## A NOTE ON IC-p GROUPS

## I. M. ISAACS

In [1], Bauman defines an IC group as a finite group in which every intersection of two subgroups neither of which contains the other has every Sylow subgroup cyclic. His Theorem 1 shows that an IC group has a normal 2-complement unless an  $S_2$  is abelian or quaternion. Using some of his methods we prove a more general result.

DEFINITION. A finite group G is an IC-p group if whenever H,  $K \subseteq G$ ,  $H \nsubseteq K$ ,  $K \nsubseteq H$  and  $H \cap K$  is a p-group, then  $H \cap K$  is cyclic. Our main result is

THEOREM. Let G be an IC-p group which is not a p-group. Then an  $S_p$  of G is either

- (i) cyclic,
- (ii) of period p and order  $\leq p^3$  or
- (iii) quaternion.

We begin by observing that if G is an IC-p group then so is every subgroup and if  $P\triangle G$  is a p-group then G/P is an IC-p group. Unlike the situation with IC groups, it is false that all quotients of IC-p groups also have the property. An example is the direct product of the quaternion group with an elementary abelian group of order  $p^2$  for any odd prime p. This is an IC-p group but its quotient by the subgroup of order 2 is not.

LEMMA 1. Let G be a p-group which is an IC-p group and let  $P \triangle G$  be a noncyclic subgroup. Then G/P is cyclic.

PROOF. If G/P has more than one maximal subgroup then each corresponds to a maximal subgroup of G and the intersection of two of these subgroups is cyclic and contains P. This cannot happen and thus G/P has a unique maximal subgroup and hence is cyclic.

LEMMA 2. An IC-p group is p-normal.

Proof. This is essentially Lemma 2 of Bauman's paper and his proof works here.

LEMMA 3. An IC-p group of period p has order  $\leq p^3$ .

Received by the editors March 29, 1966.

PROOF. The group in question, P, is a p-group and if its order were  $\geq p^3$  it would have a normal subgroup A of order  $p^2$ . Since A is not cyclic, P/A is cyclic and has period and hence order p by Lemma 1. Therefore  $|P| = p^3$ .

LEMMA 4. If P is a 2-group and  $Z = \mathfrak{Z}(P)$  then P/Z is not the quaternion group. If P/Z is abelian and P is generalized quaternion, then P is quaternion.

PROOF. If P/Z is quaternion there are distinct A,  $B \supseteq Z$  of index 2 in P with A/Z and B/Z cyclic. Thus A and B are abelian and  $A \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\langle A, B \rangle) = \mathcal{B}(P) = Z$ . Therefore [P:Z] = 4 contradicting P/Z quaternion. The second statement follows from an examination of the generalized quaternion groups.

LEMMA 5. Let G have a cyclic normal p-subgroup P. If u is any element of G of order prime to p which centralizes some element of P then u centralizes all of P.

PROOF. Let  $P = \langle x \rangle$  and suppose  $u^{-1}xu = x^i$ ,  $u^{-1}x^ru = x^r$  where  $r < p^k = |P|$ . Since  $x^r = u^{-1}x^ru = x^{ri}$ , we have  $p^k | (ri - r)$  and since  $p^k > r$ , p | (i - 1) and  $i \equiv 1 \mod p$ . If the order of u is s then  $x = u^{-s}xu^s = x^{s^s}$  and  $p^k | (i^s - 1)$ . Since  $1 + i + i^2 + \cdots + i^{s-1} \equiv 1 + 1 + \cdots + 1 = s \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ , we have  $p^k | (i - 1)$  and  $x^i = x$ . Therefore u centralizes P.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. If  $H \subseteq G$  is not a p-group and  $p \mid [G:H]$ , let  $P_0$  be an  $S_p$  of H and let  $P \supseteq P_0$  be one of G. Then  $P_0 = P \cap H$  and  $P \subseteq H$  and  $H \subseteq P$  and thus  $P_0$  is cyclic. In particular, if any  $P_0 < P$  is normalized by a p' element p' of p' then by letting p' subgroup of p' and p' is cyclic. Similarly, if p' is a normal p' subgroup of p' and p' is arbitrary, then letting p' we conclude that p' is cyclic. In this situation let p' have order p'. If p' has order p' then letting p' and p' we have either p' and p' is cyclic. Therefore if p' if p' is cyclic or generalized quaternion. Since a generalized quaternion group contains the noncyclic quaternion group, the inclusion cannot be proper. We have therefore proved the theorem in the case where there is some normal p' subgroup.

Suppose that in the general case the theorem is false. Let G be a counterexample of minimal order with  $S_p$  P. Since the IC-p property is inherited by subgroups, P is maximal in G. If  $Z = \mathfrak{Z}(P)$  then  $P \subseteq \mathfrak{N}(Z)$  and either  $Z \triangle G$  or  $\mathfrak{N}(Z) = P$ . We may apply Grun's Theorem by Lemma 2 and thus in the latter case G has a normal p-complement. Since G can have no normal p' subgroup G must be a p-group which we are assuming is not the case. Therefore  $Z \triangle G$  and

hence  $P \subseteq \mathbb{C}(Z) \triangle G$ . If P is not normal in G then  $\mathbb{C}(Z) = G$  and  $Z \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(G)$ .

Continuing with the assumption that P is not normal, suppose  $P \supseteq Z_0 \supseteq Z$ ,  $Z_0 \triangle G$  and  $P/Z_0$  is abelian. Since  $P/Z_0$  is its own normalizer in  $G/Z_0$  we may apply Burnside's Theorem to conclude that  $G/Z_0$  has a normal p-complement. In particular, if P/Z is abelian, G/Z has a normal p-complement which has the central  $S_p Z$  and thus G has a normal p-complement which is a contradiction.

By the theorem applied to G/Z and by Lemma 4 we may conclude that p is odd and P/Z is the nonabelian group of period p and order  $p^3$ . We let  $Z_1$  be the inverse image of the center of P/Z. We may conclude as before from Grun's Theorem that either  $Z_1\triangle G$  or else G/Z has a normal p-complement which we know is impossible since  $Z\subseteq \mathcal{B}(G)$ . Since  $P/Z_1$  is abelian, we may let  $Z_0=Z_1$  and conclude that  $G/Z_1$  has a normal p-complement M. Since  $Z_1< P$  it is cyclic and since every p' element of M centralizes  $Z\subseteq Z_1$ , by Lemma 5 it also centralizes  $Z_1$  which therefore is a central  $S_p$  of M. Hence M has a normal p-complement and this yields a contradiction. We therefore must have  $P\triangle G$ .

Suppose now that P/Z is abelian. If p=2 then by Lemma 4 P is not generalized quaternion and thus has more than one involution. If P is not abelian then Z < P is cyclic and P has a noncentral involution x. Since we are assuming P is not abelian, P/Z is not cyclic and thus by the theorem applied to G/Z it is elementary abelian and  $\langle Z, x \rangle \triangle P$ . Since  $\langle Z, x \rangle$  is not cyclic, by Lemma 1 its quotient in P is cyclic and thus of order 2 and [P: Z] = 4. Let  $Z_0$  be of index 2 in Z. If  $Z_0 > 1$  then by induction, since  $Z_0 \triangle G$ , either  $P/Z_0$  is elementary abelian or quaternion. Since  $Z/Z_0$  does not contain the image of x,  $P/Z_0$  has more than one involution and thus  $P/Z_0$  is elementary abelian and the quotient  $P/\langle Z_0, x \rangle$  is not cyclic. This contradiction shows that  $Z_0 = 1$  and thus |P| = 8 and therefore P is dihedral and has exactly two elementary abelian maximal subgroups. Each is therefore normalized by any element of G of odd order and thus by our earlier remarks must be cyclic. This contradiction shows that if P/Z is abelian, either p is odd or P is abelian and since the class of P is  $\leq 2$  it is a regular p-group in either case. Therefore the subgroup  $P_1$  generated by the elements of order p has period p.  $P_1 \neq P$  by Lemma 3 and thus  $P_1$ , being normal in G, is cyclic and there is a unique subgroup of order p. Therefore P is cyclic and we have a contradiction.

Since P/Z is not abelian we may conclude as before by applying the theorem to G/Z that p is odd and P/Z is the nonabelian group of

1454 I. M. ISAACS

period p and order  $p^3$ . Let  $Z_1 \triangle G$  be the inverse image in P of  $\mathfrak{Z}(P/Z)$ . Now  $Z_1$  is cyclic but no maximal subgroup of P is cyclic for this would correspond to an element of order  $p^2$  in P/Z. Therefore no maximal subgroup of P is normalized by any p' element of G.

Now let  $y \in G$  have prime order  $q \neq p$ . Since y permutes the p+1 maximal subgroups of P containing  $Z_1$  and fixes none of them,  $q \mid p+1$ . Since  $\mathfrak{C}_P(Z_1) < P$  and is normal in G it must equal  $Z_1$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{C}_G(Z_1)$  has the central  $S_p Z_1$  and thus has a normal p-complement which as we have seen must be trivial. Hence y does not centralize  $Z_1$  and thus by Lemma 5 y acts without nontrivial fixed points on  $Z_1$  and  $q \mid p-1$ . Thus q=2 and y is an involution. Now y fixes no  $x \in P - Z_1$  or else it normalizes  $\langle Z_1, x \rangle$ . Therefore y is an involution acting without nontrivial fixed points on P which must therefore be abelian. This contradiction proves the theorem.

As an application of the theorem we prove the following.

COROLLARY. If G is a p-solvable IC-p group, then the p-length of G is 1.

PROOF. We must show that  $\mathfrak{D}_{p'pp'}(G) = G$ . Assume to the contrary that  $\mathfrak{D}_{p'pp'}(G)$  does not contain an  $S_p$  P of G. By Lemma 1.2.3 of [2],  $\mathfrak{D}_{p'p}(G)/\mathfrak{D}_{p'}(G)$  contains its own centralizer in  $G/\mathfrak{D}_{p'}(G)$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{D}_{p'p}(G)/\mathfrak{D}_{p'}(G)$  is not central in  $P\mathfrak{D}_{p'}(G)/\mathfrak{D}_{p'}(G)$  and in particular P is not abelian and since a normal subgroup of order p in a p-group is always central,  $p^2 | [\mathfrak{D}_{p'p}(G) : \mathfrak{D}_{p'p}(G)]$ . By the theorem we may conclude that P either has period p or is quaternion. Since certainly  $\mathfrak{D}_{p'pp'}(G) \oplus P$  we know that  $P \cap \mathfrak{D}_{p'pp'}(G)$  is cyclic and thus  $\mathfrak{D}_{p'p}(G)/\mathfrak{D}_{p'}(G)$  is cyclic. Since its order is  $g \not = g$  it cannot have period  $g \not = g$  and thus  $g \not = g$  and  $g \not = g$  is quaternion. Therefore  $g \not = g$  is a cyclic normal subgroup of order  $g \not = g$  of  $g \not = g$ . We conclude that  $g \not = g$  is a 2-group and this contradiction completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

- 1. S. Bauman, Nonsolvable IC groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 823-827.
- 2. P. Hall and G. Higman, On the p-length of p-solvable groups and reduction theorems for Burnside's problem, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 6 (1956), 1-42.

University of Chicago