

# ON $L_n$ SETS, THE HAUSDORFF METRIC, AND CONNECTEDNESS

A. M. BRUCKNER AND J. B. BRUCKNER

1. **Introduction.** A set  $S$  in the Euclidean plane  $E_2$  is called an  $L_n$  set provided any two points in  $S$  can be joined by a polygonal line, of at most  $n$  segments, lying entirely in  $S$ . Horn and Valentine [1] characterized such sets for the case  $n=2$  and studied properties of the complements of such sets. It is clear that the notion of  $L_n$  sets can be regarded as a generalization of the notion of convex sets and as a specialization of the notion of connected sets. Since polygonally connected sets are in some sense a limiting case of  $L_n$  sets, it seems reasonable to expect that  $L_n$  sets can be used to approximate polygonally connected sets. As we shall see in the sequel, any compact connected set (whether polygonally connected or not) can be approximated by compact  $L_n$  sets. We will use the notation  $\langle p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n+1} \rangle$  for the  $n$ -sided polygonal line ( $n$ -line) joining  $p_1$  to  $p_{n+1}$  where  $p_2, \dots, p_n$  are consecutive, intermediate vertices.

2. **The metric space  $\mathcal{K}$ .** In what follows, we will make use of a well-known metric space whose elements are the compact sets of the plane.

Let  $S$  be a compact set in  $E_2$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . The set

$$S_{(\epsilon)} \equiv \{ p \in E_2: \rho(p, S) \leq \epsilon \}$$

is called the  $\epsilon$ -parallel body of  $S$ . Here  $\rho$  is the Euclidean metric of  $E_2$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *The parallel body  $S_{(\epsilon)}$  of an  $L_n$  set  $S$  is an  $L_n$  set for the same  $n$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be points of  $S_{(\epsilon)}$ . There exist points  $x'$  and  $y'$  in  $S$  for which  $\rho(x, x') \leq \epsilon$  and  $\rho(y, y') \leq \epsilon$ . Now  $x'$  and  $y'$  can be joined by an  $n$ -line  $\langle x', p_2, \dots, p_n, y' \rangle$  lying in  $S$ . The segments  $\langle x, p_2 \rangle$  and  $\langle p_n, y \rangle$  lie in the parallel body  $\{ \langle x', p_2, \dots, p_n, y' \rangle \}_{(\epsilon)}$  which in turn is contained in  $S_{(\epsilon)}$ . Therefore,  $\langle x, p_2, \dots, p_n, y \rangle$  is an  $n$ -line in  $S_{(\epsilon)}$  joining  $x$  and  $y$ .

Let  $S$  and  $T$  be compact sets in  $E_2$ . Let,

$$d(S, T) = \inf \{ \epsilon: T \subset S_{(\epsilon)} \text{ and } S \subset T_{(\epsilon)} \}.$$

Then  $d$  is a metric on  $\mathcal{K}$  the class of all compact sets in  $E_2$ . For a discussion of some of the properties of  $\mathcal{K}$  see [2]. In particular, it is shown there that  $\mathcal{K}$  is complete. Some additional properties of  $\mathcal{K}$  are enumerated in

---

Received by the editors July 21, 1961.

THEOREM 2. (a) If  $S \in \mathcal{K}$  then  $S = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S_{(\epsilon)}$ .

(b) If  $S^1, S^2, \dots$  is a decreasing sequence of sets, each a member of  $\mathcal{K}$ , then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S^k = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} S^k.$$

(c) Let  $n$  be a positive integer. The limit  $S$  of a sequence  $\{S^k\}$  of compact  $L_n$  sets is a compact  $L_n$  set.

PROOF. The proof of part (a) is obvious and the proof of part (b) is contained in the proof of completeness of  $\mathcal{K}$  referred to above. We turn therefore to part (c). Let  $d(S^k, S) = \epsilon_k$  and let  $p$  and  $q$  be points of  $S$ . Then for each  $k$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  are in  $S_{(\epsilon_k)}^k$ . By Theorem 1,  $S_{(\epsilon_k)}^k$  is an  $L_n$  set. Thus there exist points  $p_1^k, p_2^k, \dots, p_{n-1}^k$  in  $S_{(\epsilon_k)}^k$  such that the  $n$ -line  $\langle p, p_1^k, p_2^k, \dots, p_{n-1}^k, q \rangle$  is contained in  $S_{(\epsilon_k)}^k$ . Since  $S_{(\epsilon_k)}^k \subset S_{(2\epsilon_k)}$  we have  $\langle p, p_1^k, \dots, p_{n-1}^k, q \rangle \subset S_{(2\epsilon_k)}$ . We choose a subsequence of  $\{S^k\}$  for which the corresponding subsequences  $\{p_1^k\}, \dots, \{p_{n-1}^k\}$  converge to the points  $p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}$ . Since  $S$  is closed and  $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ , the  $n$ -line  $\langle p, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, q \rangle$  lies in  $S$ .

3.  **$L_n$  sets and connectedness.** We are now ready to characterize compact, connected sets in terms of compact  $L_n$  sets. We begin with three lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If  $S$  is a compact, connected set and  $\epsilon > 0$  then there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $S_{(\epsilon)}$  is an  $L_n$  set.

PROOF. The collection of open  $\epsilon$ -discs about points of  $S$  forms a cover of  $S$  from which a finite subcover  $D_1, \dots, D_N$  can be selected. Consider the network formed by the line segments joining the centers of each pair  $D_i$  and  $D_j$  of overlapping discs. The centers of any two of the  $N$  discs are joined by an  $(N-1)$ -line of this network. It follows that any two points of  $S$  can be joined by an  $(N+1)$ -line in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N D_i$ , hence any two points of  $S_{(\epsilon)}$  can be joined by an  $(N+3)$ -line in  $S_{(\epsilon)}$ .

LEMMA 2. The limit  $S$  of a sequence  $\{S^k\}$  of compact, connected sets is compact and connected.

PROOF. Using the fact that  $\{S^k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence we can select a subsequence of  $\{S^k\}$  and parallel bodies of the members of this subsequence, such that these parallel bodies form a decreasing sequence of sets approaching  $S$ . By Lemma 1, these parallel bodies are connected. The conclusion of the lemma follows from Theorem 2(b) and the fact that the intersection of a decreasing sequence of compact, connected sets is compact and connected.

LEMMA 3. *Any compact, connected set  $S$  is the limit of a sequence of compact  $L_n$  sets.*

The proof follows immediately from Lemma 1 and Theorem 2(a).

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3.

THEOREM 3. *A necessary and sufficient condition that the set  $S$  be compact and connected is that  $S$  be the limit of a sequence of compact  $L_n$  sets.*

#### REFERENCES

1. Alfred Horn and F. A. Valentine, *Some properties of  $L_n$  sets in the plane*, Duke Math. J. **16** (1949), 131-140.
2. A. M. Macbeath, *Compactness theorems*, Seminar on Convex Sets, 1949-1950, Chapter V, pp. 41-51, The Institute for Advanced Study.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA AND  
SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA