

REFERENCE

1. E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

LOWER BOUNDS FOR SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL
INEQUALITIES IN HILBERT SPACE

HAJIMU OGAWA¹

Let A be an operator in a Hilbert space and let $u(t)$ be in the domain of A for each $t \in [0, \infty)$. Assuming u is strongly differentiable, Au strongly continuous and du/dt strongly piecewise continuous, all with respect to t , we define

$$(1) \quad Lu = \frac{du}{dt} - Au.$$

In the case where A is symmetric, i.e., $(Au, v) = (u, Av)$, Cohen and Lees [1] obtained lower bounds for solutions of differential inequalities of the form

$$(2) \quad |Lu(t)| \leq \phi(t) |u(t)|.$$

They proved that if $\phi \in L_p(0, \infty)$ for some p with $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then any solution of (2) such that $u(0) \neq 0$ satisfies

$$|u(t)| \geq Ke^{\lambda t},$$

where $K > 0$ and λ are constants depending on the solution. Assuming that A is selfadjoint, Agmon and Nirenberg [2] found a simpler

Presented to the Society, April 13, 1965; received by the editors October 2, 1964.

¹This work was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under the contract AFOSR 553-64.

proof of this result, as well as some extensions, by means of convexity theorems. The purpose of this paper is to present still simpler proofs, assuming only that A is symmetric, of the theorem of Cohen and Lees for $p=2$ and of the extensions of Agmon and Nirenberg.

THEOREM. *Suppose A is symmetric and let u be a solution of (2).*

(i) *If $\phi \in L_p(0, \infty)$ for some p with $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, then*

$$(3) \quad |u(t)| \geq |u(0)| \exp[\lambda t - \mu(t+1)^{2-2/p}].$$

(ii) *If $\phi(t) \leq K(t+1)^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, then*

$$(4) \quad |u(t)| \geq |u(0)| \exp[\lambda t - \mu(t+1)^{2\alpha+2}].$$

In each case, λ is a constant depending on u , while μ is a constant depending only on ϕ .

PROOF. Assuming $|u(t)| \neq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, we first note from (1) and the hypothesis that A is symmetric that

$$(5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \log |u|^2 = \frac{2(Au, u) + 2 \operatorname{Re}(Lu, u)}{|u|^2}.$$

Moreover, the strong differentiability of u and the strong continuity of Au imply

$$\frac{d}{dt} (Au, u) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(Au, \frac{du}{dt} \right).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |u|^4 \frac{d}{dt} \frac{(Au, u)}{|u|^2} &= 2|u|^2 \operatorname{Re}(Au, Au + Lu) \\ &\quad - 2(Au, u) \operatorname{Re}(Au + Lu, u) \\ &= 2|Au + \frac{1}{2}Lu|^2 |u|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|Lu|^2 |u|^2 \\ &\quad - 2[\operatorname{Re}(Au + \frac{1}{2}Lu, u)]^2 + \frac{1}{2}[\operatorname{Re}(Lu, u)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Schwarz's inequality and (2) to this equation, we then find

$$(6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{(Au, u)}{|u|^2} \geq -\frac{1}{2}\phi^2.$$

For the case (i), we assert that

$$(7) \quad \frac{(Au, u)}{|u|^2} \geq \lambda - Mt^{1-2/p},$$

where $\lambda = (Au(0), u(0))/|u(0)|^2$ and M is a constant depending only

on ϕ . For $p=2$ and $p=\infty$, this is an immediate consequence of (6). For $2 < p < \infty$, we make use of Hölder's inequality to obtain the estimate

$$\int_0^t \phi^2 ds \leq \left(\int_0^t \phi^p ds \right)^{2/p} \left(\int_0^t ds \right)^{1-2/p} \leq M t^{1-2/p},$$

so (7) follows from the integration of (6).

Applying (7) and (2) to the equation (5), we see that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log |u(t)| \geq \lambda - M t^{1-2/p} - \phi(t).$$

Integrating this inequality and applying Hölder's inequality to the term in ϕ , we find that

$$\log |u(t)| \geq \log |u(0)| + \lambda t - \frac{p}{2p-2} M t^{2-2/p} - N t^{1-1/p},$$

where N depends only on ϕ . Since the last two terms are bounded below by

$$- \mu(t+1)^{2-2/p}$$

for some constant μ , (3) follows.

For the case (ii), the lower bound (4) is easily verified if we integrate (6) and apply the resulting inequality, together with (2), to the equation (5).

If $|u(0)| \neq 0$, the assumption that $|u(t)| \neq 0$ for $t > 0$ can easily be shown to be valid. For suppose the contrary, and let t_0 be the first point where $|u(t)| = 0$. Then (3) or (4) holds for $0 \leq t < t_0$, and by continuity the bound also holds at t_0 , thus contradicting $|u(t_0)| = 0$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. P. J. Cohen and M. Lees, *Asymptotic decay of solutions of differential inequalities*, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 1235-1249.
2. S. Agmon and L. Nirenberg, *Properties of solutions of ordinary differential equations in Banach space*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 16 (1963), 121-239.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE