A SIMPLE PROOF OF A THEOREM OF ISBELL

A. KRUSE

John Isbell [3, pp. 301–302] proved the following theorem.^{1,2}

Theorem. Every complete metric space is homeomorphic with a closed subset of a countable product of finite-dimensional uniform complexes.³

We shall give a simple proof of this theorem utilizing embeddings in Hilbert space.

Consider any real Hilbert space H. Let E be an orthonormal basis of H; let θ be the origin of H. Let $E' = E \cup \{\theta\}$. Let |K| be the algebraic convex hull of E', whence $|K| \subset H$. We consider |K| to be the underlying space of an obvious geometric simplicial complex K whose set of vertices is E'. Let $K^{(n)}$ be the n-skeleton of K; it is easily verified that $K^{(n)}$ as a uniform complex and $|K^{(n)}|$ as a topological subspace of H are topologically the same. Let E be the closure of E in E0, whence E1 is the set of all E1 such that E2 is the set of all E3 such that E4 such that E5 some nonnegative scalars E6.

LEMMA 1. Z is homeomorphic to a closed subset of $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} |K^{(n)}|$.

PROOF. Define $f_n: Z \to |K^{(n)}|$ as follows. If $v = \sum_{e \in E} t_e e$ as above, then, where s_e is the smaller of t_e and $(n+1)^{-1}$, let $f_n(v) = \sum_{e \in E} (t_e - s_e) e$. Define $f: Z \to \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} |K^{(n)}|$ so that f_n is the *n*-coordinate function of f for each n. It is easily shown that f is a homeomorphism from Z onto a closed subset of $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} |K^{(n)}|$.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that (X, d) is a metric space and the orthonormal dimension of H is as large as the cardinal of some base of X. Then there is a homeomorphism g from X into Z (defined as above) such that $g^{-1}: g[X] \rightarrow X$ is a uniformly continuous. Hence, if (X, d) is complete, then X is homeomorphic to a closed subset of Z (and thus to a closed subset of H).

Proof. After changing several obvious coefficients n^{-1} to (for

Received by the editors May 30, 1966.

¹ The question raised by the writer in his Zentralblatt (Vol. 119, pp. 181–182) review of [3] concerning the proof of this theorem arose from a notational misinterpretation; the proof in [3] is complete as it stands. The dimension Δd studied in [3] should have been attributed to [2] in the same review. The writer regrets these inaccuracies.

² Isbell [3] uses this theorem to obtain a much sharper result.

² A uniform complex is metrized by the maximum difference of barycentric coordinates.

example) 2^{-n} in [1, pp. 194–196], apply [1, 9.4, p. 196] and its proof. Thus Lemma 2 comes from scrutinizing a standard proof of the Nagata-Smirnov metrization theorem.

Finally, the theorem follows immediately from Lemmas 1 and 2.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1966.
- 2. J. R. Isbell, Zero-dimensional spaces, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 7 (1955), 1-8.
- 3. ——, On finite-dimensional uniform spaces. II, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 291-302.

New Mexico State University