that to every sequence  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots$  (with  $\alpha_1 = 1$ ) of nonnegative integers there exists exactly one real number in the interval [0, 1) to which the given sequence  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots$  corresponds.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT KÖLN, KÖLN, GERMANY.

## A SHORT PROOF OF AN INEQUALITY FOR THE PERMANENT FUNCTION

PETER M. GIBSON

Let A be a substochastic matrix, i.e., a square matrix of nonnegative numbers with each row sum no greater than 1. We have obtained a lower bound for the permanent of I-A.

THEOREM. If A is a substochastic matrix, then

per 
$$(I - A) \ge 0$$
.

It was brought to our attention by Marcus and Minc [2] that Brualdi and Newman have proved this theorem. Indeed, two proofs of this theorem are contained in a paper that will appear in the Oxford Quarterly [1]. The proof that we shall give, shorter than and quite different from the Brualdi-Newman proofs, shows that this theorem is almost a corollary of the Ryser representation of the permanent.

Let B be an n-square matrix and let  $B_r$  denote a matrix obtained from B by replacing some r columns of B by zero columns. Let  $S(B_r)$  be the product of the row sums of the matrix  $B_r$ . Ryser [3] has proved that the permanent of B is given by

per 
$$(B) = S(B_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)S(B_1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^2 S(B_2) + \cdots + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} S(B_{n-1}),$$

where  $\sum (-1)^r S(B_r)$  denotes the sum over all  $\binom{n}{r}$  replacements of r of the columns of B by zero columns.

Let B = I - A where A is a substochastic matrix. The *i*th row sum of  $B_r$  is nonpositive or nonnegative according to whether the *i*th column of  $B_r$  is a zero or a nonzero column. Hence there are at least r row sums of  $B_r$  that are nonpositive and at least n-r that are nonnegative. Therefore

Received by the editors September 2, 1965.

536 P. M. GIBSON

$$(-1)^r S(B_r) \ge 0,$$
  
per  $(I - A) = per(B) \ge 0.$ 

We are indebted to Morris Newman for a preprint of [1].

Morris Newman informs me that essentially the same proof was communicated to him independently by Hazel Perfect.

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. A. Brualdi and M. Newman, Proof of a permanental inequality, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) (to appear).
- 2. M. Marcus and H. Minc, Permanents, Amer. Math. Monthly 72 (1965), 577-591.
- 3. H. J. Ryser, *Combinatorial mathematics*, Carus Mathematical Monograph No. 14, Mathematical Association of America, 1963.
  - U. S. Naval Research Laboratory
    North Carolina State University at Raleigh