

FLEXIBLE ALGEBRAS OF DEGREE ONE

ERWIN KLEINFELD AND LOUIS A. KOKORIS¹

1. Introduction. Let A be a simple, flexible, powerassociative, finite-dimensional algebra over a field of characteristic zero. Then it is known that A has a unity element 1 [5], and consequently A has a degree. When A has degree larger than two, Oehmke has shown [5] that A^+ is a simple Jordan algebra. Kokoris [4] has shown the same result in case A has degree two. In this paper we are able to show that if A has degree one then in fact A must be a one-dimensional algebra. Combining these results, the following theorem may be asserted.

MAIN THEOREM. *If A is a simple, flexible, powerassociative, finite-dimensional algebra of characteristic zero then A^+ is a simple Jordan algebra.*

2. PROOF. We begin with a result that is more general than actually needed to prove the main theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let R be a flexible algebra with unity element 1 over a field F of characteristic not two. Suppose there exists some vector space decomposition of R , $R = F1 + N$, such that for all elements a, b in N $a \cdot b = (ab + ba)/2$ is in N . Then the ideal C generated by all elements of the form $(x, y, z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ is contained in N and hence is a proper ideal of R .*

PROOF. For arbitrary elements x_1, x_2, y in N we have $x_1 y = \lambda_1 1 + z_1$, and $x_2 y = \lambda_2 1 + z_2$, where z_1 and z_2 are in N , while λ_1, λ_2 are scalars. As in Schafer [7, Relation (8)] it follows from the flexible law that

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} (x_1 \cdot x_2)y &= \lambda_1 x_2 + \lambda_2 x_1 + x_1 \cdot z_2 + x_2 \cdot z_1 - (x_1 \cdot y) \cdot x_2 - (x_2 \cdot y) \cdot x_1 \\ &\quad + (x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot y. \end{aligned}$$

As in Kokoris [3, p. 653] one goes on to show from (1) that

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2, x_3)y &= (x_1, x_2, z_3) + (x_1, z_2, x_3) + (z_1, x_2, x_3) - (x_1 \cdot y, x_2, x_3) \\ &\quad - (x_1, x_2 \cdot y, x_3) + (x_3 \cdot y, x_2, x_1) + (x_1, x_2, x_3) \cdot y, \end{aligned}$$

where (x, y, z) is defined here as $(x, y, z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot x)$, while $x_3 y = \lambda_3 1 + z_3$, where z_3 is in N and λ_3 is a scalar. Then if B is the subspace generated by all (x, y, z) , relation (2) implies that $BN \subset B$

Received by the editors August 16, 1961.

¹ One of the authors was supported in part by a grant from the Office of Ordnance Research.

$+B \cdot N$, $NB \subset B + B \cdot N$, and more generally $((BN) \cdots)N \subset B + B \cdot N + \cdots + ((B \cdot N) \cdots) \cdot N$ etc. As a result the set C , defined as the set of all finite sums of elements from the sets B , $B \cdot N$, $(B \cdot N) \cdot N$, \cdots , can be shown to be an ideal of A . Since B is readily shown to be in N and since $N \cdot N \subset N$ by hypothesis, we may conclude that $C \subset N$. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY. *If R is also assumed to be simple then R^+ is an associative, commutative algebra.*

While the following theorem is not essential to the proof of the Main Theorem, it together with Theorem 1 might be useful in a study of flexible algebras where the elements of N are not necessarily nilpotent.

THEOREM 2. *If S is a flexible ring of characteristic different from two such that S^+ is powerassociative, then S must be powerassociative.*

PROOF. From the flexible law third-power associativity follows. Assume inductively k -power associativity for all $k < n$. We proceed to establish n -power associativity. The flexible law implies that

$$x^{n-1}x = (xx^{n-2})x = x(x^{n-2}x) = xx^{n-1}.$$

By a second induction suppose $x^{n-a}x^a = x^ax^{n-a}$ for $0 < a < n-1$. We have already established this for $a=1$. The linearized form of the flexible law implies that

$$(x^{n-a-1}x)x^a + (x^ax)x^{n-a-1} = x^{n-a-1}(xx^a) + x^a(xx^{n-a-1}).$$

By the second induction hypothesis the first term on the left cancels the second term on the right in the last equality, leaving

$$x^{n-(a+1)}x^{(a+1)} = x^{(a+1)}x^{n-(a+1)}.$$

This completes the proof of the second induction. Powerassociativity in A^+ implies

$$(x^a \cdot x^{n-a-1}) \cdot x = x^a \cdot (x^{n-a-1} \cdot x).$$

However from this it follows that

$$2x^{n-1} \cdot x = 2x^{n-a} \cdot x^a,$$

so that, for all a , $x^{n-1} \cdot x = x^{n-a} \cdot x^a$, assuming characteristic different from two. This completes the first induction and the proof of the theorem.

We note that in general powerassociativity of T^+ does not suffice to guarantee powerassociativity of T .

COROLLARY. *If R is simple then R must be powerassociative.*

Consider now the case at hand, in which A is assumed to have degree one over an algebraically closed field. Then there exists a vector space decomposition $A = F1 + N$, where in fact all elements of N are nilpotent. Albert [2, p. 527] has shown that in A^+ , N is a subalgebra. From this one infers that A satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1. From the Corollary to Theorem 1 it follows that A^+ is associative. Hence A is a noncommutative Jordan algebra. At this point a result of Schafer's [6, Main Theorem] may be used to conclude that A is trace-admissible. Albert [1, Principal Theorem] has shown that a trace-admissible algebra A is simple if and only if A^+ is simple. Thus A^+ is a simple, associative, commutative, finite-dimensional algebra. Then it is well known that A^+ must be a field. Therefore N must be zero. This of course means A is isomorphic to F . We have proved

THEOREM 3. *If A is a simple, flexible, powerassociative, finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and degree one then A is a one dimensional field.*

The existence of nodal, noncommutative Jordan algebras indicates that the conclusion of Theorem 3 is not true for fields of finite characteristics [3].

REFERENCES

1. A. A. Albert, *A theory of trace-admissible algebras*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **35** (1949), 317-322.
2. ———, *A theory of powerassociative, commutative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1950), 503-527.
3. L. A. Kokoris, *Simple nodal noncommutative Jordan algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 652-654.
4. ———, *Flexible nilstable algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 335-340.
5. R. H. Oehmke, *On flexible algebras*, Ann. of Math. **68** (1958), 221-230.
6. R. D. Schafer, *Noncommutative Jordan algebras of characteristic zero*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 472-475.
7. ———, *On noncommutative Jordan algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 110-117.

OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY AND
ILLINOIS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY