

MAXIMAL SUBFIELDS OF AN ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED FIELD NOT CONTAINING A GIVEN ELEMENT

FRANK QUIGLEY

If K is an algebraically closed extension of a field Q , and if $\alpha \in K$, $\alpha \notin Q$, then the set \mathfrak{S} of all subfields of K not containing α is inductive when partially ordered by inclusion. A maximal element M of \mathfrak{S} is by definition a *maximal field without α* . Such fields are thus characterized by the property that all their proper extensions (in K) contain α .

Theorems 1–3 describe the structure of K/M in detail, and Theorems 4–6, in view of this structure, give existence proofs more precise than that trivially given by Zorn's Lemma. The methods throughout are those of classical Galois theory; Lemma 2 (and possibly Lemma 4) are known, but, lacking suitable references, we give proofs of both.

All fields to be considered are subfields of K , and the characteristic of K is $q \geq 0$.

LEMMA 1. *If M is maximal without α , then K is an algebraic extension of M .*

We observe first that α is algebraic over M ; for if $\alpha^2 \notin M$, then $M(\alpha^2) \supset M(\alpha) \supset M(\alpha^2)$, so that α^2 is algebraic over M . Let \bar{M} be the algebraic closure of M in K . If $\exists \eta \in \bar{M}$, then η is transcendental over M , as is every element of $M(\eta)$ not in M . Since $M(\eta) \neq M$, it follows that $M(\eta) \supset M(\alpha)$; thus, since $\alpha \notin M$, it follows that α is transcendental over M ; a contradiction.

THEOREM 1. *If M is maximal without α , then there exists a prime number p such that $[N: M]$ is a power of p for every finite normal extension N of M . Either M is a perfect field, or else K is a purely inseparable extension of M . Furthermore $[M(\alpha): M] = p$, and $M(\alpha)$ is a normal extension of M . All p th roots of unity lie in M , so that there exists $a \in M(\alpha)$ such that $a^p \in M$ and $M(\alpha) = M(a)$, unless M is perfect and $p = q$.*

The proof is based on the following

LEMMA 2. *Let N be a finite normal separable extension of F and assume that p is a prime number such that $p^r \mid [N: F]$ but $p^{r+1} \nmid [N: F]$. Then there exist subfields L_i of N , $0 \leq i \leq r$, such that $L_i \supset L_{i+1} \supset F$, $[L_i: L_{i+1}] = p$, L_i/L_{i+1} is normal, $L_0 = N$, and $p \nmid [L_r: F]$.*

Under the hypotheses of the lemma, the Galois group of N/F has

Received by the editors July 10, 1961.

a Sylow- p^r -subgroup \mathfrak{S} , which has a composition series $\{\mathfrak{S}_i, 0 \leq i \leq r\}$ where $\mathfrak{S}_r = \mathfrak{S}$. The fixed fields L_i of \mathfrak{S}_i , $0 \leq i \leq r$, have the required properties.

To prove the theorem, assume that $b^q \in M$ but $b \notin M$; then $M(b) \supset M(\alpha)$ and $[M(b): M] = q$, so that $M(\alpha) = M(b)$, $p = q$, $\alpha^q \in M$, and $M(\alpha)$ is a purely inseparable extension of M . If $c \in M$, then some power c^{q^t} is separable over M . Thus $c^{q^t} \in M$, for otherwise $M(c^{q^t}) \supset M(\alpha)$ and so is an inseparable extension of M .

Now suppose that M is perfect. Let p be a prime such that $p \mid [M(\alpha): M]$, and let N be a proper finite normal (hence separable) extension of M , so that $N \supset M(\alpha)$ and $p \mid [N: M]$. If p^r is the highest power of p dividing $[N: M]$, then, by the lemma with $M = F$, $\exists L_r \supset M$ with $[N: L_r] = p^r$. Thus $L_r = M$; for otherwise, $L_r \supset M(\alpha)$ and $p \mid [L_r: M]$. Also $\exists L_{r-1}$ such $N \supset L_{r-1} \supset L_r$, so that $[L_{r-1}: M] = p$. Since then $L_{r-1} \supset M(\alpha)$ and since $p \mid [M(\alpha): M]$, it follows that $M(\alpha) = L_{r-1}$, a normal extension of $L_r (= M)$ of degree p .

If $p \neq q$ and e is a primitive p th root of unity, then $[M(e): M] \leq p - 1$. Therefore $M(e) = M$; and since $M(\alpha)$ is a cyclic extension of M of degree p , $\exists a \in M(\alpha)$ such that $a^p \in M$ and $M(\alpha) = M(a)$.

We call the prime number p of Theorem 1 the *exponent* of the maximal field M without α .

THEOREM 2. *Let M be a maximal field without α of exponent p . For each positive integer r there is at most one extension M_r of M such that $[M_r: M] = p^r$. Such an M_r is generated over M by $\{\gamma: [M(\gamma): M] \leq p^r\}$, and is a normal extension of M , cyclic if M is perfect and purely inseparable otherwise. Furthermore every extension $L \neq K$ of M equals some M_r .*

Assume first that M is perfect.

Let $[N_1: M] = [N_2: M] = p^r$, and let N be a finite normal extension of M containing N_1 and N_2 . If $r = 1$, then $N_1 = M(\alpha) = N_2$. If $r > 1$, then the Galois group \mathfrak{G} of N/M has order p^s , $s > 1$. Since $M(\alpha)$ is the only field between N and M of degree p , it follows that the p -group \mathfrak{G} has only one subgroup of order p^{s-1} . Hence \mathfrak{G} is cyclic [4, p. 119], and has precisely one subgroup of order p^r , $0 \leq r \leq s$. Thus N has only one subextension of degree p^r over M , and $N_1 = N_2$. Similarly, this unique (if it exists) extension M_r of degree p^r is a cyclic extension of M . Thus if $[M(\gamma): M] \leq p^r$, then $\gamma \in M_r$, so that M_r is generated over M by $\{\gamma \ni: [M(\gamma): M] \leq p^r\}$. Finally, let $L \neq K$ be any extension of M . Evidently $M_r \subset M_{r+1}$, and since K is algebraic over M , we have $K = \bigcup M_r$. If for every r , $\exists \gamma \in L \ni: [M(\gamma): M] \geq p^r$, then $L \supset M_r$, for all r , and $L = K$; otherwise $L = M_r$, for some r .

Secondly assume that K/M is purely inseparable, so that $p=q$. Evidently $M_r = M^{q^{-r}}$, we prove that $M^{q^{-r}} = M(\alpha^{q^{1-r}})$, inductively. If $c^q \in M$, then either $c \in M$ or $M(c) = M(\alpha)$, and $M_1 = M(\alpha)$. If $M_r = M(\alpha^{q^{1-r}})$ and $c^{q^{r+1}} \in M$, then $c^q \in M_r$, and so $c \in M_1(\alpha^{q^{-r}}) = M_{r+1}$. Since $K = \cup M^{q^{-r}}$, each extension $L \neq K$ of M equals an M_r .

The preceding paragraph also establishes the following theorem in the case that K/M is purely inseparable.

THEOREM 3. *Let M be maximal without α , and, for each integer $r \geq 1$, let M_r be generated over M by $\{\gamma \ni : [M(\gamma) : M] \leq p^r\}$. Then, either $\{M_r\}$ is a strictly increasing tower of proper subfields of K , or else $p=2$, $q=0$, and $M_1 = M(-1^{1/2}) = K$, so that M is a maximal orderable subfield of K . In the case that $M_1 \neq K$, there exists $b \in M_1$ such that $M_r = M(b^{p^{1-r}})$ for all r , unless M is perfect and $p=q$.*

For the proof when M is perfect, we need the following

LEMMA 3. *Let M be maximal without α , and of exponent $p \neq q$. If $M(\alpha) = M(a)$ where $a^p \in M$, and if $a^{p^{-1}} \in M(a)$, then $M(a) = M(\epsilon)$, where ϵ is a primitive p^2 -root of unity.*

Since, under the hypotheses, $M(a^{p^{-1}}) = M(a)$, it follows that $a^{p^{-1}}$ is a zero of an irreducible polynomial $f(X) \in M[X]$ of degree p . It is also a zero of the polynomial $X^p - a^p \in M[X]$, a polynomial whose roots have the form $\epsilon a^{p^{-1}}$ where ϵ is a p^2 -root of unity. Since $f(X) \mid X^p - a^p$, the roots of $f(X)$ have the form $\epsilon_i a^{p^{-1}}$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, where $\epsilon_i^{p^2} = 1$; hence $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_p a \in M$, because the constant term of $f(X)$ is in M . Thus $a = \epsilon b$, where $b \in M$ and $\epsilon^{p^2} = 1$. It follows that $M(a) = M(\epsilon b) = M(\epsilon)$.

Returning to Theorem 3, we observe by Theorem 2 that unless $[K : M] < \infty$, the tower $\{M_r\}$ is strictly increasing. If $[K : M] < \infty$, then by a theorem of Artin and Schreier [1; or 3, pp. 48-49], $p=2$, $K = M(-1^{1/2})$ etc. Now assume that $[K : M] = \infty$, $p \neq q$, and that $M(\alpha) = M(a)$, where $a^p \in M$, by Theorem 1. There are two cases.

If $a^{p^{-1}} \notin M_1 (= M(a))$, then $M_2 = M_1(a^{p^{-1}})$. Let ϵ be a primitive p^2 -root of unity; then $[M(\epsilon) : M] \leq p$, since $\epsilon^p \in M$ by Theorem 1. Thus $\epsilon \in M_1$. But M_1 is maximal without $a^{p^{-1}}$, and it follows that $a^{p^{-2}} \notin M_2$; for otherwise, by Lemma 3, $M_2 = M_1(a^{p^{-1}}) = M_1(\epsilon) = M_1$, which is a contradiction. Continuing inductively, we find that in this case we may take $b = a$.

On the other hand, if $a^{p^{-1}} \in M_1$, then, by Lemma 3, $M_1 = M(a) = M(\epsilon)$. Now $\exists b \in M(\epsilon) \ni : b^{p^{-1}} \notin M(\epsilon)$, and such an element b is not in M ; for otherwise $[M(b^{p^{-1}}) : M(b)] = [M(b^{p^{-1}}) : M] = p$, and $b^{p^{-1}} \in M(\epsilon)$. Thus $M(a) \supset M(b) \neq M$, and $M(\epsilon) = M(b)$. But M_1 is now maximal without $b^{p^{-1}}$ and $(b^{p^{-1}})^p \in M_1$. If $b^{p^{-1}} \in M_1(b^{p^{-1}})$, then

$M_1(b^{p^{-1}}) = M_1(\epsilon) = M_1$, which is false. Hence $b^{p^{-2}} \notin M_1(b^{p^{-1}})$, and we continue the argument as in the first case.

In the proofs which follow, the "Theorem of natural irrationality" [2, p. 149] is called (TNI), and Q is any subfield of K .

THEOREM 4. *If α is transcendental over Q , and if p is a prime number, then there exists a maximal $M \supset Q$ without α of exponent p . When $p=q$, both perfect and imperfect M exist.*

Let $\{\beta\} \cup \{t_\mu, \mu \in I\}$ be a transcendence basis of K/Q , where $\beta = \alpha^p$, or where $\beta = \alpha^q - \alpha$ and $p=q$. Let e be a primitive p th root of unity, and let $L = Q(e, \beta, \{t_\mu\})$. Then $\alpha \notin L$, and L has an extension M which is maximal without α . Also $L(\alpha)$ is a normal extension of L of degree p [1; or 2, p. 177]. Since $\alpha \notin M$, it follows that $L(\alpha) \cap M \neq L(\alpha)$; thus $L(\alpha) \cap M = L$, and, if M is perfect, by (TNI), $[L(\alpha): L] = [M(\alpha): M] = p$. If M is imperfect, so that $p=q$, then $\alpha^p \in M$ but $\alpha \notin M$, and again $[M(\alpha): M] = p$.

THEOREM 5. *Let α be algebraic {separably algebraic} over Q , and let p be a prime. If there exists a maximal $M \supset Q$ without α of exponent p , then there exists a finite algebraic extension F of Q such that $F(\alpha)$ is a normal {normal separable} extension of F of degree p . On the other hand, if there exists any field F such that $F(\alpha)/F$ is normal and $p \mid [F(\alpha): F]$, then there exists a maximal M without α of exponent p . If E is the fixed field of the automorphism group of $F(\alpha)/F$, and if $p \mid [F(\alpha): E]$, then a perfect M exists; if $p \nmid [E: F]$, then an imperfect M exists.*

If M exists and is imperfect, let $F = Q(\alpha^q)$. If M exists and is perfect, let \mathfrak{M} be the Galois group of $M(\alpha)/M$. Let N be generated over Q by $\{\alpha^q, \sigma \in \mathfrak{M}\}$, and let \mathfrak{G} be the automorphism group of N/Q . If E is the fixed field of \mathfrak{G} , then \mathfrak{G} is the Galois group of N/E , which is a normal separable extension. Now $N \subset M(\alpha)$, and $M(N) = M(\alpha)$, since $\alpha \in N$. If $F = M \cap N$, then, by (TNI), $[N: F] = [M(\alpha): M] = p$. Finally, $N = F(\alpha)$, since $\alpha \notin F$.

For the second part, suppose $\exists F$ such that $F(\alpha)/F$ is normal and $p^r \mid [F(\alpha): E]$ but $p^{r+1} \nmid [F(\alpha): E]$, where E is the fixed field of the automorphism group of $F(\alpha)/F$. If $E \neq F(\alpha)$, then, by Lemma 2, there exists L_1 such that $F(\alpha) \supset L_1 \supset E$ and $[F(\alpha): L_1] = p$, normal. Since $\alpha \notin L_1$, there exists a maximal M without α containing L_1 . But then $M \cap F(\alpha) = L_1$, $M(F(\alpha)) = M(\alpha)$, and $p = [F(\alpha): L_1] = [M(\alpha): M]$. If $p=q$ and $E \neq F$, then α is inseparable over F and $[F(\alpha): F(\alpha^q)] = q$. Imbed $F(\alpha^q)$ in a maximal M without α ; then $[M(\alpha): M] = q$, since $\alpha^q \in M$ but $\alpha \notin M$.

THEOREM 6. *If α is algebraic over Q and p is a prime, let N be the*

least normal extension of Q containing α . Then there exists a maximal $M \supset Q$ without α of exponent p if and only if $p \mid [N:Q]$.

This follows at once from Theorem 5 and the following

LEMMA 4. Let α be algebraic over Q , and let N be the least normal extension of Q containing α . If p is a prime, then there exists a field $F \supset Q$ such that $F(\alpha)/F$ is a normal extension of degree p if and only if $p \mid [N:Q]$.

If $\exists F \ni F(\alpha)/F$ is normal and separable of degree p , then, by (TNI), the Galois groups of $N/N \cap F$ and $N(F)/F$ are naturally isomorphic, and $N(F) \supset F(\alpha) \supset F$. Let \mathfrak{S} comprise all automorphisms of $N(F)$ leaving $F(\alpha)$ fixed, and let \mathfrak{S}' be the restriction to N of the automorphisms in \mathfrak{S} . The fixed field of \mathfrak{S}' is $(N \cap F)(\alpha)$, and $[(N \cap F)(\alpha): N \cap F] = [F(\alpha): F] = p$. Thus $p \mid [N:Q]$. If $F(\alpha)/F$ is inseparable, then $p=q$ and $\alpha^q \in F$. Since $\alpha \notin F$, it follows that $\alpha \in Q(\alpha^q) \subset F$; thus $[Q(\alpha): Q(\alpha^q)] = q$ and $q \mid [N:Q]$.

Conversely, assume that $p \mid [N:Q]$, and let \mathfrak{G} be the automorphism group of N/Q . Let E be the fixed field of \mathfrak{G} . If $p \nmid [N:E]$, then $p \mid [E:Q]$, $p=q$, and we may take $F=Q(\alpha^q)$. If $p \mid [N:E]$, let $\mathfrak{S}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{S}_r$ be all the Sylow p -subgroups of \mathfrak{G} , and let \mathfrak{S} be the group which they generate. Since the \mathfrak{S}_i are a complete set of conjugate subgroups of any one of them, \mathfrak{S} is a normal subgroup of \mathfrak{G} . Let F_0 be the fixed field of \mathfrak{S} , and F_i the fixed field of \mathfrak{S}_i ; then $F_0 = \bigcap_1^r F_i$. We observe that $\alpha \notin F_0$. For otherwise, since F_0/E is normal and $N \supset F_0$, it follows that $F_0 = N$, and \mathfrak{S} contains only the identity, which is false. Thus $\alpha \notin F_{i_0}$ for some $i_0 \geq 1$. If p^r is the order of \mathfrak{S}_{i_0} , then p^{r+1} does not divide the order of \mathfrak{G} , since \mathfrak{S}_{i_0} is a Sylow p -group, so that $p^{r+1} \nmid [N:E]$ and $[N:F_{i_0}] = p^r$. Hence $[F_{i_0}(\alpha): F_{i_0}] = p^t$, $1 \leq t \leq r$. If G is the subgroup of \mathfrak{G} leaving $F_{i_0}(\alpha)$ fixed, then $G(\subset \mathfrak{S}_{i_0})$ is a p -group, so $\exists G' \ni G \subset G' \subset \mathfrak{S}_{i_0}$, $(G':G) = p$, and G is a normal subgroup of G' . Let F be the fixed field of G' ; then $[F_{i_0}(\alpha): F] = (G':G) = p$, and $F_{i_0}(\alpha)/F$ is normal. Finally $F_{i_0}(\alpha) \supset F(\alpha)$, but $F(\alpha) \neq F$, since $\alpha \notin F$; thus $F_{i_0}(\alpha) = F(\alpha)$.

REFERENCES

1. E. Artin and O. Schreier, *Eine Kennzeichnung der reell abgeschlossenen Körper*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 5 (1927), 225-231.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre*, Ch. 5, Actualités Sci. Ind., no. 1102, Hermann, Paris, 1950.
3. ———, *Algèbre*, Ch. 6, Actualités Sci. Ind., no. 1179, Hermann, Paris, 1952.
4. H. Zassenhaus, *The theory of groups*, Chelsea, New York, 1949.

TULANE UNIVERSITY