

PRIME $(-1, 1)$ RINGS WITH IDEMPOTENT¹

NICHOLAS J. STERLING

1. **Introduction.** Right alternative rings arise when the alternative identity is weakened [1]. That is, a ring R is called right alternative if the identity $(y, x, x) = 0$ is satisfied for all x, y in R where the associator is defined as $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$. When the characteristic of R is prime to 2 this is equivalent to the identity

$$(1) \quad (x, y, z) + (x, z, y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in R.$$

Many authors have investigated right alternative rings (see the bibliography). In this paper we examine a subclass of these rings, the $(-1, 1)$ rings. Such rings R satisfy (1) and the identity

$$(2) \quad (x, y, z) + (y, z, x) + (z, x, y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in R.$$

Maneri [7] proved that a simple ring of type $(-1, 1)$ with characteristic prime to 6 having an idempotent $e \neq 0, 1$ is associative. It is shown in this paper that when R is a $(-1, 1)$ ring with no trivial ideals which has characteristic prime to 6, then if R contains an idempotent $e \neq 0, 1$, it has a Peirce decomposition relative to e . Further, the multiplicative relations between the submodules of the Peirce decomposition relative to containment are the same as those for an associative ring. Under the additional assumption that R is a prime ring it is proven that R must be associative.

2. **Preliminary section. A Peirce decomposition.** We will assume throughout this section that R is a $(-1, 1)$ ring with characteristic prime to 6 having an idempotent $e \neq 0, 1$. When other conditions on R are needed they will be noted.

The commutator is defined as $(x, y) = xy - yx$ where $x, y \in R$. It is simple to verify that the identity $C(x, y, z) = (xy, z) - x(y, z) - (x, z)y - (x, y, z) + (x, z, y) - (z, x, y) = 0$ is satisfied by all elements x, y, z in an arbitrary ring. When R satisfies (1) this reduces to

$$(3) \quad C(x, y, z) = (xy, z) - x(y, z) - (x, z)y - 2(x, y, z) - (z, x, y) = 0.$$

The following identities hold in an arbitrary right alternative ring [5].

Received by the editors August 20, 1966.

¹ This paper was written under Contract 1620.1023, United States Army Research Office. It is a part of the author's doctoral dissertation written under the supervision of Professor Erwin Kleinfeld at Syracuse University.

- (4) $J(x, w, y, z) = (x, w, yz) + (x, y, wz) - (x, w, z)y - (x, y, z)w = 0,$
 (5) $K(x, y, z) = (x, y^2, z) - (x, y, yz + zy) = 0.$

When R , in addition, satisfies (2) and has an idempotent e , the following identities are satisfied for all $x, y, w \in R$ [7].

- (6) $(x, (e, e, y)) = 0,$
 (7) $(e, e, y)(e, e, w) = 0,$
 (8) $(e, e, (e, x))y = (e, e, y(e, x)).$

Next, define $U = \{u \in R \mid (u, R) = 0\}$. Then if u is in U , $0 = C(x, x, u) = -2(x, x, u)$ and so

- (9) $(x, x, u) = 0.$

From (1) it then follows that $(x, u, x) = 0$. If x is replaced by $x+y$ in (9) and the last equation we obtain

- (10) $(x, y, u) = -(y, x, u), \quad (x, u, y) = -(y, u, x).$

We will find the Teichmüller identity, which follows, useful. For all $x, y, z, w \in R$, where R is an arbitrary ring,

- (11) $F(x, y, z, w) = (xy, z, w) - (x, yz, w) + (x, y, zw) - x(y, z, w) - (x, y, z)w = 0.$

LEMMA 1. *Let $A \neq 0$ be an ideal of R . Then the set of two-sided annihilators of A is an ideal of R .*

PROOF. Suppose x is in R and $xA = Ax = 0$. Let a belong to A and y to R . From (1) we get $0 = (y, a, x) + (y, x, a) = (y, x, a) = (yx)a$ and $0 = (x, a, y) + (x, y, a) = (x, y, a) = (xy)a$. Then from (2), $0 = (a, x, y) + (x, y, a) + (y, a, x) = (a, x, y) + (x, y, a) = -a(xy) + (xy)a = -a(xy)$ and $0 = (a, y, x) + (y, x, a) + (x, a, y) = (a, y, x) + (y, x, a) = -a(yx) + (yx)a = -a(yx)$. Hence, the two-sided annihilators of A form an ideal of R .

The next lemma is crucial to the existence of a Peirce decomposition relative to e .

LEMMA 2. *Let R have characteristic prime to 6. Then let s belong to R such that s and sR belong to U . Define*

$$B_s = \{x \in R \mid xs = x(sR) = (xR)s = (Rx)s \\ = (xR)(Rs) = (Rx)(Rs) = 0\}.$$

Then B_s is an ideal of R .

PROOF. Let $x \in B$, and $y, z, w \in R$. From the definition of B , it is immediate that

$$(12) \quad (xw)s = (wx)s = (xw)(ys) = (wx)(ys) = 0.$$

Now $(wx, y, s) = -(y, wx, s)$ and $(xw, y, s) = -(y, xw, s)$ from (10) since s is in U . Expanding these associators, we have $[(wx)y]s = -[y(wx)]s$ and $[(xw)y]s = -[y(xw)]s$. However, $(wx, y, s) = -(wx, s, y) = 0$ and $(xw, y, s) = -(xw, s, y) = 0$ from (12). We conclude that

$$(13) \quad [(wx)y]s = [(xw)y]s = [y(wx)]s = [y(xw)]s = 0.$$

It remains to show that $[(xw)y](sz) = [(wx)y](sz) = [y(xw)](sz) = [y(wx)](sz) = 0$. We will show that the expressions involving xw vanish, and note that an identical proof applies to the remaining two expressions. We have, from (4), $0 = J(xw, s, y, z) = (xw, s, yz) + (xw, y, sz) - (xw, s, z)y - (xw, y, z)s$. Since $(xw, s, yz) = (xw, s, z)y = 0$ by (12) and (13) we obtain $(xw, y, sz) = (xw, y, z)s$. Combining this equation with the fact that sz is in U we get $(xw, y, sz) = -(xw, sz, y) = (y, sz, xw) = (xw, y, z)s$. Expanding the latter three associators and using (12) and (13) we obtain

$$(14) \quad [y(sz)](xw) = (xw)[(sz)y] = \{[(xw)y]z\}s.$$

Next, we have $0 = (xw, y, sz) + (y, sz, xw) + (sz, xw, y) = -2(xw, sz, y) - (sz, y, xw) = 2(xw)[(sz)y] - [(sz)y](xw) + (sz)[y(xw)]$ from (2), (10) and (12). Then, from (14) and the fact that $sz \in U$, we obtain

$$(15) \quad (xw)[(sz)y] = - (sz)[y(xw)].$$

Now, from (1), $(xw, y, sz) = -(xw, sz, y)$. Expanding these associators and using (12) we obtain $[(xw)y](sz) - (xw)[y(sz)] = (xw)[(sz)y]$. Since sz belongs to U this equation becomes

$$(16) \quad 2(xw)[(sz)y] = [(xw)y](sz).$$

Combining (15) and (16) we get

$$(17) \quad 2(sz)[y(xw)] + [(xw)y](sz) = 0.$$

From (14) and (16) and the fact that s belongs to U we have

$$(18) \quad 2\{[(xw)y]z\}s = [(xw)y](zs).$$

It then follows from (18) that $((xw)y, z, s) = -\{[(xw)y]z\}s$. However, $((xw)y, z, s) = -((xw)y, s, z) = [(xw)y](sz)$ from (13) and so, $[(xw)y](sz) = -\{[(xw)y]z\}s$. This equation, combined with (18), yields $[(xw)y](zs) = 0$ when divided by 3. Whence, from (17) and the

fact that sz is in U , it follows that $[y(xw)](sz) = 0$. Thus B_s is an ideal of R .

