# THE NUMBER OF COPRIME CHAINS WITH LARGEST MEMBER $n$ 

## R. C. ENTRINGER

1. In a previous paper [1] a coprime chain was defined to be an increasing sequence $\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}\right\}$ of integers greater than 1 which contains exactly one multiple of each prime equal to or less than $a_{k}$.

We let $s(n), n>1$, denote the number of coprime chains with largest member $n$. For convenience we define $s(1)=1$.

In this paper we will obtain a partial recursion formula for $s(n)$ and an asymptotic formula for $\log s(n)$. A table of values of $s(n), n \leqq 113$, is also provided.

In the following $p$ will designate a prime and $p_{i}$ will designate the $i$ th prime.
2. Lemma 1. $A=\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}=p_{i} \neq 2\right\}$ is a coprime chain iff
(i) $A^{\prime}=\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k-1}\right\}$ is a coprime chain,
(ii) $p_{i-1}$ is the largest prime in $A^{\prime}$.

Proof. If $A=\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{k}=p_{i} \neq 2\right\}$ is a coprime chain, then
(ii) $p_{i=1}$ is in $A$ (and therefore is the largest prime in $A^{\prime}$ ) since by Bertrand's Postulate $2 p_{i-1}>p_{i}$, and
(i) If $A^{\prime}$ is not a coprime chain, then there is a prime $p \leqq a_{k-1}$ dividing no member of $A^{\prime}$. Thus $p$ divides (and therefore is equal to) $a_{k}$ since $A$ is a coprime chain, but this is impossible since $a_{k-1}<a_{k}$.

To prove the converse we note that if $A$ is not a coprime chain, then $p_{i}$ divides some member of $A^{\prime}$ and therefore $p_{i-1}<a_{k-1} / 2$. But again by Bertrand's Postulate there is a prime between $a_{k-1} / 2$ and $a_{k}$ occurring in $A^{\prime}$ which contradicts (ii).

A direct result of this lemma is:
Theorem 2. $s\left(p_{i}\right)=\sum_{\substack{p_{i}-1 \\ n=p_{i-1}}}^{\substack{ \\i}}(n), i \geqq 2$.
Theorem 3. $s(p)=\sum_{n<p} s(n)$ ( $n$ not prime).
Proof. The assertion holds for $p=2$. Now let $q$ and $p$ be successive primes with $q<p$. If $s(q)=\sum_{n<q} s(n)$ ( $n$ not prime), then

$$
s(p)=s(q)+\sum_{q<n<p} s(n)=\sum_{n<p} s(n) \quad(n \text { not prime })
$$
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by Theorem 2 and the theorem follows by induction.
3. The above result indicates marked irregularities in $s(n)$, however, we can approximate $\log s(n)$ asymptotically.

## Theorem 4. $\log s(n) \sim \sqrt{ } n$.

Proof. Every coprime chain $A(n)$ can be constructed in the following manner. Let $q_{i}, i=1, \cdots, k, q_{i}>q_{j}$ for $i<j$ be those primes less than $\sqrt{ } n$ and not dividing $n$. Choose any multiple $m_{1} q_{1}$ of $q_{1}$ so that $m_{1} q_{1} \leqq n$ and $\left(m_{1}, n\right)=1$. If $q_{2} \mid m_{1}$ let $m_{2}=0$. If $q_{2} \nmid m_{1}$, choose any multiple $m_{2} q_{2}$ of $q_{2}$ so that $m_{2} q_{2} \leqq n$ and ( $\left.m_{2}, n m_{1} q_{1}\right)=1$. This process is continued by choosing $m_{i}=0$ if $q_{i} \mid m_{j}$ for some $j=1, \cdots, i-1$, otherwise choosing any multiple $m_{i} q_{i}$ of $q_{i}$ so that $m_{i} q_{i} \leqq n,\left(m_{i}, n m_{1} q_{1} \cdots\right.$ $\left.m_{i-1} q_{i-1}\right)=1$. The set $\left\{m_{1} q_{1}, \cdots, m_{k} q_{k}\right\}-\{0\}$ can then be extended to a coprime chain by appending $n$ and those primes $p$ between $\sqrt{ } n$ and $n$ which do not divide $n$ or any $m_{i}$, and reordering if necessary. This extension is unique since any multiple of a prime $p$, other than $p$ itself, must either be larger than $n$, not relatively prime to $n$, or not relatively prime to all $m_{i} q_{i}$. Therefore

$$
\log s(n) \leqq \log _{p \leqq \sqrt{ } n}\left[\frac{n}{p}\right] \leqq \sum_{p \leq \sqrt{ } n} \log n-\sum_{p \leq \sqrt{ } n} \log p=\{1+o(1)\} \sqrt{ } n .
$$

