/u) M(u) (\beta + \delta(u)) du \\ (2) \quad &= x^\beta \int_a^{x/a} u^{-(\beta-\alpha)-1} M(x/u) (\beta + \delta(x/u)) L(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha > \beta$ we apply Lemma 1 below to (1) with

$$A(x) = x^{-(\alpha-\beta)-1}M(x)(\beta + \delta(x)) = O(x^{-(\alpha-\beta)-1+\epsilon})$$

for each $\epsilon > 0$ (e.g., $\epsilon = (\alpha - \beta)/2$). Thus the resultant is asymptotic to

$$x^\alpha L(x) \int_a^\infty u^{-(\alpha-\beta)-1}M(u)(\beta + \delta(u))du$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, if $\alpha > \beta$. (The integral is different from 0 by our choice of a .) Similarly, if $\beta > \alpha$, we apply Lemma 1 to (2) and find the resultant asymptotic to

$$\beta x^\beta M(x) \int_a^\infty u^{-(\beta-\alpha)-1}L(u)du.$$

If $\alpha = \beta$, (1) gives

$$x^\alpha \int_a^{x/a} u^{-1}L(x/u)M(u)(\beta + \delta(u))du,$$

to which we apply Lemma 2.

3. Two lemmas. LEMMA 1. *Suppose A and B are measurable functions on $[a, \infty)$ ($a > 0$) with*

$$A(x) = O(x^{-\kappa})$$

for some $\kappa > 1$. Suppose B is positive valued, bounded on each bounded interval and asymptotic to a slowly oscillating function. Then

$$(1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^{x/a} A(u)(B(x/u)/B(x))du = \int_a^\infty A(u)du.$$

PROOF. Set $B(x) = 0$ for $0 \leq x < a$ and apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to

$$\int_a^{x/a} A(u)(B(x/u)/B(x))du = \int_a^\infty A(u)(B(x/u)/B(x))du.$$

Now for all $u \geq a$

$$(2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} A(u)B(x/u)/B(x) = A(u).$$

With the aid of (1.2), for each $\epsilon > 0$

$$B(x/u)/B(x) = O(u^\epsilon)$$

uniformly for $u \geq a$, $x \geq K$ (sufficiently large), and so we have

$$(3) \quad A(u)B(x/u)/B(x) = O(u^{-(\kappa-\epsilon)})$$

with $0 < \epsilon < \kappa - 1$. Thus since $u^{-(\kappa-\epsilon)}$ is absolutely integrable over $[a, \infty)$, (2) and (3) imply (1).

LEMMA 2. *Suppose L is slowly oscillating and B is measurable and asymptotic to a slowly oscillating function. Then for sufficiently large a*

$$N(x) = \int_a^{x/a} u^{-1}L(x/u)B(u)du = \int_a^{x/a} u^{-1}L(u)B(x/u)du$$

is slowly oscillating for $x \geq x_0 > a^2$.

The proof is elementary. We use the fact that $\int_a^x u^{-1}L(u)du$ is slowly oscillating and that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} B(cx)/B(x) = 1$$

uniformly for $k_1 \leq c \leq k_2$ for each $k_2 > k_1 > 0$.

4. Remarks. If $\alpha = \beta = 0$ we have the Stieltjes resultant of L by M . We can show it slowly oscillating under various hypotheses. In particular if L and M are both special and nondecreasing (M nonconstant).

Note that A in Lemma 1 need not be real-valued. Thus if α and β are complex with $\Re\alpha \neq \Re\beta$ the Stieltjes resultant of $x^\alpha L(x)$ by $x^\beta M(x)$ is $x^\gamma N(x)$ with $N(x)$ complex-valued but asymptotically proportional to $L(x)$ or $M(x)$ according as $\Re\alpha > \Re\beta$ or $\Re\beta > \Re\alpha$. γ would be α in the former case and β in the latter.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Karamata, *Sur une mode de croissance régulière des fonctions*, *Mathematica (Cluj)* **4** (1930), 38-53.
2. E. E. Kohlbecker, *Weak asymptotic properties of partitions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88** (1958), 346-365.
3. J. Korevaar, T. van Aardenne-Ehrenfest, and N. G. de Bruijn, *A note on slowly oscillating functions*, *Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde* **23** (1949), 77-86.
4. J. P. Tull, *Dirichlet multiplication in lattice-point problems*. II. *Pacific J. Math.* **9**, no. 2 (1959), 609-615.

OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY