

## UNIQUE FACTORIZATION IN A PRINCIPAL RIGHT IDEAL DOMAIN<sup>1</sup>

R. E. JOHNSON

An integral domain with unity in which every right ideal is principal is called a pri-domain. The classical example of a pri-domain is the polynomial domain  $F[x] = \{ \sum x^i a_i \mid a_i \in F \}$  over a division ring  $F$ , where multiplication is defined by  $ax = xa^\sigma + a^\delta$ ,  $a \in F$ , with  $\sigma$  a monomorphism and  $\delta$  an associated derivation of  $F$  (see Ore, [1]).

In what follows,  $R$  is a pri-domain and  $L$  is its lattice of right ideals. Each  $a \in R$  has dimension,  $\dim a$ , defined to be the length of the longest chain in the interval  $[aR, R]$  of  $L$ . The elements of dimension 1 are the primes of  $R$ . Although  $\dim a$  is conceivably infinite<sup>2</sup> for some nonzero  $a \in R$ , we are only interested in those elements for which  $\dim a < \infty$ . For convenience, let  $R^* = \{ a \in R \mid a \neq 0, 0 < \dim a < \infty \}$ .

Each  $a \in R^*$  may be expressed as a product of primes,  $a = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n$ , where  $n = \dim a$ . For if  $p_1$  is a prime left factor of  $a$  and  $a = p_1 a_1$ , then  $R - a_1 R \cong p_1 R - a R$  (as right  $R$ -modules) and therefore  $\dim a_1 = n - 1$ . The factorization now follows by induction. Elements  $b$  and  $c$  of  $R^*$  are said to be similar,  $b \sim c$ , if  $R - bR \cong R - cR$ . It may be shown by the usual proof for pri- and pli-domains (see [2, p. 34]) that if  $a = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n = q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \dots \cdot q_n$ , where all  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  are primes, then there exists a permutation  $\alpha$  of  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$  such that  $q_i \sim p_{\alpha(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Whenever  $a \in R$  is represented as a product  $a = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n$ , then  $a$  can also be represented as a product

$$(1) \quad a = (a_1 u_1) (u_1^{-1} a_2 u_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (u_{n-1}^{-1} a_n)$$

for any units  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}$  of  $R$ . Let us call a factorization  $a = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n$  of  $a$  as a product of elements of a stated type *unique* if every other representation of  $a$  as a product of elements of the stated type has the form (1) above. It is the purpose of this note to describe a particular type of unique factorization that occurs in  $R$ .

Associated with each  $a \in R^*$  is the subset  $L_a$  of  $L$  defined by

$$L_a = \{ B \in [aR, R] \mid [aR, R] = [aR, B] \cup [B, R] \}.$$

By a lattice-theoretic argument, it is easily demonstrated that  $L_a$  is

Received by the editors, December 18, 1963.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported by NSF grant G24155.

<sup>2</sup> No example is known to the author where  $\dim a = \infty$ .

a finite chain  $aR = B_k < B_{k-1} < \dots < B_0 = R$  and that

$$[aR, R] = [B_k, B_{k-1}] \cup [B_{k-1}, B_{k-2}] \cup \dots \cup [B_1, B_0].$$

Let us call  $a \in R^*$  simple if  $L_a = \{aR, R\}$ . Clearly every prime is simple, and if  $a$  is simple and  $b \sim a$ , then  $b$  is also simple. If  $R$  is commutative, then  $a \in R^*$  is simple if and only if either  $a$  is prime or  $a$  has two dissimilar prime factors.

If  $a \in R^*$  and  $L_a = \{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_k\}$  as above, with  $B_i = b_iR$  and  $b_i = b_{i-1}a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, b_0 = 1$  and  $b_k = a$ , then  $B_{i-1} - B_i \cong R - a_iR, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Since the lattice  $[B_i, B_{i-1}]$  is not a union of two proper intervals of  $L$ , evidently each  $a_i$  is simple. Thus,  $a = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k$  where each  $a_i$  is simple but no element of the form  $a_i \cdot a_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_j, i < j$ , is simple. It is easy to see that this is the unique factorization of  $a$  associated with the lattice  $L_a$ .

Let  $a \in R^*$  and  $a = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k$  be the unique factorization of  $a$  associated with  $L_a$  as above. If  $a_1 = g \cdot h$  for some  $g, h \in R^*$  and  $c = h \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k$ , then  $gc = a$ . Since  $[cR, R] \cong [aR, gR]$  and  $[aR, gR] > [aR, a_1R]$ , evidently  $[cR, R] = [cR, c_{k-1}R] \cup [c_{k-1}R, c_{k-2}R] \cup \dots \cup [c_1R, R]$  where  $c_i = h \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_i, i > 1$ , and  $c_1 = h$ . Each of the intervals  $[c_iR, c_{i-1}R]$  is irreducible (i.e., not the union of two intervals) with the possible exception of  $[c_1R, R]$ . Hence, the factorization of  $c$  associated with  $L_c$  has the form  $c = h_1 \cdot \dots \cdot h_r \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k$  where  $h = h_1 \cdot \dots \cdot h_r$  is the factorization of  $h$  associated with  $L_h$ .

