# AN INEQUALITY FOR THE ELEMENTARY SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS OF CHARACTERISTIC ROOTS 

MARVIN MARCUS ${ }^{1}$ AND HENRYK MINC ${ }^{2}$

In a recent paper [1] Frank obtained the following interesting result: if $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{m}$ are hermitian positive semidefinite $n$-square matrices and $\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{m}$ are arbitrary complex numbers then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} A_{i}\right)\right| \leqq \operatorname{det}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|\mu_{i}\right| A_{i}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly any complex $n$-square matrix $A$ can be expressed (not uniquely) in the form $A=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} A_{i}$. In the present paper we give an alternative, substantially simpler proof of Frank's theorem, and also discuss the case of equality.

For any $n$-square matrix $X$ let $E_{k}(X)$ denote the $k$ th elementary symmetric function of the characteristic roots of $X$.

Theorem. Let $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{m}$ be positive semidefnite hermitian $n$ square matrices and $\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{m}$ be any complex numbers. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum_{t=1}^{m} \mu_{t} A_{t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left|\mu_{t}\right| A_{t} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for each $k=1, \cdots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{k}(A)\right| \leqq E_{k}(S) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{m}$ are positive definite, equality in (4) can occur if and only if the numbers $\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{m}$ are real nonnegative multiples of the same complex number.

We first prove a preliminary result. Let $V_{n}(R)$ denote the space of complex $n$-tuples and for $w_{2}$ and $w_{1}$ in $V_{n}(R)$ let ( $w_{1}, w_{2}$ ) denote the standard inner product in $V_{n}(R)$.

[^0]Lemma. Let $B$ be a complex $n$-square matrix and $v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}$ be a fixed orthonormal set in $V_{n}(R)$. Let $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)=\left(\left(B v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\right)$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|(B u, u)| \leqq 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all unit vectors $u$ in $V_{n}(R)$. Then every characteristic root of $C$ lies on or inside the unit circle.

Proof. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right)$ be a unit vector in $V_{m}(R)$ and let

$$
u_{x}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j} v_{j} \in V_{n}(R)
$$

Since $\|x\|=1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(u_{x}, u_{x}\right) & =\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j} v_{j}, \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j} v_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} x_{i} \bar{x}_{j}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|x_{j}\right|^{2} \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, $u_{x}$ is a unit vector in $V_{n}(R)$. Hence, by (5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(B u_{x}, u_{x}\right)\right| \leqq 1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(B u_{x}, u_{x}\right) & =\left(B \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j} v_{j}, \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j} v_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} x_{i} \bar{x}_{j}\left(B v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} x_{i} \bar{x}_{j} c_{i j} \\
& =(C \bar{x}, \bar{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, from (6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|(C \bar{x}, \bar{x})| \leqq 1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now choose $\bar{x}$ to be a unit characteristic vector of $C$ corresponding to the characteristic root $\lambda_{t}$ (of course, $x$ is also a unit vector). Then (7) immediately implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{t}\right| \leqq 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary. If $C$ and $B$ are the matrices defined in the Lemma then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\operatorname{det}(C)| \leqq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now ready to prove our main result. Let $C_{k}(X)$ denote the $k$ th (Grassmann) compound matrix of $X[2, \mathrm{p} .16]$. Then if $v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}$ is any set of $k$ vectors in $V_{n}(R)$ it is well known [2, p. 62] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{k}(X) v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k}, v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left(X v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the indicated inner products are the standard inner products in the respective vector spaces.

