

REFERENCES

1. H. Bachmann, *Transfinite Zahlen*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (N.F.), vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1955. vii+204 pp.
2. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, revised edition xiii+283 pp., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1948.
3. G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt, *Two notes on lattice congruences*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös. Sect. Math. 1 (1958), 83-87.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

A NOTE ON FINITE FIELDS¹

L. CARLITZ

1. Let q be a power of an odd prime and let $F = GF(q^n)$ denote the finite field of order q^n . Let F^* denote the multiplicative group of the nonzero elements of F and let Z be the subgroup of F^* of order $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$. It will be assumed that

$$(1) \quad \left(q - 1, \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \right) = 1.$$

Then every nonzero element ξ of F has a representation

$$(2) \quad \xi = \alpha \zeta \quad (\alpha \in GF(q), \zeta \in Z),$$

and the representation is unique. For $\zeta \in Z$, $\zeta \neq 1$, put

$$(3) \quad 1 - \zeta = \tau(\zeta)\sigma(\zeta),$$

where $\tau(\zeta) \in GF(q)$, $\sigma(\zeta) \in Z$.

Put $Z_1 = Z - \{1\}$. In a letter to the writer, J. G. Thompson has raised the question whether the mapping $\zeta \rightarrow \sigma(\zeta)$ defined by (3) can be a permutation of Z_1 . We shall show that the answer is negative.

Indeed let us assume that the mapping $\zeta \rightarrow \sigma(\zeta)$ is a permutation of Z_1 . In view of (1) the mapping $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta^{q-1}$ is a permutation of Z_1 and consequently if we put

$$\zeta_1 = (1 - \zeta)^{q-1} = (\sigma(\zeta))^{q-1},$$

then $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_1$ is a permutation of Z_1 . We recall that

Received by the editors June 29, 1961.

¹ Supported in part by National Science Foundation grant G 16485.

$$\sum_{\zeta \in Z} \zeta^r = 0 \quad \left(1 \leq r < \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \right),$$

so that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\zeta \in Z_1} \zeta^r = -1 \quad (1 \leq r \leq q - 1).$$

Since

$$\zeta_1 = (1 - \zeta)^{q-1} = 1 + \zeta + \dots + \zeta^{q-1}$$

it follows that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\zeta_1 \in Z_1} \zeta_1 = \sum_{\zeta \in Z_1} 1 + \sum_{\zeta \in Z_1} \zeta + \dots + \sum_{\zeta \in Z_1} \zeta^{q-1}.$$

But

$$\sum_{\zeta \in Z_1} 1 = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} - 1 = 0 \quad (\text{in } F),$$

so that (5) becomes

$$-1 = -(q - 1) = 1,$$

a contradiction since q is odd.

We may accordingly state

THEOREM 1. *The mapping $\zeta \rightarrow \sigma(\zeta)$ defined by (3) is not a permutation of Z_1 .*

In view of Theorem 1, there exist two distinct elements ξ, η in Z_1 such that

$$(6) \quad 1 - \xi = \alpha\zeta, \quad 1 - \eta = \beta\zeta,$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in GF(q)$, $\zeta \in Z_1$; clearly $\alpha \neq \beta$. Thus (6) implies

$$(7) \quad 1 - \eta = \lambda(1 - \xi),$$

where $\lambda = \beta/\alpha$ is a number of $GF(q)$ distinct from 1. Conversely if (7) holds and we put $1 - \xi = \alpha\zeta$, where $\alpha \in GF(q)$, $\zeta \in Z_1$ then it follows that

$$1 - \eta = \lambda\alpha\zeta = \beta\zeta.$$

Thus (6) and (7) are equivalent. We remark also that if the pair (ξ, η) satisfy (7), then the same is true of $(\xi^q, \eta^q), \dots, (\xi^{q^{n-1}}, \eta^{q^{n-1}})$, so that solutions of (7) with λ fixed occur in sets of d , where d is some divisor of n .

