

APPROXIMATION OF CERTAIN CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS OF S^2 INTO E^3

E. H. ANDERSON

Bing has shown [1] that a homeomorphism of S^2 into E^3 may be polyhedrally approximated as close as desired. In this paper, we present sufficient conditions to assure that continuous functions of S^2 into E^3 may be approximated by homeomorphisms.

The usual metric for S^2 and E^3 will be denoted by ρ .

A disk is the homeomorphic image of $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] = I^2$. A singular disk is the continuous image of I^2 which cannot be realized by a homeomorphism. If A is a subset of a singular disk D and f is the associated function from I^2 to D , A will be called nonsingular if f^{-1} restricted to A is a function.

If f and g are continuous functions from X into Y , the distance from f to g , $\rho(f, g)$, is the least upper bound of the set of all numbers $\rho(f(x), g(x))$ for $x \in X$; $d(f)$ is the greatest lower bound of the set of all numbers $\rho(f, h)$ where h is a homeomorphism of X into Y and indicates how closely f may be approximated by a homeomorphism. If f is a continuous function from X into Y , the set of singular points of f , $K(f)$, is the closure of the set of all $x \in X$ with the property that there is $y \in X$, $y \neq x$, such that $f(y) = f(x)$.

The following will be proven:

THEOREM. *If f is a continuous function from S^2 into E^3 and*

(i) *$f(K(f))$ is 0-dimensional,*

(ii) *no component of $K(f)$ separates S^2 ,*

then $d(f) = 0$.

It will be convenient to have a few lemmas as aids in proving the theorem.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose G_1, G_2, \dots, G_N is a collection of singular disks contained in a 3-manifold with boundary, M , of E^3 satisfying*

(i) *each $\text{Bd}(G_i)$ is nonsingular, polyhedral, and misses $\text{Int}(G_i)$,*

(ii) *if $i \neq j$, $\text{Bd}(G_i) \cap G_j = \emptyset$.*

Then there is a collection G'_1, G'_2, \dots, G'_n of mutually disjoint polyhedral disks contained in M such that $\text{Bd}(G_i) = \text{Bd}(G'_i)$.

The proof of Lemma 1, obtained from Dehn's Lemma [2] and trading disks, is standard.

Received by the editors June 6, 1966.

LEMMA 2. *If f is a continuous function from S^2 into E^3 satisfying*

- (i) $K(f)$ is 0-dimensional,
- (ii) $f(K(f))$ is 0-dimensional,

then $d(f) = 0$.

PROOF. We show that for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a homeomorphism g of S^2 into E^3 such that $\rho(f, g) < \epsilon$.

Since each point of $f(S^2 - K(f))$ is at a positive distance from $f(K(f))$, by Bing's approximation theorem (Theorem 7 of [1]) we may assume that $f(S^2 - K(f))$ is locally polyhedral.

Since $f(K(f))$ is 0-dimensional, there is a collection M'_1, \dots, M'_p of mutually disjoint 3-manifolds each of diameter less than ϵ such that $f(K(f)) \subset \sum \text{Int}(M'_i)$. Since $K(f)$ is 0-dimensional, there is a collection D_1, \dots, D_N of mutually disjoint disks in S^2 such that $K(f) \subset \sum \text{Int}(D_i)$, $f(\text{Bd}(D_i))$ is polyhedral and $\sum f(D_i) \subset \sum \text{Int}(M_j)$.

So that we may apply Lemma 1, in each M'_j we construct a 3-manifold with boundary, M_j , and a continuous function F from S^2 into E^3 satisfying

- (i) $F = f$ on $S^2 - \sum \text{Int}(D_i)$,
- (ii) $F(\sum \text{Bd}(D_i)) \subset \sum \text{Bd}(M_j)$, $F(\sum \text{Int}(D_i)) \subset \sum \text{Int}(M_j)$,
- (iii) for each i , there is a neighborhood of $F(D_i)$ which is nonsingular and polyhedral
- (iv) if $i \neq j$, $\text{Bd}(F(D_i)) \cap F(D_j) = \emptyset$.

Suppose $D_{J(i)}, \dots, D_{J(i)}$ are the disks in S^2 such that $f(\sum D_{J(i)}) \subset \text{Int}(M'_j)$. For each $D_{J(i)}$, there is a disk $E_{J(i)}$ such that $D_{J(i)} \cap K(f) \subset \text{Int}(E_{J(i)}) \subset \text{Int}(D_{J(i)})$. Since $f(\sum E_{J(i)})$ is at a positive distance from both $f(S^2 - \sum \text{Int}(D_{J(i)}))$ and the complement of M'_j , $f(\sum E_{J(i)})$ may be covered by a finite collection $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ of tetrahedrons in general position and $f(\sum E_{J(i)}) \subset \sum \text{Int}(\sigma_j)$, $\sum \sigma_j \subset \text{Int}(M'_j)$ and $\sum \sigma_j \cap f(S^2 - \sum \text{Int}(D_i)) = \emptyset$. Each annulus $f(D_{J(i)} - \text{Int}(E_{J(i)}))$ may be covered by a solid torus $T_{J(i)}$ contained in M'_j such that $T_{J(i)}$ is in general position with respect to $\sum \sigma_j$ and each torus $T_{J(k)}$, $k \neq i$, $f(\text{Bd}(D_{J(i)})) \subset \text{Bd}(T_{J(i)})$, $T_{J(i)} \cap f(S^2 - \sum D_{J(i)}) = \emptyset$, and for $k \neq i$, $T_{J(i)} \cap f(\text{Bd}(D_{J(k)})) = \emptyset$. Since we may assume $\sum T_{J(i)} \cup \sum \sigma_j$ is connected, $M_j = \sum T_{J(i)} \cup \sum \sigma_j$ is the desired 3-manifold with boundary. Define F on $\sum E_{J(i)}$ to be a simplicial approximation to f such that

$$F(\sum E_{J(i)}) \subset M_j, F(\sum E_{J(i)} \cap f(\sum \text{Bd}(D_{J(i)}))) = \emptyset$$

and $F = f$ on $\sum (D_{J(i)} - E_{J(i)})$.

The collection $F(\sum D_{J(i)})$ and M_j satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1. Let g_j be the natural homeomorphism with domain $\sum D_{J(i)}$. Then

$g_J(\sum D_{J(i)}) \subset M_J$, $g_J(\text{Bd}(D_{J(i)})) = f(\text{Bd}(D_{J(i)}))$ and $\rho(f, g_J) < \epsilon$ since M_J is of diameter less than ϵ .

Defining $g=f$ on $S^2 - \sum \sum D_{J(i)}$ and $g=g_J$ on each set $\sum D_{J(i)}$ such that $F(\sum D_{J(i)}) \subset M_J$ completes the proof of Lemma 2.

We begin the proof of the theorem by defining M as the set of components of point inverses $f^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in f(S^2)$. No element of M separates S^2 since the nondegenerate elements of M are components of $K(f)$. By Theorem 3.40 [3], there is a monotone mapping m from S^2 onto S^2/M and a light mapping λ from S^2/M onto $f(S^2)$ such that $f = \lambda m$. We show that $d(\lambda) = d(m) = 0$ which implies that $d(\lambda m) = d(f) = 0$.

By (2.1)' (p. 171 of [4]), S^2/M is topologically S^2 .

To show that $d(\lambda) = 0$, it is sufficient to show that dimension $\lambda(K(\lambda)) \leq 0$. For, if dimension $\lambda(K(\lambda)) = -1$, λ is a homeomorphism and $d(\lambda) = 0$. If dimension $\lambda(K(\lambda)) = 0$, dimension $K(\lambda) = 0$ since λ is light and $K(\lambda) \subset \lambda^{-1}\lambda(K(\lambda))$. Thus λ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2 and $d(\lambda) = 0$. Therefore, suppose $y \in K(\lambda)$. Then $\lambda^{-1}\lambda(y)$ and $m^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\lambda(y)$ are nondegenerate. Since $f^{-1}\lambda(y) = m^{-1}\lambda^{-1}(y)$, $f^{-1}\lambda(y)$ is nondegenerate and $\lambda(y)$ is an element of $f(K(f))$. Therefore, $\lambda(K(\lambda)) \subset f(K(f))$. Since dimension $f(K(f)) = 0$, dimension $\lambda(K(\lambda)) \leq 0$, thus showing $d(\lambda) = 0$.

To show $d(m) = 0$, we observe that if P is a component of $m(K(m))$, $m^{-1}(P)$ is connected and contained in a component of $K(m)$. But, since the image of every component of $K(m)$ is a point, P is a point. Therefore, $m(K(m))$ is 0-dimensional and, since it is compact, can be covered by a finite collection of mutually disjoint disks of arbitrarily small diameters with $m(K(m))$ contained in the interiors of the disks. The inverse of each disk is a disk since it lies in S^2 and its boundary is a simple closed curve. Thus, the mapping m' , which is equal to m on the complement of the disks and maps each disk homeomorphically onto its image under m , is a homeomorphism and $\rho(m, m')$ is arbitrarily small. Thus, $d(m) = 0$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. H. Bing, *Approximating surfaces by polyhedra*, Ann. of Math. **65** (1957), 456-483.
2. C. D. Papakyriakopoulos, *On Dehn's Lemma and the asphericity of knots*, Ann. of Math. **66** (1957), 1-26.
3. J. G. Hocking and Gail S. Young, *Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1961.
4. G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic topology*, Colloq. Publ., Vol. 32, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1949.