ON EXTENSIONS OF CAYLEY ALGEBRAS

MARGARET M. HUMM AND ERWIN KLEINFELD1

Kaplansky in Theorem 2 of [3] has shown that if A is an alternative algebra with identity element 1 which contains a subalgebra B isomorphic to a Cayley algebra and if 1 is contained in B then A is isomorphic to the Kronecker product $B \otimes T$, where T is the center of A. Jacobson in Theorem 2 of [2] has shown that if A is an alternative algebra which contains a subalgebra B isomorphic to a Cayley algebra, then the identity e of B must lie in the center of A, provided A has characteristic different from 2. He also has given a new proof of the Kaplansky result, using his classification of completely reducible alternative bimodules. In the present note we present a generalization of the aforesaid result by Jacobson, which incidentally is also valid for characteristic 2.

THEOREM. Let A be an alternative algebra over F and B any subalgebra with identity e. Then consider the following two conditions.

- (i) There exist x, y in B, α in F such that $e = \alpha(x, y)^4$, where (x, y) = xy yx.
- (ii) The ideal I of B, generated by all associators of B equals B. If B satisfies (i) then e must be in the nucleus N of A. If B satisfies (i) and (ii) then e must be in the center C of A.

PROOF. It will be helpful to recall some identities that hold in all alternative rings R. Let p, q, r, s, t, x, y, z be arbitrary elements of R and n an arbitrary element of the nucleus N' of R. Then

- (1) $(s, t)^4$ is in N',
- (2) (n, r) is in N',
- (3) (n, (x, y, z)) = 0,
- (4) (n, r)(x, y, z) = -(n, x)(r, y, z),
- (5) $(p^2, q) = p(p, q) + (p, q)p$.

A proof of (1) may be found in Theorem 3.1 (ii) of [5]. Proofs of (2), (3) and (4) are contained in Lemma 2.3 (ii), (iii) and (iv) of [4]. Identity (5) may be verified directly by expanding both sides of the equation and using the alternative law. If B satisfies the hypothesis and condition (i), then one may apply (1) directly to obtain that e

Received by the editors September 18, 1965 and, in revised form, February 23, 1966.

¹ This paper was supported in part by a grant from U. S. Army Research (Durham) to Syracuse University.

belongs to N. If B also satisfies condition (ii), then select n=e, r as arbitrary in A and x, y, z arbitrary in B and substitute this in (4). Then (e, r)(x, y, z) = -(e, x)(r, y, z) = 0, since (e, x) = 0. The associator ideal I of B may be characterized as the additive subgroup of B generated by all elements of the form (B, B, B) and (B, B, B)B. We have already proved that (e, r)(B, B, B) = 0. But (e, r) belongs to N as a result of (2), so that $(e, r) \cdot (B, B, B)B = 0$ is also obvious and hence (e, r)I = 0. Since I = B and e itself belongs to B, we have (e, r)e = 0. Using (2) we may substitute n = (e, r) in (3) to obtain also that (B, B, B)(e, r) = 0. As I may also be characterized as the additive subgroup generated by elements of the form (B, B, B) and B(B, B, B), we obtain I(e, r) = 0, and hence e(e, r) = 0. At this point we substitute p = e, q = r in (5) and obtain $(e, r) = (e^2, r) = e(e, r) + (e, r)e = 0$. This places e in C and the proof of the theorem is complete.

Condition (i) certainly holds when B is taken to be a quaternion algebra and hence a priori if B is a Cayley algebra. Since Cayley algebras are simple and not associative, condition (ii) clearly holds when B is taken to be a Cayley algebra. Thus we obtain Jacobson's result as a corollary to our theorem. On the other hand one may readily construct other alternative algebras to which our theorem applies.

We conclude with an example that shows a quaternion algebra may be embedded as a subalgebra of an associative algebra and with the identity quaternion not in the center of the larger algebra. Consider the free associative algebra S on the four generators w, x, y, z. Define relations on x, y, z which make them behave as the quaternions 1, i, j respectively. In the quotient algebra R, words have the form

$$\cdots q_r w^{k_r} \cdots q_s w^{k_s} \cdots$$

where $q_i = \pm x$, $\pm y$, $\pm z$, $\pm yz$. Then R contains a copy of the quaternions with identity x, but $wx \neq xw$, so that x is not in the center of R. If an example that is alternative but not associative is desired, then one may take a direct product of R with a Cayley algebra.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. A. A. Albert, On simple alternative rings, Canad. J. Math. 4 (1952), 129-135.
- 2. N. Jacobson, A Kronecker factorization theorem for Cayley algebras and the exceptional simple Jordan algebra, Amer. J. Math. 76 (1954), 447-452.
- 3. Irving Kaplansky, Semi-simple alternative rings, Portugal. Math. 10 (1951), 37-50.
- 4. Erwin Kleinfeld, An extension of the theorem on alternative division rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 348-351.
 - 5. _____, Simple alternative rings, Ann. of Math. 58 (1953), 544-547.

6. ——, A characterization of the Cayley numbers, Math. Assoc. America Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 2, pp. 126-143, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1963.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

A CONDITION FOR A FINITE GROUP TO BE NILPOTENT

STEPHEN MONTAGUE AND GOMER THOMAS

Let X be a class of groups such that:

- (i) If G is in \mathcal{K} , then every homomorphic image of G is in \mathcal{K} .
- (ii) If G is finite and $G/\phi(G)$ is in \mathcal{K} , where $\phi(G)$ is the Frattini subgroup of G, then G is in \mathcal{K} .

Examples of such classes are the class of nilpotent groups and the class of supersolvable groups. Others can be found in a paper by Baer [1].

In this note a theorem of P. Hall on nilpotent groups is proved as a corollary to the following:

THEOREM. If G is a finite group with a subgroup H such that $\phi(H)$ is normal in G and $G/\phi(H)$ is in \Re , then G is in \Re .

LEMMA (HUPPERT). Let G be a finite group, H be a subgroup of G, and N be a subgroup of H such that N is normal in G and $N \leq \phi(H)$. Then $N \leq \phi(G)$.

PROOF. If not, G would have to have a maximal subgroup U such that $N \not \leq U$. Then $H = G \cap H = NU \cap H = N(U \cap H) = U \cap H$, since $N \leq \phi(H)$. But this implies $H \leq U$, contrary to $N \not \leq U$.

PROOF OF THEOREM. An application of the Lemma with $N = \phi(H)$ shows that $\phi(H) \leq \phi(G)$. Hence $G/\phi(G)$ is in \mathcal{K} , and so G is in \mathcal{K} .

COROLLARY. If G is a finite group with a normal subgroup H such that H is nilpotent and G/H' is nilpotent, where H' is the commutator subgroup of H, then G is nilpotent.

PROOF. Since H is nilpotent, $\phi(H)$ contains H'. Hence $G/\phi(H)$ is

Received by the editors September 22, 1965.