Proof of the theorem. For the purposes of proving (4) we may assume (by the standard continuity argument) that $S$ is nonsingular and hence positive definite hermitian. Let $S^{-1 / 2}$ denote the positive definite square root of $S^{-1}$ and set $B=S^{-1 / 2} A S^{-1 / 2}$. We prove that $|(B u, u)| \leqq 1$ for any unit vector $u$. For, since the matrices $S^{-1 / 2} A_{t} S^{-1 / 2}$, $t=1, \cdots, m$, are positive semidefinite, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(B u, u)| & =\left|\left(S^{-1 / 2} A S^{-1 / 2} u, u\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\left(S^{-1 / 2} \sum_{t=1}^{m} \mu_{t} A_{t} S^{-1 / 2} u, u\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{t=1}^{m} \mu_{t}\left(S^{-1 / 2} A_{t} S^{-1 / 2} u, u\right)\right| \\
& \leqq \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left|\mu_{t}\right|\left(S^{-1 / 2} A_{t} S^{-1 / 2} u, u\right) \\
& =\left(S^{-1 / 2} \sum_{t=1}^{m}\left|\mu_{t}\right| A_{W} S^{-1 / 2} u, u\right) \\
& =\left(S^{-1 / 2} S S^{-1 / 2} u, u\right) \\
& =\left(I_{n} u, u\right) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the lemma that if $v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}$ is any orthonormal set of vectors in $V_{n}(R)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\left(B v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\right)\right| \leqq 1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (10) and (12) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(C_{k}\left(S^{-1 / 2} A S^{-1 / 2}\right) v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k}, v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k}\right)\right| \leqq 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ be an orthonormal set of characteristic vectors of the
positive definite hermitian matrix $S$ corresponding respectively to the characteristic roots $\sigma_{1}, \cdots, \sigma_{n}$. Then, if $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{k}\right)$, $1 \leqq \omega_{1}<\cdots<\omega_{k} \leqq n$, is any increasing sequence of $k$ integers chosen from $1, \cdots, n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(C_{k}\left(S^{-1 / 2} A S^{-1 / 2}\right) e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}, e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}\right) \\
& =\left(C_{k}(A) C_{k}\left(S^{-1 / 2}\right) e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}, C_{k}\left(S^{-1 / 2}\right) e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}\right) \\
& =\left(C_{k}(A) S^{-1 / 2} e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge S^{-1 / 2} e_{\omega_{k}} S^{-1 / 2} e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge S^{-1 / 2} e_{\omega_{k}}\right) \\
& =\left(C_{k}(A) \sigma_{\omega_{1}}^{-1 / 2} \cdots \sigma_{\omega_{k}}^{-1 / 2} e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}, \sigma_{\omega_{1}}^{-1 / 2} \cdots \sigma_{\omega_{k}}^{-1 / 2} e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}\right) \\
& =\prod_{t=1}^{k} \sigma_{\omega_{t}}\left(C_{k}(A) e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}} e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus from (13)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{k}(A) e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}, e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}\right) \leqq \prod_{t=1}^{k} \sigma_{\omega_{t}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, it is known that if $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ form an orthonormal basis of $V_{n}(R)$ then the $N=C_{k}^{n}$ Grassmann products $e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}$, $1 \leqq \omega_{1}<\cdots<\omega_{k} \leqq n$, constitute an orthonormal basis of the space of $N$-tuples. Hence from (14) it follows that [2, p. 18]

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|E_{k}(A)\right| & =\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(C_{k}(A)\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{\omega}\left(C_{k}(A) e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}, e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}\right)\right| \\
& \leqq \sum_{\omega}\left|\left(C_{k}(A) e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}, e_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{\omega_{k}}\right)\right|  \tag{15}\\
& \leqq \sum_{\omega} \prod_{t=1}^{k} \sigma_{\omega_{t}} \\
& =E_{k}(S) .
\end{align*}
$$

If equality holds in (15) then it must also hold in (11) and this in turn implies (in case $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{m}$ are positive definite and therefore $\left(S^{-1 / 2} A_{t} S^{-1 / 2} u, u\right)>0, t=1, \cdots, m$ ) that $\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{m}$ have the same amplitude, i.e., they are nonnegative multiples of the same complex number $\mu$. Conversely, suppose that $\mu_{t}=c_{t} \mu, c_{t} \geqq 0, t=1, \cdots, m$. Then

$$
\left|E_{k}(A)\right|=\left|E_{k}\left(\mu \sum_{t=1}^{m} c_{t} A_{t}\right)\right|=|\mu|^{k} E_{k}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m} c_{t} A_{t}\right)
$$

$$
=E_{k}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{m}|\mu| c_{t} A_{t}\right)=E_{k}(S) .
$$

Corollary. Let $A$ and $S$ be defined as in the Theorem and let $X_{\omega}$ denote the $k$-square principal submatrix of $X$ lying in rows

$$
\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{k}\right), \quad 1 \leqq \omega_{1}<\cdots \omega_{k} \leqq n .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{\omega} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{\omega}\right)\right| \leqq \sum_{\omega} \operatorname{det}\left(S_{\omega}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $k=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\operatorname{tr}(A)| \leqq \operatorname{tr}(S), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $k=n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\operatorname{det}(A)| \leqq \operatorname{det}(S) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (18) is due to Frank [1].
Proof. These results follow immediately from the identity [2, p. 22]

$$
E_{k}(X)=\sum_{\omega} \operatorname{det}\left(X_{\omega}\right) .
$$
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