

## A NOTE ON COUNTING ISOTROPY SUBGROUPS

L. N. MANN

1. **Introduction.** An *elementary  $p$ -group of rank  $k$*  is a group isomorphic to the direct sum of  $k$  copies of  $Z_p$ , the additive group of integers modulo a prime  $p$ . P. A. Smith has investigated the actions of such a group  $G$  of homeomorphisms on the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  and has observed certain similarities with the standard orthogonal actions. In particular, he has shown [4], [5] that if  $G$  acts effectively on  $S^n$ , then  $k = \text{rank } G \leq [(n+1)/2]$  for  $p$  odd and  $k \leq n+1$  for  $p=2$ . As usual,  $[x]$  denotes the largest integer not exceeding  $x$ . The purpose of this note is to show that if such a group  $G$  acts effectively on  $S^n$ , then the number of distinct isotropy subgroups cannot exceed  $2^{[(n+1)/2]}$  for  $p$  odd, and  $2^{n+1}-1$  for  $p=2$ . These are precisely the bounds which exist for orthogonal actions.

The proof proceeds by first observing that every *maximal* isotropy subgroup is of rank  $k-1$ . A formula of Borel [1] is then utilized to show that the number of maximal isotropy subgroups cannot exceed  $[(n+1)/2]$  for  $p$  odd,  $n+1$  for  $p=2$ , and that, moreover, each isotropy subgroup of rank  $k-i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , is the intersection of  $i$  maximal isotropy subgroups. Noting that  $k \leq [(n+1)/2]$  for  $p$  odd and  $k \leq n+1$  for  $p=2$ , and allowing for the isotropy subgroups  $G$  and  $\{e\}$ , the result follows.

2. **Definitions and preliminaries.** Given an action of a topological transformation group  $G$  on a space  $X$ , the *isotropy subgroup at a point*  $x_0 \in X$ , denoted by  $G_{x_0}$ , is defined as the subgroup of all elements of  $G$  which leave  $x_0$  fixed. The action is said to be *effective* if  $\bigcap_{x \in X} G_x = e$ , the identity element of  $G$ ; it is said to be *free* if  $\{e\}$  is the only isotropy subgroup. The *fixed-point set* of the action, denoted by  $F(G, X)$ , is the subset of  $X$  of all points with isotropy subgroup  $G$ .

All spaces considered will be compact Hausdorff spaces and the usual Čech cohomology will be used. A *cohomology  $n$ -sphere over  $Z_p$*  is a space with the cohomology groups, coefficient group  $Z_p$ , of  $S^n$ . A *generalized cohomology  $n$ -sphere over  $Z_p$*  is a cohomology  $n$ -sphere over  $Z_p$  which is also a cohomology  $n$ -manifold over  $Z_p$  [1]. Results of Smith [2], [3], [5] show that if an elementary  $p$ -group  $G$  acts on a cohomology  $n$ -sphere (generalized cohomology  $n$ -sphere)  $X$  over  $Z_p$ , then  $F(G, X)$  is a cohomology  $r$ -sphere (generalized cohomology

---

Presented to the Society, January 23, 1964; received by the editors March 10, 1964.

$r$ -sphere) over  $Z_p$ ,  $r \leq n$ . Moreover, if  $X$  is a generalized cohomology  $n$ -sphere and the action is effective, then  $r \leq n-2$  and  $n-r$  is even for  $p$  odd, and  $r \leq n-1$  for  $p=2$ . We shall use strongly the following result of Borel [1]. (For  $F(K, X)$  empty, it is agreed that  $n(K, X) = -1$ .)

**THEOREM 1 (BOREL).** *Suppose  $G$  is an elementary  $p$ -group acting effectively on a cohomology  $n$ -sphere  $X$  over  $Z_p$ . For each subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  let  $n(K, X)$  be the integer such that  $F(K, X)$  is a cohomology  $n(K, X)$ -sphere over  $Z_p$ . Then*

$$n - n(G, X) = \sum_H (n(H, X) - n(G, X)),$$

where  $H$  runs through the subgroups of index  $p$  in  $G$ .

It will prove useful to have available the following group-theoretic result which we present without proof.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $G$  be an elementary  $p$ -group of rank  $k$  and  $K$  a subgroup of  $G$  of rank  $k-i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ . Suppose  $K$  is the intersection of  $t$  distinct subgroups of  $G$ , each of rank  $k-1$ . Then  $t \geq i$  and  $K$  is the intersection of some subcollection of  $i$  of the  $t$  subgroups.*

**3. Main results.**

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose  $G$  is an elementary  $p$ -group acting effectively on a generalized cohomology  $n$ -sphere  $X$  over  $Z_p$ . Let  $r = n(G, X) \geq -1$ . Then the number of distinct isotropy subgroups cannot exceed  $2^{(n-r)/2}$  for  $p$  odd or  $2^{n-r}$  for  $p=2$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $\text{rank } G = \Gamma(G) = k$ . We shall say that an isotropy subgroup of rank less than  $k$  is *maximal* if it is not properly contained in any isotropy subgroup with the possible exception of  $G$ . We first show that each maximal isotropy subgroup is of rank  $k-1$ . Suppose, on the contrary, that  $S$  is a maximal isotropy subgroup with  $\Gamma(S) \leq k-2$ . Now  $G/S$  leaves the generalized cohomology sphere  $F(S, X)$  invariant. Moreover, since  $S$  is a maximal isotropy subgroup, it is easy to see that  $G/S$  acts freely outside of the fixed-point set on  $F(S, X)$ . In fact,  $F(G/S, F(S, X)) = F(G, X)$ . Now  $F(S, X) \supset F(G, X) = F(G/S, F(S, X))$  and the action of  $G/S$  on  $F(S, X)$  is effective. We apply Theorem 1 to this action since  $\Gamma(G/S) \geq 2$ . We have

$$(1) \quad n(S, X) - n(G, X) = \sum_H (n(H, F(S, X)) - n(G, X)),$$

where  $H$  runs through the subgroups of index  $p$  in  $G/S$ . Recalling that  $G/S$  acts freely outside of  $F(G, X)$  on  $F(S, X)$ , it follows that

$F(H, F(S, X)) = F(G, X)$  for all  $H$  and, therefore, the right-hand side of (1) is zero. However, since  $G/S$  acts effectively on  $F(S, X)$ , it follows that the left-hand side of (1) is strictly positive, giving us a contradiction. Hence, each maximal isotropy subgroup is of rank  $k-1$ . This conclusion could also have been obtained by using the results of [4].

Next we observe that there are at most  $(n-r)/2$  maximal isotropy subgroups for  $p$  odd. But this follows immediately from Theorem 1 since each term  $(n(H, X) - n(G, X))$  must be even for an odd prime. For  $p=2$ , we conclude that there are at most  $n-r$  maximal isotropy subgroups.

Suppose that  $T \subset S \subset G$ ,  $\Gamma(S) = k-1$ , and  $\Gamma(T) = k-2$ , with  $S$  an isotropy subgroup of the action of  $G$  on  $X$  and  $T$  an isotropy subgroup of the action of  $S$  on  $X$ . We wish to conclude that  $T$  is also an isotropy subgroup of the action of  $G$  on  $X$ . We know that  $F(T, X) \supset F(S, X)$ . Suppose  $T$  is not an isotropy subgroup of  $G$  on  $X$ . Then

$$F(T, X) \subseteq \bigcup_i F(S_i, X),$$

for some collection of isotropy subgroups  $S_i$  of  $G$  on  $X$  where  $S_i \supset T$  and  $\Gamma(S_i) = k-1$  for each  $i$ . Since  $S_i \supset T$ , we have  $F(T, X) \supseteq F(S_i, X)$  and

$$F(T, X) = \bigcup_i F(S_i, X).$$

Due to dimensional restrictions (we are dealing with connected cohomology manifolds), we must have  $F(T, X) = F(S_{i_0}, X)$  for some  $i_0$ . Hence,  $F(S_{i_0}, X) = F(T, X) \supset F(S, X)$  which contradicts  $S$  being an isotropy subgroup.

We now come to the crux of the argument: to show that if  $R$  is an isotropy subgroup of  $G$  of rank  $k-i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , then  $R$  is the intersection of  $i$  distinct isotropy subgroups of rank  $k-1$ . By Lemma 1, it is sufficient to show that  $R$  is the intersection of some collection of isotropy subgroups of rank  $k-1$ . We consider first the case that  $\Gamma(k) = k-2$ . It is sufficient to exhibit two distinct maximal isotropy subgroups which contain  $R$ . Consider the action of  $G/R$ ,  $\Gamma(G/R) = 2$ , on the generalized cohomology sphere  $F(R, X)$ . We have  $F(G/R, F(R, X)) = F(G, X) \subset F(R, X)$ . As  $R$  is an isotropy subgroup, this action must be effective. Applying Theorem 1 to the action, one sees that there must exist distinct cyclic subgroups  $K_1^*$  and  $K_2^*$  of  $G/R$  with  $F(K_j^*, F(R, X)) \supset F(G, X)$  for  $j=1, 2$ . Since  $K_1^*$  and  $K_2^*$  generate  $G/R$ ,  $F(K_1^*, F(R, X)) \neq F(K_2^*, F(R, X))$ ; for,

otherwise,  $F(G/R, F(R, X))$  would be  $F(K_1^*, F(R, X))$  instead of  $F(G, X)$ . Let  $\pi$  be the projection  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/R$ , and let  $S_j = \pi^{-1}(K_j^*)$ ,  $j=1, 2$ . We claim  $F(S_1, X) \neq F(S_2, X)$  and  $F(S_j, X) \supset F(G, X)$  for  $j=1, 2$ . To see this observe that  $F(S_j, X) = F(S_j/R, F(R, X)) = F(K_j^*, F(R, X))$ . Now suppose that  $R = G_{x_0}$ . Then there exists  $y_j \in F(S_j, X)$  with  $y_j \neq x_0$  and  $y_j \notin F(G, X)$ ,  $j=1, 2$ ; and, moreover,  $y_1 \neq y_2$ . It follows that  $S_j = G_{y_j}$ ,  $j=1, 2$ , and we have two distinct maximal isotropy subgroups,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , containing  $R$ .

We proceed by induction on  $k = \Gamma(G)$ , starting with  $k=3$ . But if  $\Gamma(G) = 3$ , we need consider isotropy subgroups  $R$  only of rank  $k-2$  (that is, of rank 1), and the argument above immediately applies. Suppose then that  $R$  is an isotropy subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\Gamma(G) = k$ . Then there exists a maximal isotropy subgroup  $S$  of  $G$  with  $R \subset S$  and  $\Gamma(S) = k-1$ . Consider the action of  $S$  on  $X$ . By our induction hypothesis,  $R$  is the intersection of a collection  $T_j$  of isotropy subgroups of  $S$  of rank  $k-2$ . By an argument above, each  $T_j$  is also an isotropy subgroup of the action of  $G$  on  $X$  and, therefore, the intersection of two maximal isotropy subgroups of rank  $k-1$ . Finally, then,  $R$  is the intersection of a collection of isotropy subgroups, each of rank  $k-1$ .

We now know that there are at most binomial coefficient  $\binom{(n-r)/2}{i}$  distinct isotropy subgroups of rank  $k-i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , for  $p$  odd; at most  $\binom{n-r}{i}$  distinct ones for  $p=2$ . Noting that  $k \leq (n-r)/2$  for  $p$  odd and  $k \leq n-r$  for  $p=2$ , an immediate generalization of Smith's result in [4], [5], and allowing for the isotropy subgroups  $G$  and  $\{e\}$  of rank  $k$  and 0, respectively, the theorem follows. Actually, if  $r = -1$ , that is,  $F(G, X)$  is empty, then we may omit  $G$  as a possible isotropy subgroup and we observe that there exist at most  $2^{(n+1)/2} - 1$  distinct isotropy subgroups for  $p$  odd, and at most  $2^{n+1} - 1$  for  $p=2$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $G$  be an elementary  $p$ -group acting effectively on  $E^n$ , euclidean  $n$ -space. Then the number of distinct isotropy subgroups cannot exceed  $2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  for  $p$  odd and  $2^n$  for  $p=2$ .*

**PROOF.** Extend the action of  $G$  to  $S^n$  by leaving the point at infinity fixed. Of course, a stronger statement of the Corollary is possible in terms of the cohomology dimension,  $r$ , of  $F(G, E^n)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Borel et al., *Seminar on transformation groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1960.
2. P. A. Smith, *Transformations of finite period*, Ann. of Math. (2) 39 (1938), 127-164.
3. ———, *Transformations of finite period*. II, Ann. of Math. (2) 40 (1939), 690-711.

4. ———, *Permutable periodic transformations*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **30** (1944), 105–108.

5. ———, *New results and old problems in finite transformation groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1960), 401–415.

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES AND  
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA

---

## DIFFERENTIABLE ACTIONS OF COMPACT ABELIAN LIE GROUPS ON $S^n$

L. N. MANN

1. **Introduction.** In [9] P. A. Smith raises the following question: If a finite group  $G$  acts effectively on the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$ , must there also be some effective orthogonal action of  $G$  on  $S^n$ ? Stated another way, must all finite groups acting effectively on  $S^n$  be isomorphic to subgroups of the orthogonal group  $O(n+1)$ ? Smith has answered this question in the affirmative for the case where  $G$  is an elementary  $p$ -group [8], [9]. The Corollary to Theorem 2 of this paper settles the case where  $G$  is a compact abelian Lie group (in particular, a finite abelian group) and the action is assumed differentiable.

The proof of our main result is immediate if one assumes the existence of a fixed point, as evidenced by the following result which utilizes Bochner's theorem on local linearity about a fixed point.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group operating effectively and differentiably on a differentiable  $n$ -manifold  $X$ . If there exists a point  $x_0$  left fixed by every element of  $G$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $O(n)$ .*

**PROOF.** By Bochner's theorem [5, p. 206], we may assume  $G$  acts orthogonally (but not necessarily effectively) on some small closed  $n$ -cell  $D$  with center  $x_0$ .  $G$  leaves  $\text{bdy } D = S^{n-1}$  invariant. If  $G$  is not effective on  $S^{n-1}$ , then there must be a homeomorphism  $g_0$  of finite order in  $G$  which leaves  $S^{n-1}$  pointwise fixed. Since  $g_0$  acts linearly on  $D$  and leaves  $x_0$  fixed, it must also leave  $D$  pointwise fixed. By Newman's theorem [5, p. 223],  $g_0$  must leave  $X$  pointwise fixed, violating the effectiveness of  $G$  on  $X$ . Hence  $G$  acts orthogonally and effectively on  $S^{n-1}$ , and the theorem follows.

---

Received by the editors March 10, 1964.