

## GOLAB'S THEOREM

CURTIS M. FULTON

J. Witkowski in [3] proved a theorem of S. Golab which gives a characterization of the sphere in  $E^3$ . In this paper a simpler proof of Golab's theorem is presented. The more direct approach involved should make the geometry simpler to visualize. First we state necessary

DEFINITIONS. (I) A curve on a surface of class  $C^1$  is called  $B$ -straight if the tangent planes to the surface along the curve remain always perpendicular to a fixed direction. (II) A curve on a surface of class  $C^1$  is called  $B$ -plane if the tangent planes to the surface along the curve are all parallel to a fixed direction.

We wish to prove the following

THEOREM. *If every geodesic of a regular surface of class  $C^3$  is  $B$ -plane but not  $B$ -straight, then the surface is part of a sphere.*

PROOF (INDIRECT). According to the hypothesis of our theorem each geodesic is  $B$ -plane, that is there exists for each geodesic a constant unit vector  $\mathbf{V}$  which is perpendicular to the surface normal  $\mathbf{N}$  along the geodesic. Differentiation of  $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{N} = 0$  with respect to the arc length yields  $\mathbf{V} \cdot d_s \mathbf{N} = 0$  where  $d_s \mathbf{N}$  is not identically zero since by hypothesis the geodesic is not  $B$ -straight. We assume that  $d_s \mathbf{N} \neq 0$  at the points under discussion and the later development will show that other points need not be considered. Now for a geodesic, the principal normal  $\mathbf{n}$  is equal to the surface normal  $\mathbf{N}$ . Thus the unit tangent  $\mathbf{t}$  satisfies the relation  $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{t} = \cos \theta = \text{const}$  which can be shown by differentiation. This means that the geodesics are helices, some fixed angle  $\theta$  belonging to each geodesic. Also, at a point of a geodesic the vectors  $\mathbf{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{t}$ , and  $d_s \mathbf{N}$  are in the tangent plane and we find for the curvature  $\kappa$ , which along a geodesic is the same as the normal curvature,

$$(1) \quad -\kappa = \mathbf{t} \cdot d_s \mathbf{N} = \pm |d_s \mathbf{N}| \sin \theta.$$

Using the third fundamental form [2, p. 103] along a geodesic, we have

$$(2) \quad d_s \mathbf{N} \cdot d_s \mathbf{N} = -\kappa_1 \kappa_2 + (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \kappa,$$

which allows us to change (1) to

---

Received by the editors November 16, 1965.

$$(3) \quad \kappa^2 = \sin^2 \theta [-\kappa_1 \kappa_2 + (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \kappa].$$

Assume the existence of a neighborhood  $R_1$  on the surface that does not contain any umbilic points and therefore is covered by lines of curvature. Within  $R_1$  there must be a neighborhood  $R_2$  where the curvature  $K$  does not vanish. If such an  $R_2$  would not exist, the set of points in  $R_1$  with  $K=0$  would be dense and a continuity argument would show that  $K=0$  at all points of  $R_1$ . In this case, however, we have geodesics that are  $B$ -straight [3, Lemma 1]. Such geodesics being ruled out by our hypothesis we now take a point  $P$  in  $R_2$ . Consider the geodesic through  $P$  in the principal direction corresponding to  $\kappa_1$ . Its curvature at  $P$  is also  $\kappa_1$  which we know to be different from zero. But then (2) shows that  $d_s \mathbf{N} \neq 0$  and consequently the arguments leading to (3) are valid. We can infer from (3) that  $\sin^2 \theta = 1$ . Then along this geodesic  $\sin^2 \theta$  will continue to equal 1 and continuity shows that the value of  $\kappa$  found in (3) will be equal to  $\kappa_1$  throughout. Hence the geodesic coincides with the line of curvature in  $R_2$ . Also, in  $R_2$  the lines of curvature can be used as coordinate curves [1, p. 56]. Since they are geodesics,  $R_2$  has curvature  $K=0$  [1, p. 45].

It follows that  $K$  is identically zero in  $R_1$ . Therefore, a neighborhood  $R_1$  without umbilics satisfying the hypothesis of our theorem cannot exist. Rather, the set of umbilics on the surface is dense and we are dealing with part of a sphere [3, Lemma 2].

#### REFERENCES

1. D. Laugwitz, *Differential and Riemannian geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1965.
2. D. J. Struik, *Lectures on classical differential geometry*, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1961.
3. J. Witkowski, *On a characterization of the Euclidean sphere*, Ann. Polon. Math. 13 (1963), 121–127.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS