

PARTIAL HOMOMORPHIC IMAGES OF BRANDT GROUPOIDS¹

A. H. CLIFFORD

The main purpose of the present note is to show (Theorem 2) that any regular \mathfrak{D} -class of any semigroup is a partial homomorphic image of a Brandt groupoid. It follows from this that a semigroup with zero is a partial homomorphic image of a Brandt semigroup if and only if it is regular and 0-bisimple.

In the first section, an alternative formulation is given of the determination by H.-J. Hoehnke [1] of all partial homomorphisms of a Brandt groupoid into an arbitrary semigroup. This is first done (Theorem 1) for any completely 0-simple semigroup. The result is a straightforward generalization of Theorem 3.14 of [2], in which all partial homomorphisms of one completely 0-simple semigroup into another are determined. The present terminology is that of [2]; Hoehnke omits the adjective "partial." Basic definitions given in [2] will not be repeated here; likewise, references to the fundamental work of Brandt, Rees, Green, and Munn can be found in [2].

1. Partial homomorphisms of a completely 0-simple semigroup.

Let S and S^* be semigroups with zero elements 0 and 0^* , respectively. A mapping θ of S into S^* is called a *partial homomorphism* if (i) $0\theta = 0^*$, and (ii) $(ab)\theta = (a\theta)(b\theta)$ for every pair of elements a, b of S such that $ab \neq 0$. The restriction of θ to $S \setminus 0$ is then a partial homomorphism of the partial groupoid $S \setminus 0$ into S^* as defined in [2, p. 93]. By agreeing to the trivial convention (i), there is no essential distinction between partial homomorphisms of S into S^* and of $S \setminus 0$ into S^* . Moreover, we need not require that S^* have a zero element; if it does not, we adjoin a zero element 0^* to it for the application of (i).

The author's interest in partial homomorphisms originated in the fact that they arise naturally in the theory of extensions of semigroups [2, §4.4].

A partial homomorphism $\theta: S \rightarrow S^*$ evidently preserves regularity [2, p. 26] and Green's relations \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{D} , and \mathcal{H} [2, p. 47]. It follows that if S is regular and 0-bisimple (i.e., $S \setminus 0$ is a \mathfrak{D} -class of S [2, p. 76]), then $(S \setminus 0)\theta$ is contained in a regular \mathfrak{D} -class D of S^* . This is the case, in particular, if S is completely 0-simple [2, Theorem

Received by the editors December 30, 1963.

¹ This work was supported by the National Science Foundation grant GP 1791.

2.51, p. 79]. Since a Brandt semigroup B^0 is just a completely 0-simple inverse semigroup [2, Theorem 3.9, p. 102], we conclude, finally, that if θ is a partial homomorphism of a Brandt groupoid $B = B^0 \setminus 0$ into a semigroup S^* , then $B\theta$ is contained in a regular \mathfrak{D} -class D of S . One might think that these successive particularizations would result in some restriction on D , particularly if θ is onto; the object of this note is to show that this is not the case (Theorem 2 below).

Let D be a regular \mathfrak{D} -class of S^* . Let

$$\{R_{i^*}: i^* \in I^*\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{L_{\lambda^*}: \lambda^* \in \Lambda^*\}$$

be the \mathcal{R} -classes and \mathcal{L} -classes, respectively, of S^* contained in D . Then $H_{i^*\lambda^*} = R_{i^*} \cap L_{\lambda^*}$ are the \mathcal{H} -classes of S^* contained in D . We know that at least one of these must contain an idempotent, and so be a maximal subgroup of S^* [2, Theorem 2.16, p. 59]; choose one such and call it $H^* = H_{1^*1^*}$, 1^* being an element of $I^* \cap \Lambda^*$. For each i^* in I^* , pick r_{i^*} in $H_{i^*1^*}$, and for each λ^* in Λ^* pick q_{λ^*} in $H_{1^*\lambda^*}$. Then [2, Theorem 3.4, p. 92], every element of D is uniquely representable in the form

$$(1) \quad r_{i^*} x q_{\lambda^*} \quad (x \in H^*; i^* \in I^*, \lambda^* \in \Lambda^*).$$

We regard the triple $(x; i^*, \lambda^*)$ as coordinates of the element (1).

By the Rees Theorem [2, Theorem 3.5, p. 94], a completely 0-simple semigroup can be represented as a regular Rees $I \times \Lambda$ matrix semigroup $\mathfrak{M}^0(G; I, \Lambda; P)$ over a group with zero G^0 , and with $\Lambda \times I$ sandwich matrix $P = (p_{\lambda i})$. The elements of \mathfrak{M}^0 can be represented as triples $(a; i, \lambda)$ multiplying according to

$$(2) \quad (a; i, \lambda)(b; j, \mu) = (ap_{\lambda j}b; i, \mu) \quad (a, b \in G^0; i, j \in I; \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda).$$

In fact, the proof of the Rees Theorem amounts to coordinatizing the \mathfrak{D} -class $\mathfrak{M}^0 \setminus 0$. It should be remarked that, for an arbitrary regular \mathfrak{D} -class D , the elements (1) do not have a simple law of multiplication like (2).

THEOREM 1. *Let S be a completely 0-simple semigroup represented as a regular Rees $I \times \Lambda$ matrix semigroup $\mathfrak{M}^0(G; I, \Lambda; P)$. Let θ be a partial homomorphism of S into a semigroup S^* . Then $(S \setminus 0)\theta$ is contained in a regular \mathfrak{D} -class D of S . Let D be coordinatized as in (1). Then*

$$(3) \quad (a; i, \lambda)\theta = r_{i\phi} u_i(a\omega) v_\lambda q_{\lambda\psi} \quad (a \in G; i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda),$$

where (i) $\phi: I \rightarrow I^*$ and $\psi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*$ are mappings such that if $p_{\lambda i} \neq 0$ then $q_{\lambda\psi} r_{i\phi} \in H^*$;

(ii) $\omega: G \rightarrow H^*$ is a (group) homomorphism;

(iii) $u: I \rightarrow H^*$ and $v: \Lambda \rightarrow H^*$ are mappings such that if $p_{\lambda i} \neq 0$ then

$$(4) \quad p_{\lambda i} \omega = v_{\lambda} (q_{\lambda \psi} r_{i \phi}) u_i.$$

The mappings ϕ, ψ, ω, u, v are uniquely determined by θ . Conversely, if mappings ϕ, ψ, ω, u, v are given satisfying (i), (ii), and (iii), then (3) defines a partial homomorphism θ of $S \setminus 0$ into D .

PROOF. The proof is so much like that of Theorem 3.14 of [2, p. 109], that we give only the outline. We can assume that the entry p_{11} of P is not zero. The mappings ϕ and ψ are determined by

$$R_i \theta \subseteq R_{i \phi}, \quad L_{\lambda} \theta \subseteq L_{\lambda \psi},$$

where $\{R_i: i \in I\}$ are the \mathcal{R} -classes, and $\{L_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ are the \mathcal{L} -classes, of S . This implies that

$$(a; i, \lambda) \theta = r_{i \phi} x q_{\lambda \psi}$$

for some x in H^* . If $p_{\lambda i} \neq 0$, then $(p_{\lambda i}^{-1}; i, \lambda) \theta$ is an idempotent in $H_{i \phi, \lambda \psi}$, and it follows that $q_{\lambda \psi} r_{i \phi} \in H^*$ [2, Theorem 2.17, p. 59]. Defining $\omega: G \rightarrow H^*$ by

$$(p_{11}^{-1}, a; 1, 1) \theta = r_{1 \phi} h_0^{-1} (a \omega) q_{1 \psi} \quad (h_0 = q_{1 \psi} r_{1 \phi}),$$

a brief calculation, using the uniqueness of the representation (1), shows that ω is a homomorphism. For each $i \in I$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we define u_i and v_{λ} in H^* by

$$(e; i, 1) \theta = r_{i \phi} u_i q_{1 \psi},$$

$$(p_{11}^{-1}, 1, \lambda) \theta = r_{1 \phi} h_0^{-1} v_{\lambda} q_{\lambda \psi}.$$

Applying θ to

$$(a; i, \lambda) = (e; i, 1) (p_{11}^{-1} a; 1, 1) (p_{11}^{-1}; 1, \lambda),$$

we obtain (3). Applying θ to (2) and using (3), again with the uniqueness of (1), we obtain (4). This last step can be inverted to yield the converse part of the theorem.

From a constructive point of view, Theorem 1 has the drawback that, for given ϕ, ψ , and ω satisfying (i) and (ii), there is no assurance that u and v can be found so as to satisfy (iii). This drawback disappears, however, when S is a Brandt semigroup B^0 . Here we can assume $B^0 = \mathfrak{M}^0(G; I, I; \Delta)$, where $\Delta = (\delta_{ij})$ is the $I \times I$ identity matrix over G^0 [2, Theorem 3.9, p. 102]. The condition (4) now reduces to

$$e^* = v_i q_{i \psi} r_{i \phi} u_i \quad (\text{all } i \in I),$$

where e^* is the identity element of H^* ; or, what is equivalent, to

$$(5) \quad v_i = u_i^{-1}(q_{i\psi}r_{i\phi})^{-1}.$$

We note that $q_{i\psi}r_{i\phi} \in H^*$ by (i). Thus we can always satisfy (iii) by choosing $u: I \rightarrow H^*$ arbitrarily, and then defining $v: I \rightarrow H^*$ by (5). Formula (3) becomes

$$(6) \quad (a; i, j)\theta = r_{i\phi}u_i(a\omega)u_j^{-1}(q_{j\psi}r_{j\phi})^{-1}q_{j\psi}.$$

This differs from Hoehnke's formula (16) of [1, Part III, p. 97], chiefly because a definite coordinate system has been chosen for D , independent of θ .

Now let D itself be a Brandt groupoid, say

$$D = B^* = \mathfrak{M}^0(H^*; I^*, I^*; \Delta^*) \setminus 0.$$

Let us use square brackets to represent the elements $[x^*; i^*, j^*]$ of B^* . It is natural to choose $r_{i^*} = [e^*; i^*, 1^*]$ and $q_{i^*} = [e^*; 1^*, i^*]$. We then have $q_{i^*}r_{j^*} = [e^*; 1^*, 1^*]$, while $q_{i^*}r_{j^*} = 0$ in B^{*0} , or is undefined in B^* , if $i^* \neq j^*$. Hence condition (i) of Theorem 1 requires that $i\psi = i\phi$ for every i in I ; that is, $\psi = \phi$. (5) becomes simply $v_i = u_i^{-1}$, and (6) becomes

$$(7) \quad (a; i, j)\theta = [u_i(a\omega)u_j^{-1}; i\phi, j\phi].$$

Thus every partial homomorphism θ of one Brandt groupoid, B , into another, B^* , is given by (7) in terms of (i) an arbitrary mapping $\phi: I \rightarrow I^*$, (ii) an arbitrary homomorphism $\omega: G \rightarrow H^*$, and (iii) an arbitrary mapping $u: I \rightarrow H^*$. (7) is equivalent to Hoehnke's formula (22) in [1, Part I, p. 164]. It can also be obtained by specialization from Theorem 3.14 of [2].

2. Partial homomorphic images of Brandt groupoids. We come now to the main result of the present note.

THEOREM 2. *Any regular \mathfrak{D} -class of any semigroup is a partial homomorphic image of some Brandt groupoid.*

PROOF. Let D be a regular \mathfrak{D} -class of a semigroup S . Let

$$\{R_i: i \in I\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{L_\lambda: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

be the \mathfrak{R} -classes and \mathfrak{L} -classes, respectively, of S contained in D . As usual, we may assume that I and Λ have an element 1 in common such that $H_{11} = R_1 \cap L_1$ is a group. But now we shall also assume, as we evidently may, that I and Λ are otherwise disjoint: $I \cap \Lambda = \{1\}$.

As usual, choose r_i in H_{i1} and q_λ in $H_{1\lambda}$ in any way, for i in $I \setminus 1$ and λ in $\Lambda \setminus 1$, and choose $r_1 = q_1 = e_{11}$, the identity element of H_{11} . As

in (1), without the stars, every element of D is uniquely representable in the form

$$(8) \quad r_i a q_\lambda \quad (a \in H_{11}; i \in I, \lambda \in \Lambda).$$

For i in $I \setminus 1$ and λ in $\Lambda \setminus 1$, let q_i be any inverse of r_i in R_1 , and let r_λ be any inverse of q_λ in L_1 . Then

$$(9) \quad q_\alpha r_\alpha = e_{11} \quad (\text{all } \alpha \text{ in } I \cup \Lambda).$$

Let $B = \mathfrak{N}^0(H_{11}; I \cup \Lambda, I \cup \Lambda; \Delta) \setminus 0$. Denote the elements of B by triples $(a; \alpha, \beta)$. Multiplication in B is given by

$$(10) \quad (a; \alpha, \beta)(b; \beta, \gamma) = (ab; \alpha, \gamma) \quad (a, b \in H_{11}; \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in I \cup \Lambda).$$

Products $(a; \alpha, \beta)(b; \beta', \gamma)$ with $\beta \neq \beta'$ are not defined in B (and are zero in B^0). Define $\theta: B \rightarrow D$ as follows:

$$(a; \alpha, \beta)\theta = r_\alpha a q_\beta \quad (a \in H_{11}; \alpha, \beta \in I \cup \Lambda).$$

Then, because of (9),

$$\begin{aligned} (a; \alpha, \beta)\theta(b; \beta, \gamma)\theta &= r_\alpha a q_\beta r_\beta b q_\gamma = r_\alpha a b q_\gamma \\ &= (ab; \alpha, \gamma)\theta. \end{aligned}$$

From this and (10), it follows that θ is a partial homomorphism of B into D . Moreover, $B\theta = D$, since $B\theta$ contains all the elements $r_i a q_\lambda$ of (8).

As described in §3.3 of [2], if we adjoin a zero element 0 to a Brandt groupoid B , defining $ab = 0$ if ab is undefined in B , we obtain a Brandt semigroup B^0 , that is, a completely 0-simple inverse semigroup. The following is immediate from Theorem 2 and the first assertion in Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. *A semigroup with zero is a partial homomorphic image of some Brandt semigroup if and only if it is regular and 0-bisimple.*

As defined in [2, p. 93], a *partial isomorphism* is a partial homomorphism which is one-to-one and onto. Not every regular \mathfrak{D} -class is a partial isomorphic image of some Brandt groupoid, and the question of telling which ones are remains unsettled. The next theorem gives a sufficient condition.

THEOREM 3. *Let D be a regular \mathfrak{D} -class of a semigroup S with the property that it is possible to set up a one-to-one correspondence between the \mathfrak{R} -classes R of D and the \mathfrak{L} -classes L of D such that if R and L correspond, then $R \cap L$ contains an idempotent. Then D is a partial isomorphic image of the Brandt groupoid having the same structure group as D and the same number of \mathfrak{R} -classes (and \mathfrak{L} -classes) as D .*

PROOF. By hypothesis, we can index the \mathcal{R} -classes and the \mathcal{L} -classes of D by the same index set I , such that for each i in I , $R_i \cap L_i$ contains an idempotent e_i . The \mathcal{H} -class $H_{ii} = R_i \cap L_i$ is then the maximal subgroup H_{e_i} of S containing e_i . Let $1 \in I$, and pick q_i in H_{1i} in any way for i in $I \setminus 1$, and let $q_1 = e_1$. Let q'_i be the inverse of q_i in H_{i1} ; such exists since both H_{11} and H_{ii} contain idempotents [2, Theorem 2.18, p. 60]. Take $B = \mathfrak{N}^0(H_{11}; I, I; \Delta) \setminus 0$ and define $\theta: B \rightarrow D$ by

$$(11) \quad (a; i, j)\theta = q'_i a q_j \quad (a \in H_{11}; i, j \in I).$$

Since every element of D is uniquely expressible in the form on the right-hand side of (11), and $q_i q'_i = e_1$, we see at once that θ is a partial isomorphism of B onto D .

B is unique, to within isomorphism, since any Brandt groupoid is completely determined by its structure group and the cardinal number of its \mathcal{R} -classes (or \mathcal{L} -classes).

COROLLARY 2. *Every 0-bisimple inverse semigroup S is a partial isomorphic image of the Brandt semigroup having the same structure group as S and the same number of idempotents as S .*

PROOF. The hypothesis of Theorem 3 is satisfied by any inverse semigroup [2, Corollary 2.19, p. 60]. For 0-bisimple inverse semigroups, in particular, for Brandt semigroups, the sets of \mathcal{R} -classes, \mathcal{L} -classes, and nonzero idempotents all have the same cardinal.

We conclude with an example to show that a regular 0-bisimple semigroup may be a partial isomorphic image of a Brandt semigroup, but not of one having the same structure group.

Let $B = \mathfrak{N}^0(E; I, I; \Delta) \setminus 0$, where $E = \{e\}$ is a one-element group, and $I = \{1, 2\}$. Let $S \setminus 0 = H \times E$, where H is a cyclic group $\{e, a\}$ of order 2, and E is a right zero semigroup of order 2. We may represent the elements of S as pairs $(x; i)$ with $x \in H$, $i \in I$, multiplying as follows:

$$(x; i)(y; j) = (xy; j) \quad (x, y \in H; i, j \in I).$$

Define $\theta: B^0 \rightarrow S$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (e; 1, 1)\theta &= (e; 1), & (e; 1, 2)\theta &= (a; 2) \\ (e; 2, 1)\theta &= (a; 1), & (e; 2, 2)\theta &= (e; 2) \end{aligned}$$

and $0\theta = 0$. Clearly θ is one-to-one and onto, and it is easy to verify that it is a partial homomorphism.

On the other hand, S cannot be a partial isomorphic image of any Brandt semigroup B^0 having structure group of order 2. For B must then have order twice a square, whereas $S \setminus 0$ has order 4.

REFERENCES

1. H.-J. Hoehnke, *Zur Theorie der Gruppoiden*. I, Math. Nachr. **24** (1962), 137–168; III, Acta Math. **13** (1962), 91–100.
2. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. 1961.

TULANE UNIVERSITY

**EVERY STANDARD CONSTRUCTION IS INDUCED
BY A PAIR OF ADJOINT FUNCTORS**

H. KLEISLI

In this note, we prove the converse of the following result of P. Huber [2]. Let $F: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and $G: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be covariant *adjoint functors*, that is, functors such that there exist two (functor) morphisms $\zeta: I \rightarrow GF$ and $\eta: FG \rightarrow I$ satisfying the relations

- (1) $(\eta * F) \circ (F * \zeta) = \iota * F,$
- (2) $(G * \eta) \circ (\zeta * G) = \iota * G.$

Then, the triple (C, k, p) given by

$$C = FG, \quad k = \eta \quad \text{and} \quad p = F * \zeta * G$$

is a *standard construction* in \mathcal{L} , that is, C is a covariant functor, $k: C \rightarrow I$ and $p: C \rightarrow C^2$ are (functor) morphisms, and the following relations hold:

- (3) $(k * C) \circ p = (C * k) \circ p = \iota * C,$
- (4) $(p * C) \circ p = (C * p) \circ p.$

This standard construction is said to be *induced by the pair of adjoint functors F and G* . For further explanation of the notation and terminology, see [2], or the appendix of [1].

THEOREM. *Let (C, k, p) be a standard construction in a category \mathcal{L} . Then there exists a category \mathcal{K} and two covariant functors $F: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and $G: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that*

- (i) F is (left) adjoint to G ,
- (ii) (C, k, p) is induced by F and G .

Received by the editors March 2, 1964.