To obtain a lower bound for $\log s(n)$, coprime chains are constructed by choosing the $m_{i}$ in the following manner. Let $m_{1}$ be 1 or any prime satisfying $\sqrt{ } n<m_{1} \leqq n / q_{1}, m_{1} \nmid n$. There are at least $\pi\left(n / q_{1}\right)-\pi(\sqrt{ } n)-1$ choices for $m_{1}$ since there is at most one prime in the given range which divides $n$. Let $m_{2}$ be 1 or any prime satisfying $\sqrt{ } n<m_{2} \leqq n / q_{2}, \quad m_{2} \mid n m_{1}$. There are at least $\pi\left(n / q_{2}\right)-\pi(\sqrt{ } n)-2$ choices for $m_{2}$. This process is continued until all multiples $m_{i} q_{i}$ have been chosen. In general there are at least

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi\left(\frac{n}{q_{i}}\right)-\pi(\sqrt{ } n)-i & \geqq \pi\left(\frac{n}{q_{i}}\right)-\pi(\sqrt{ } n)-\left\{\pi(\sqrt{ } n)-\pi\left(q_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& =\pi\left(\frac{n}{q_{i}}\right)-2 \pi(\sqrt{ } n)+\pi\left(q_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

choices for $m_{i}$. The set $\left\{m_{1} q_{1}, \cdots, m_{k} q_{k}\right\}$ is then extended to a coprime chain as previously indicated. If $\pi\left(n / q_{i}\right)-2 \pi(\sqrt{ } n)+\pi\left(q_{i}\right) \leqq 0$, then $m_{i}$ is chosen to be 1 ; hence the above construction is valid.

In the remainder of the proof we assume $\epsilon$ given such that $0<\epsilon$ $<1 / 2$. Define $\delta$ by $n^{\delta} / \delta=2(1-\epsilon) \sqrt{ } n, 1 / \log n<\delta<1 / 2$. Then using certain results from [2] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log s(n) & \geqq \sum_{p \leq n^{\delta} ; p \neq n} \log \left\{\pi\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)-2 \pi(\sqrt{ } n)+\pi(p)\right\} \\
& \geqq \sum_{17 \leq p \leq n^{\delta}} \log \left\{\frac{n}{p \log \frac{n}{p}}-\frac{4 \sqrt{ } n}{\log n-3}+\frac{p}{\log p}\right)-\sum_{p \mid n} \log 2 n \\
& =\sum_{p \leq n^{\delta}} \log \frac{n}{p \log \frac{n}{p}} \\
& +\sum_{p \leq n^{\delta}} \log \left\{1-\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{ } n}{\log n-3}-\frac{p}{\log p}\right) \frac{p}{n} \log \frac{n}{p}\right\}+o(\sqrt{ } n)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n}{p \log \frac{n}{p}}-\frac{4 \sqrt{ } n}{\log n-3}+\frac{p}{\log p}>0 \text { for } p \leqq n^{\delta} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for sufficiently large $n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p \leq n^{\delta}} \log \frac{n}{p \log \frac{n}{p}} & =\{1+o(1)\}\left(\frac{n^{\delta}}{\delta}-n^{\delta}\right)+o(\sqrt{ } n) \\
& =\{1+o(1)\} 2(1-\delta)(1-e) \sqrt{ } n \geqq(1-\epsilon)^{2} \sqrt{ } n ;
\end{aligned}
$$

hence it remains only to show (1) and

$$
-\sum_{p \leq n^{\delta}} \log \left\{1-\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{ } n}{\log n-3}-\frac{p}{\log p}\right) \frac{p}{n} \log \frac{n}{p}\right\}=o(\sqrt{ } n)
$$

Noting that $p \log (n / p)$ and $p^{2}(1-\log n / \log p)$ are increasing functions of $p$ for $p \leqq \sqrt{ } n$ and $n$ sufficiently large we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{ } n}{\log n-3}-\frac{p}{\log p}\right) p \log \frac{n}{p} & =\frac{4 \sqrt{ } n}{\log n-3} p \log \frac{n}{p}+p^{2}\left(1-\frac{\log n}{\log p}\right) \\
& \leqq \frac{4 \sqrt{ } n}{\log n-3} n^{\delta}(1-\delta) \log n+n^{2 \delta}\left(1-\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \\
& =4(1-\delta)(1-\epsilon) \delta n\left(\frac{2 \log n}{\log n-3}-1+\epsilon\right) \\
& \leqq(1-\epsilon) n\left(2+\epsilon^{2}-1+\epsilon\right)=\left(1-\epsilon^{8}\right) n
\end{aligned}
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$. Hence (1) holds and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p \leqq n^{\delta}} \log \left\{1-\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{ } n}{\log n-3}-\frac{p}{\log p}\right) \frac{p}{n} \log \frac{n}{p}\right\} & \\
& \geqq \sum_{p \leq n^{\delta}} 3 \log \epsilon \geqq 8 \frac{\sqrt{ } n}{\log n} \log \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $s(n)$ | $\frac{e^{\sqrt{V} n}}{s(n)}$ | $n$ | $s(n)$ | $\frac{e^{\sqrt{V} n}}{s(n)}$ | $n$ | $s(n)$ | $\frac{e^{\sqrt{ } n}}{s(n)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 4.11 | 40 | 6 |  | 77 | 391 |  |
| 3 | 1 | 5.65 | 41 | 212 | 2.84 | 78 | 9 |  |
| 4 | 1 |  | 42 | 2 |  | 79 | 2005 | 3.61 |
| 5 | 1 | 3.83 | 43 | 214 | 3.29 | 80 | 25 |  |
| 6 | 1 |  | 44 | 15 |  | 81 | 228 |  |
| 7 | 3 | 4.73 | 45 | 12 |  | 82 | 117 |  |
| 8 | 1 |  | 46 | 19 |  | 83 | 2375 | 3.81 |
| 9 | 3 |  | 47 | 260 | 3.65 | 84 | 4 |  |
| 10 | 2 |  | 48 | 3 |  | 85 | 447 |  |
| 11 | 9 | 3.06 | 49 | 154 |  | 86 | 142 |  |
| 12 | 1 |  | 50 | 11 |  | 87 | 292 |  |
| 13 | 10 | 3.68 | 51 | 62 |  | 88 | 91 |  |
| 14 | 2 |  | 52 | 31 |  | 89 | 3351 | 3.73 |
| 15 | 4 |  | 53 | 521 | 2.78 | 90 | 3 |  |
| 16 | 3 |  | 54 | 5 |  | 91 | 715 |  |
| 17 | 19 | 3.25 | 55 | 129 |  | 92 | 175 |  |
| 18 | 1 |  | 56 | 19 |  | 93 | 392 |  |
| 19 | 20 | 3.80 | 57 | 90 |  | 94 | 213 |  |
| 20 | 2 |  | 58 | 54 |  | 95 | 826 |  |
| 21 | 6 |  | 59 | 818 | 2.64 | 96 | 23 |  |
| 22 | 4 |  | 60 | 2 |  | 97 | 5698 | 3.32 |
| 23 | 32 | 3.79 | 61 | 820 | 3.03 | 98 | 65 |  |
| 24 | 1 |  | 62 | 54 |  | 99 | 312 |  |
| 25 | 21 |  | 63 | 44 |  | 100 | 47 |  |
| 26 | 7 |  | 64 | 57 |  | 101 | 6122 | 3.78 |
| 27 | 16 |  | 65 | 207 |  | 102 | 19 |  |
| 28 | 7 |  | 66 | 7 |  | 103 | 6141 | 4.16 |
| 30 | 1 |  | 67 | 1189 | 3.01 | 104 | 166 |  |
| 31 | 85 | 3.08 | 68 | 62 |  | 105 | 24 |  |
| 32 | 9 |  | 69 | 147 |  | 106 | 269 |  |
| 33 | 18 |  | 70 | 8 |  | 107 | 6600 | 4.28 |
| 34 | 11 |  | 71 | 1406 | 3.24 | 108 | 23 |  |
| 35 | 35 |  | 72 | 9 |  | 109 | 6623 | 5.16 |
| 36 | 3 |  | 73 | 1415 | 3.63 | 110 | 31 |  |
| 37 | 161 | 2.72 | 74 | 80 |  | 111 | 540 |  |
| 38 | 15 |  | 75 | 37 |  | 112 | 76 |  |
| 39 | 30 |  | 76 | 73 |  | 113 | 7270 | 5.69 |

4. The table on the preceding page lists the value of $s(n)$ for all $n \leqq 113$. All entries for $s(n)$ were computed individually and checked by means of Theorem 2 .
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## ON THE CONTENT OF POLYNOMIALS

## FRED KRAKOWSKI

1. Introduction. The content $C(f)$ of a polynomial $f$ with coefficients in the ring $R$ of integers of some algebraic number field $K$ is the ideal in $R$ generated by the set of coefficients of $f$. This notion plays an important part in the classical theory of algebraic numbers. Answering a question posed to the author by S. K. Stein, we show in the present note that content, as a function on $R[x]$ with values in the set $J$ of ideals of $R$, is characterized by the following three conditions:
(1) $C(f)$ depends only on the set of coefficients of $f$;
(2) if $f$ is a constant polynomial, say $f(x)=a, a \in R$, then $C(f)$ $=(a)$, where ( $a$ ) denotes the principal ideal generated by $a$;
(3) $C(f \cdot g)=C(f) \cdot C(g)$ (Theorem of Gauss-Kronecker, see [1, p. 105]).
2. Characterization of content. Denote by [ $f$ ] the set of nonzero coefficients of $f \in R[x]$ and call $f, g$ equivalent, of $f \sim g$, if $[f]=[g]$. A polynomial is said to be primitive if its coefficients are rational integers and if the g.c.d. of its coefficients is 1.

Lemma. Let $S$ be a set of polynomials with coefficients in $R$ and suppose it satisfies:
(1) $1 \in S$;
(2) if $f \in S$ and $f \sim g$, then $g \in S$;
(3) if $f \cdot g \in S$, then $f \in S$ and $g \in S$.

Then $S$ contains all primitive polynomials.
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