We now assume that R has no trivial ideals. The following lemma leads directly to the existence of the Peirce decomposition.

LEMMA 3. $(e, e, (e, x)) = 0$ for all x in R .

PROOF. Let $(e, e, (e, x)) = b$. Then from (6) we obtain $(b, R) = 0$. Furthermore, $(bR, R) = 0$ from (8). Thus the element b satisfies the requirements for the element s of Lemma 2.

On the other hand, it is quite clear from (6), (7), and (8) that b also belongs to B_b . Now let C be the ideal generated by b . Then C is contained in B_b . But from Lemma 2 it is evident that $B_b b = b B_b = 0$. Then from Lemma 1 it follows that since the two-sided annihilators of an ideal form an ideal of R , $C B_b = B_b C = 0$ and so $C^2 = 0$. That is, C is a trivial ideal of R . Hence, $C = 0$.

THEOREM 1. Let R be a $(-1, 1)$ ring with no trivial ideals. Further, suppose that the characteristic of R is prime to 6. Then if R has an idempotent, e , R has the desired Peirce decomposition $R = R_{11} + R_{10} + R_{01} + R_{00}$ where x belongs to R_{ij} if and only if $ex = ix$ and $x e = j x$ for $i, j = 0, 1$ and the sum of the submodules is direct.

PROOF. It suffices to show that $(e, e, x) = (e, x, e) = (x, e, e) = 0$ for all x in R . From the fact that R is right alternative, $(x, e, e) = 0$ for all x in R . Next, from (5), $0 = K(e, e, x) = (e, e, x) - (e, e, ex + xe)$. Since $(e, e, (e, x)) = 0$ from Lemma 3 we obtain $(e, e, x) - 2(e, e, ex) = 0$. Replacing x with ex in the last equation we get $(e, e, ex) - 2(e, e, e(ex)) = 0$. Since (e, e, x) is in U , it follows from (9) with e and (e, e, x) substituted for x and u that $0 = (e, e, (e, e, x)) = (e, e, ex) - (e, e, e(ex))$. Thus, $(e, e, ex) = 0$. But then $(e, e, x) = 0$. Finally, from (1), $(e, x, e) = 0$ and R has the desired Peirce decomposition relative to e .

3. **Main section.** Let R be a $(-1, 1)$ ring with no trivial ideals containing an idempotent $e \neq 0, 1$. Under the assumption that the characteristic of R is prime to 6 the following two lemmas are satisfied by R [7].

LEMMA 4. The following multiplication table, with respect to containment, holds for the submodules R_{ij} of the Peirce decomposition of R .

$$(19) \quad R_{ij} R_{km} \subseteq \delta_{jk} R_{im} \text{ except } R_{ij}^2 \subseteq R_{ii} \text{ where } i, j, k, m = 0, 1 \text{ and } \delta_{jk} \text{ is the Kronecker delta.}$$

LEMMA 5. For all x, y in R and the idempotent e the following identity holds.

$$(20) \quad (e, x, y) = 0.$$

We now proceed to examine the submodules R_{10} and R_{01} carefully. We will show that $R_{ij}^3 = 0$ when $i = 0, 1$ and $j = 1 - i$ and that R_{ij}^2 is in the center of R . It is then easy to show that R_{ij}^2 is a trivial ideal of R and hence must be zero.

LEMMA 6. $R_{ij}^3 = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $j = 1 - i$.