2. To generalize Theorem 1, we may consider the finite field

$GF(p^n)$ where p is a prime, $p^n - 1 = rs$, $(r, s) = 1$, $r < s$ and $r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Let F^* denote the the multiplicative group of the nonzero elements of $GF(p^n)$ and let Y, Z denote the subgroups of F^* of order r and s respectively. Since $(r, s) = 1$, the intersection of Y and Z consists of the identity element only. Thus every element ξ of F^* has a unique representation

$$(8) \quad \xi = \eta\zeta \quad (\eta \in Y, \zeta \in Z).$$

For $\zeta \in Z, \zeta \neq 1$, put

$$(9) \quad 1 - \zeta = \tau(\zeta)\sigma(\zeta),$$

where $\tau(\zeta) \in Y, \sigma(\zeta) \in Z$.

Put $Z_1 = Z - \{1\}$. We shall show that the mapping $\zeta \rightarrow \sigma(\zeta)$ is not a permutation of Z_1 . For if we assume that $\zeta \rightarrow \sigma(\zeta)$ is a permutation of Z_1 , then since $(r, s) = 1$ it follows that

$$(10) \quad \zeta \rightarrow \zeta_1 = (1 - \zeta)^r$$

is also a permutation of Z_1 . Now

$$(11) \quad S_t = \sum_{\zeta \in Z} \zeta^t = 0 \quad (1 \leq t \leq s - 1).$$

Indeed if ζ_1 denotes a generator of Z , then

$$\zeta_1^t S_t = \sum_{\zeta \in Z} (\zeta_1 \zeta)^t = \sum_{\zeta \in Z} \zeta^t = S_t.$$

Since $\zeta_1^t \neq 1$, (11) follows at once.

Next expanding $(1 - \zeta)^r$ we get

$$\zeta_1 = \sum_{t=0}^r (-1)^t \binom{r}{t} \zeta^t.$$

Summing over all $\zeta \in Z_1$ we get

$$(12) \quad \sum_{\zeta_1 \in Z_1} \zeta_1 = \sum_{t=0}^r (-1)^t \binom{r}{t} \sum_{\zeta \in Z_1} \zeta^t.$$

We now make use of (11) and in addition recall that $r < s$. Then (12) reduces to

$$-1 = (s - 1) - \sum_{t=1}^r (-1)^t \binom{r}{t} = s - (1 - 1)^r.$$

Since $r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we have a contradiction. This proves

THEOREM 2. *Let $p^n - 1 = rs$, where $(r, s) = 1$, $r < s$, $r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.*

Then the mapping $\zeta \rightarrow \sigma(\zeta)$ defined by (9) is not a permutation of Z_1 .

As a consequence of Theorem 2 there exist two distinct elements ζ_1, ζ_2 in Z_1 such that

$$(13) \quad 1 - \zeta_1 = \eta_1 \zeta, \quad 1 - \zeta_2 = \eta_2 \zeta,$$

where $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in Y, \zeta \in Z_1$; clearly $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$. Clearly (13) implies

$$(14) \quad 1 - \zeta_2 = \eta(1 - \zeta_1),$$

where η is a number of Y distinct from 1. Conversely if (14) holds and we put $1 - \zeta_1 = \eta_1 \zeta$, where $\eta_1 \in Y, \zeta \in Z_1$, then $1 - \zeta_2 = \eta \eta_1 \zeta = \eta_2 \zeta$ with $\eta_2 \in Y$. Thus (13) and (14) are equivalent.

In the next place if ζ is any element of Z then $\zeta = \alpha^r$ for some α in F^* . Thus (14) becomes

$$(15) \quad 1 - \alpha_2^r = \eta(1 - \alpha_1^r).$$

In this equation we think of η as fixed and α_1, α_2 as the unknowns.

Davenport and Hasse [1, p. 173] have discussed equations of the form (15). If N is the total number of solutions of (15) then, specializing their result, we have ($q = p^n$)

$$|N - q| \leq r(r - 1)q^{1/2}.$$

In particular if $r = o(q^{1/4})$ then N is of order $q^{1/2}$.

REFERENCE

1. H. Davenport and H. Hasse, *Die Nullstellen der Kongruenz-zetafunktionen in gewissen zyklischen Fällen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 172 (1935), 151-182.

DUKE UNIVERSITY