

3. K. Chandrasekharan and S. Minakshisundaram, *Typical means*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Monograph, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1952.

4. T. M. Flett, *Some remarks on strong summability*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **10** (1959), 115–139.

5. Pramila Srivastava, *On strong Rieszian summability of infinite series*, Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India, Part A **23** (1957), 58–71.

GORAKHPUR UNIVERSITY, GORAKHPUR, INDIA

## ON HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

I. H. SHETH

1. An operator  $T$  defined on a Hilbert space  $H$  is said to be hyponormal if  $T^*T - TT^* \geq 0$ , or equivalently if  $\|T^*x\| \leq \|Tx\|$  for every  $x \in H$ . An operator  $T$  is said to be seminormal if either  $T$  or  $T^*$  is hyponormal. If  $T$  is hyponormal, then  $T - zI$  is also hyponormal for all complex values of  $z$ .

The spectrum of an operator  $T$ , in symbols  $\sigma(T)$ , is the set of all those complex numbers  $z$  for which  $T - zI$  is not invertible. A complex number  $z$  is said to be an approximate proper value for the operator  $T$  in case there exists a sequence  $x_n$  such that  $\|x_n\| = 1$  and  $\|(T - zI)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . The approximate point spectrum of an operator  $T$ , in symbols  $\Pi(T)$ , is the set of approximate proper values of  $T$ . The numerical range of an operator  $T$ , denoted by  $W(T)$ , is the set defined by the relation

$$W(T) = \{(Tx, x) : \|x\| = 1\}.$$

$\text{Cl}(W(T))$  will, as usual, denote the closure of  $W(T)$ . An operator  $S$  is said to be similar to an operator  $T$  in case there exists an invertible operator  $A$  such that  $S = A^{-1}TA$ .

In this note, all the operators will relate to a Hilbert space  $H$ .

We shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $N$  be a hyponormal operator. If for an arbitrary operator  $A$ , for which  $0 \notin \text{Cl}(W(A))$ ,  $AN = N^*A$ , then  $N$  is self-adjoint.*

For proving this theorem, we need certain results which we formulate in the form of lemmas.

2. LEMMA 1. *Let  $T$  be a hyponormal operator and let  $z_1, z_2 \in \Pi(T)$ ,  $z_1 \neq z_2$ . If  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  are the sequences of unit vectors of  $H$  such that  $\|(T - z_1 I)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|(T - z_2 I)y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , then  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ .*

PROOF. See [1, p. 170].

LEMMA 2. *If  $T$  is hyponormal,  $\sigma(T^*) = \Pi(T^*)$ .*

PROOF. See [2].

LEMMA 3. *If  $T$  is a hyponormal operator such that  $\sigma(T)$  is a set of real numbers, then  $T$  is self-adjoint.*

PROOF. See [3, Theorem 4, Corollary 1].

LEMMA 4. *If an operator  $A$  is similar to an operator  $B$ , then  $A$  is bounded below iff  $B$  is bounded below. In other words if  $A$  and  $B$  are similar, then  $\Pi(A) = \Pi(B)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $A = T^{-1}BT$  for an invertible operator  $T$ . Now if  $B$  is bounded below, then  $B^*B \geq \alpha I$  for some constant  $\alpha > 0$ . Since  $T$  is invertible, there exist constants  $\beta > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $T^*T \geq \beta I$  and  $(TT^*)^{-1} = T^{*-1}T^{-1} \geq \gamma I$ .

Now  $A^*A = T^*B^*T^{*-1}T^{-1}BT = (BT)^*T^{*-1}T^{-1}BT \geq (BT)^*\gamma IBT = \gamma T^*B^*BT \geq \gamma T^*\alpha IT = \alpha\gamma T^*T \geq \alpha\beta\gamma I$  i.e.  $A$  is bounded below. Since the above process is reversible, the stated result follows.

The relation  $\Pi(A) = \Pi(B)$  follows from the following two observations.

(i) If  $A$  is similar to  $B$ , then  $A - zI$  is similar to  $B - zI$  for all complex numbers  $z$ .

(ii)  $z \in \Pi(A)$  iff  $A - zI$  is bounded below.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Since  $0 \notin \text{Cl}(W(A))$ ,  $A$  is invertible. Hence  $N = A^{-1}N^*A$  and it follows from Lemmas 2 and 4 that  $\sigma(N) = \sigma(N^*) = \Pi(N^*) = \Pi(N)$ .

In order to complete the proof of the theorem, it is sufficient, by virtue of Lemma 3, to prove that  $\sigma(N)$  is real. Suppose on the contrary that there exists a  $z \in \sigma(N)$  such that  $z \neq \bar{z}$ . Since  $z \in \sigma(N) = \Pi(N)$ , there exists a sequence  $x_n$  of unit vectors such that  $\|(N^* - \bar{z}I)x_n\| \leq \|(N - zI)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ .

Since  $0 \notin \text{Cl}(W(A))$ , the relation  $\|(N^* - \bar{z}I)x_n\| = \|(ANA^{-1} - \bar{z}I)x_n\| = \|A(N - \bar{z}I)A^{-1}x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  implies that  $\|(N - \bar{z}I)A^{-1}x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $(x_n, A^{-1}x_n) = (AA^{-1}x_n, A^{-1}x_n) \rightarrow 0$  by Lemma 1. Put  $y_n$

$= A^{-1}x_n/\|A^{-1}x_n\|$ , then  $\|y_n\| = 1$  and  $(Ay_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$  i.e.  $0 \in \text{Cl}(W(A))$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We deduce, as a corollary, the following result.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $N$  be a seminormal operator. If for an arbitrary operator  $A$ , for which  $0 \in \text{Cl}(W(A))$ ,  $AN = N^*A$ , then  $N$  is self-adjoint.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $N^*$  is hyponormal. The proof of the theorem shows that  $0 \in \text{Cl}(W(A))$  implies  $0 \in \text{Cl}(W(A^{-1}))$ . Now  $AN = N^*A$  implies  $A^{-1}N^* = NA^{-1}$  i.e.  $BM = M^*B$ , where  $M = N^*$  is hyponormal and  $0 \in \text{Cl}(W(B)) = \text{Cl}(W(A^{-1}))$ . Hence  $M = M^*$  by the theorem i.e.  $N = N^*$ .

The author expresses his thanks to Professor U. N. Singh and Professor S. K. Berberian for their comments and suggestions and to the C.S.I.R. of India for the award of a Junior Fellowship.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. K. Berberian, *Introduction to Hilbert space*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1961.
2. ———, *A note on hyponormal operators*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 1871–1875.
3. J. G. Stampfli, *Hyponormal operators and spectral density*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 469–476.

M. S. UNIVERSITY OF BARODA, INDIA