PROOF. Let x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ij} belong to R_{ij} . Then

$$0 = (y_{ij}, x_{ij}, x_{ij}) = (y_{ij}x_{ij})x_{ij} - y_{ij}(x_{ij}^2).$$

But $y_{ij}x_{ij} = 0$ from (19) and so

$$(21) \quad (y_{ij}x_{ij})x_{ij} = 0.$$

Next, $0 = (x_{ij}, y_{ij}, e) + (y_{ij}, e, x_{ij}) + (e, x_{ij}, y_{ij}) = (x_{ij}, y_{ij}, e) + (y_{ij}, e, x_{ij})$ from (2) and (20). Expanding these associators we get

$$(22) \quad (x_{ij}, y_{ij}) = 0.$$

Combining (21) and (22) and using (19) we obtain $0 = (x_{ij}y_{ij})x_{ij} = (x_{ij}, y_{ij}, x_{ij}) = -(x_{ij}, x_{ij}, y_{ij}) = -x_{ij}^2y_{ij}$. Then replacing x_{ij} with $x_{ij} + z_{ij}$ in the last expression we get $(x_{ij}z_{ij} + z_{ij}x_{ij})y_{ij} = 0$. This result, combined with (22), yields $(x_{ij}z_{ij})y_{ij} = 0$. Hence $R_{ij}^3 = 0$.

LEMMA 7. $(R, R_{ij}^2) = 0$ where $i = 0, 1$ and $j = 1 - i$.

PROOF. From Lemma 6 and (19), it suffices to consider commutators (x, y) where x belongs to $R_{ji} \cup R_{ii}$ and y to R_{ij}^2 . First, let x_{ij}, y_{ij} belong to R_{ij} and z_{ji} to R_{ji} . Now $0 = (x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ji}) + (x_{ij}, z_{ji}, y_{ij}) = (x_{ij}, z_{ji}, y_{ij})$ from (19). Then $0 = (x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ji}) + (y_{ij}, z_{ji}, x_{ij}) + (z_{ji}, x_{ij}, y_{ij}) = (z_{ji}, x_{ij}, y_{ij}) = -z_{ji}(x_{ij}y_{ij})$ from (2) and (19). Hence $R_{ji}R_{ij}^2 = R_{ij}^2R_{ji} = 0$.

It remains to show that elements from R_{ij}^2 commute with elements of R_{ii} . To this end, let x_{ij}, y_{ij} belong to R_{ij} and z_{ii} to R_{ii} . Then $0 = (x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ii}) + (x_{ij}, z_{ii}, y_{ij}) = (x_{ij}y_{ij})z_{ii} - x_{ij}(z_{ii}y_{ij})$ from (19). Since the elements in R_{ij} commute, (22), we have

$$(23) \quad (x_{ij}y_{ij})z_{ii} = (z_{ii}y_{ij})x_{ij} = (y_{ij}x_{ij})z_{ii} = (z_{ii}x_{ij})y_{ij}.$$

Next, $0 = (z_{ii}, x_{ij}, y_{ij}) + (x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ii}) + (y_{ij}, z_{ii}, x_{ij}) = (z_{ii}, x_{ij}, y_{ij}) + (x_{ij}y_{ij})z_{ii} - y_{ij}(z_{ii}x_{ij})$ from (2) and (19). Then from (19), (23) and the fact that elements from R_{ij} commute with each other we conclude that

$$(24) \quad (z_{ii}, x_{ij}, y_{ij}) = 0.$$

Expanding this associator and using (22) and (23) we get

$0 = (z_{ii}x_{ij})y_{ij} - z_{ii}(x_{ij}y_{ij}) = (y_{ij}x_{ij})z_{ii} - z_{ii}(x_{ij}y_{ij})$. Hence $(R_{ii}, R_{ij}) = 0$ and we conclude that $(R, R_{ij}^2) = 0$.

To prove that R_{ij}^2 where $i = 0, 1$ and $j = 1 - i$ are in the center of R it remains to show that they are contained in the nucleus of R .

LEMMA 8. R_{ij}^2 is in the center of R when $i = 0, 1$ and $j = 1 - i$.

PROOF. Consider associators of the form $(x_{kp}, y_{ij}z_{ij}, w_{mn})$ where x_{kp} belongs to R_{kp} , w_{mn} to R_{mn} and y_{ij}, z_{ij} to R_{ij} and $k, p, m, n = 0, 1$.

We recall, first, that $R_{ij} + R_{ji} + R_{jj}$ annihilates R_{ij}^2 from both sides. Hence the above associator vanishes unless $k = p = i$ or $m = n = i$. Suppose that $k = p = i$. If $m = j$, $0 = (x_{ii}, y_{ij}z_{ij}, w_{jn})$ from (19) regardless of the value of n . Next, let $k = p = m = i$ and $n = j$. Then $0 = (x_{ii}, y_{ij}z_{ij}, w_{ij}) + (x_{ii}, w_{ij}, y_{ij}z_{ij}) = (x_{ii}, y_{ij}z_{ij}, w_{ij})$ from (19) and Lemma 7.

Elements from R_{ij}^2 belong to U by Lemma 7. Hence, from (10), $(x_{kp}, y_{ij}z_{ij}, w_{mn}) = -(w_{mn}, y_{ij}z_{ij}, x_{kp})$. If we now assume that $m = n = i$ the argument of the last paragraph applies and we can conclude that unless $k = p = m = n = i$, the original associator vanishes.

We have left to consider associators of the form $(x_{ii}, y_{ij}z_{ij}, w_{ii})$. From (4) and (24) we obtain $0 = J(x_{ii}, w_{ii}, y_{ij}, z_{ij}) = (x_{ii}, w_{ii}, y_{ij}z_{ij}) + (x_{ii}, y_{ij}, w_{ii}z_{ij}) - (x_{ii}, w_{ii}, z_{ij})y_{ij} - (x_{ii}, y_{ij}, z_{ij})w_{ii} = (x_{ii}, w_{ii}, y_{ij}z_{ij}) - (x_{ii}, w_{ii}, z_{ij})y_{ij}$. However, $0 = (x_{ii}, w_{ii}, z_{ij}) + (x_{ii}, z_{ij}, w_{ii}) = (x_{ii}, w_{ii}, z_{ij})$ from (19) and so we have $0 = (x_{ii}, w_{ii}, y_{ij}z_{ij}) = -(x_{ii}, y_{ij}z_{ij}, w_{ii})$. Therefore, R_{ij}^2 is in the middle nucleus of R . It follows from (1) and (2) that whenever an element is contained in the middle nucleus of R it is contained in the nucleus of R . Hence, R_{ij}^2 is in the nucleus of R .

LEMMA 9. R_{ij}^2 is a trivial ideal of R , where $i = 0, 1$ and $j = 1 - i$.

PROOF. $(R_{ij}^2, R) = 0$ and $(R_{ij} + R_{ji} + R_{jj})R_{ij}^2 = 0$. Also, from (23), when x_{ij}, y_{ij} belong to R_{ij} and z_{ii} to R_{ii} , $(x_{ij}y_{ij})z_{ii} = (z_{ii}y_{ij})x_{ij}$. Therefore, R_{ij}^2 is an ideal of R . But, from Lemmas 6 and 8, when $x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ij}, w_{ij}$ belong to R_{ij} , $(x_{ij}y_{ij})(z_{ij}w_{ij}) = [(x_{ij}y_{ij})z_{ij}]w_{ij} = 0$. Hence, R_{ij}^2 is a trivial ideal of R .

COROLLARY. $R_{ij}^2 = 0$ where $i = 0, 1$ and $j = 1 - i$.

PROOF. Immediate from Lemma 9 and the fact that R has no trivial ideals.

It is now clear that the submodules R_{ij} , where $i, j = 0, 1$, satisfy the same multiplicative relations as those for an associative ring with idempotent. Namely,

$$(25) \quad R_{ij}R_{kp} \subseteq \delta_{jk}R_{ip} \text{ where } i, j, k, p = 0, 1 \text{ and } \delta_{jk} \text{ is the Kronecker delta.}$$

As an immediate consequence of (25), by direct computation, e is contained in the nucleus of R .

The next lemma is true for arbitrary rings of type $(-1, 1)$.

LEMMA 10. *Let y belong to the nucleus of R where R is a ring of type $(-1, 1)$. Then (y, z) belongs to the nucleus of R for all z in R .*

PROOF. Let x, w, z belong to R . Then from (4), $0 = J(x, w, y, z) = (x, w, yz) + (x, y, wz) - (x, w, z)y - (x, y, z)w = (x, w, yz) - (x, w, z)y$. Also, from (11), $0 = F(x, w, z, y) = (xw, z, y) - (x, wz, y) + (x, w, zy) - x(w, z, y) - (x, w, z)y = (x, w, zy) - (x, w, z)y$. Combining the above results, we conclude that $(x, w, yz) = (x, w, zy)$ and thus $(x, w, (y, z)) = 0$. Therefore, (y, z) is in the right nucleus of R . Finally, from (1) and (2), (y, z) is contained in the nucleus of R .

LEMMA 11. *The set $B = R_{10}R_{01} + R_{10} + R_{01} + R_{01}R_{10}$ is an ideal in the nucleus of R .*

PROOF. It was mentioned above that e is in the nucleus of R . Let x_{ij} belong to R_{ij} . Then from Lemma 10, (e, x_{ij}) also belongs to the nucleus of R . But $(e, x_{ij}) = \pm x_{ij}$ when $i \neq j$ and so R_{10} and R_{01} are in the nucleus of R . From this fact and (25), it is immediate that B is an ideal of R contained in the nucleus of R .

We now make the additional assumption that R is a prime ring. A ring R is called prime if, whenever I and J are ideals in R such that $IJ = 0$, then either $I = 0$ or $J = 0$.

LEMMA 12. *Let R be an arbitrary nonassociative prime ring. Then R can contain no nonzero nuclear ideals.*

PROOF. Let A be an ideal in the nucleus of R . Then if x, y, z, w belong to R and a to A , we have $0 = F(a, x, y, z) = (ax, y, z) - (a, xy, z) + (a, x, yz) - a(x, y, z) - (a, x, y)z = -a(x, y, z)$. Further, $a[(x, y, z)w] = [a(x, y, z)]w = 0$. But finite sums of elements of the form (R, R, R) and $(R, R, R)R$ form a 2-sided ideal in an arbitrary ring. Hence A annihilates an ideal of R containing all associators of R . Since R is prime and not-associative, $A = 0$.

It is now clear that $B = R_{10}R_{01} + R_{10} + R_{01} + R_{01}R_{10} = 0$ and R assumes the form $R = R_{11} + R_{00}$ unless R is associative. But then R_{11} and R_{00} become orthogonal ideals of R . Since R is prime this means that either $R_{11} = 0$ or $R_{00} = 0$. However, $e \neq 0$ belongs to R_{11} . Thus $R_{00} = 0$. If this is the case, $R = R_{11}$ and e is the identity of R , contrary to our assumption that $e \neq 1$. Hence, R must be associative.

THEOREM 2. *Let R be a prime ring of type $(-1, 1)$ with characteristic*

prime to 6. If R has an idempotent $e \neq 0, 1$, then R is associative.

It should be noted that an arbitrary primitive ring is also prime [9]. Hence, by constructing a suitable radical, the results of this paper could be extended to semisimple rings.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. A. Albert, *On right alternative algebras*, Ann. of Math. **50** (1948), 1-13.
2. ———, *Almost alternative algebras*, Portugal Math. **8** (1949), 23-36.
3. ———, *The structure of right alternative algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **59** (1954), 408-417.
4. M. M. Humm, *On a class of right alternative rings without nilpotent ideals*, Ph.D. dissertation, Syracuse Univ., Syracuse, N. Y., 1965.
5. E. Kleinfeld, *Right alternative rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 939-944.
6. ———, *On a class of right alternative rings*, Math. Z. **87** (1965), 12-16.
7. C. Maneri, *Simple $(-1,1)$ rings with an idempotent*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 110-117.
8. R. L. San Soucie, *Right alternative division rings of characteristic 2*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 291-296.
9. ———, *Weakly standard rings*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 80-86.
10. L. A. Skornyakov, *Right alternative fields*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **15** (1951), 177-184.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY AND
SUNY AT BINGHAMTON