A factorization of  $a \in R^*$  into simple elements  $a = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k$  is called *irredundant* if no sub-product  $a_i \cdot a_{i+1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_j, i < j$ , of  $a$  is simple. The main result of the paper is as follows.

**THEOREM.** *Each  $a \in R^*$  has a unique irredundant factorization into simple elements.*

**PROOF.** The theorem is true if  $\dim a = 1$ . Assume that the theorem is true for every element of  $R^*$  of dimension less than  $n$ , and let  $a \in R^*$  have dimension  $n > 1$ . We know that  $a$  has an irredundant factorization into simple elements associated with  $L_a$ , say  $a = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k$ . If  $k = 1$ , then the factorization clearly is unique, so let us assume that  $k > 1$ . Also assume that  $a = c_1 \cdot c_2 \cdot \dots \cdot c_m, m > 1$ , is an irredundant factorization of  $a$  into simple elements.

Since  $c_1R \in [aR, R] = [aR, a_1R] \cup [a_1R, R]$ , either  $c_1R < a_1R$  or  $c_1R \geq a_1R$ . If  $c_1R < a_1R$  then  $[c_1R, R] = [c_1R, a_1R] \cup [a_1R, R]$  contrary to the simplicity of  $c_1$ . Hence,  $c_1R \geq a_1R$ . If  $c_1R = a_1R$  then  $a$  has unique factorization by induction.

Finally, if  $c_1R > a_1R$  then  $a_1 = c_1h$  for some  $h \in R^*$  and  $b = c_2 \cdot \dots \cdot c_m = h \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k$ . By a previous remark, the irredundant factorization

of  $b$  into simple elements associated with  $L_b$  has the form  $b = h_1 \cdot \dots \cdot h_r \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k$ . Since  $\dim b < n$ , this must be the unique factorization of  $b$  into simple elements. Therefore,  $c_2 \cdot \dots \cdot c_{r+1} = h_1 \cdot \dots \cdot h_r \cdot u$  for some unit  $u$ . However, then  $c_1 \cdot c_2 \cdot \dots \cdot c_{r+1} = a_1 \cdot u$  contrary to the assumption that  $c_1 \cdot c_2 \cdot \dots \cdot c_{r+1}$  is not simple. This proves the theorem.

Let us call  $a \in R^*$  *primary* if  $[aR, R]$  is a chain. The above theorem has the following form for primary elements.

**COROLLARY.** *An element  $a$  of  $R^*$  is primary if and only if  $a$  has a unique representation as a product of primes.*

If  $R$  is commutative, then our definition of primary agrees with the usual one;  $a \in R^*$  is primary if  $a = p^n u$  for some prime  $p$  and some unit  $u$ .

Our results on simple elements of  $R^*$  are too fragmentary to present at this time.

We close this note with an example. Let  $F = Z_2(t)$  be a transcendental extension of the integers modulo 2,  $R = F[x] = \{ \sum x^i a_i \mid a_i \in F \}$ ,  $F \rightarrow {}^\sigma F$  be the monomorphism  $a^\sigma = a^2$ , and  $\delta = 0$ . Thus,  $ax = xa^2$  for every  $a \in F$ . The ring  $R$  is a pri-domain, but not a pli-domain. An unusual feature of  $R$  is that  $x+a \sim x+b$  for all nonzero  $a, b \in F$ . The following statements can be verified by some elementary computations.  $x^2+a$  is prime if and only if  $\sqrt[3]{a} \notin F$ ;  $x^2+t^n$  is prime if and only if  $(n, 3) = 1$ ; if  $a = b^3 \neq 0$ , then  $x^2+a$  has the unique factorization  $x^2+a = (x+b)(x+a/b)$ ; thus,  $x^2+a$  is always primary;  $(x+a)^2$  is not primary if  $a + ab = b^2 \neq 0$  for some  $b \in F$ , for then  $(x+a)^2 = (x+b)(x+a^2/b)$ ;  $x^2+xt$  is primary;  $(x+1)(x^2+t)$  is primary.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. O. Ore, *Theory of non-commutative polynomials*, Ann. Math. **34** (1933), 480-508.
2. N. Jacobson, *The theory of rings*, Math Surveys No. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1943.

UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER