

ON A SEMIPRIMARY RING

KWANGIL KOH

Let R be a ring with 1 having radical (Jacobson) N . R is called *semiprimary* [2, p. 56] if and only if R/N satisfies the minimum condition for right ideals. If M is a right R -module, a submodule A of M is called *small* [5] if $A+B=M$ for any submodule B of M implies $B=M$. A submodule A of M is called *large* [3] if $A \cap B=0$ for any submodule B of M implies $B=0$. A right ideal in R is called *small* or *large* if I is small or large as a submodule of the right regular R -module R_R . A *projective cover* [1] of M is an epimorphism of a projective module onto M such that its kernel is small. The main results of this paper are the following theorems:

THEOREM 1. *Every irreducible (right) R -module has a projective cover if and only if R is semiprimary and for any nonzero idempotent $x+N$ in R/N there is a nonzero idempotent e in R such that $ex-e \in N$.*

Theorem 1 is related to Theorem 2.1 of [1].

THEOREM 2. *If R is commutative then every irreducible R -module has a projective cover if and only if R is semiprimary and for any nonzero idempotent $x+N$ in R/N there is an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $x-e \in N$.*

LEMMA 1. *If I is a maximal right ideal of R then the right R -module R/I has a projective cover if and only if there is a nonzero idempotent $e \in R$ such that eI is small.*

PROOF. Let f be an epimorphism from a projective module P onto R/I such that the kernel of f is small in P . Since R is projective (as R_R), there is an R -homomorphism h from R into P making

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & R & & \\
 & & \downarrow \pi & & \\
 & h \nearrow & & & \\
 P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & R/I & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\
 & f \searrow & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

where π is the natural mapping, commutative. Since for any arbitrary $p \in P$, $f(p) = \pi(x) = fh(x)$ for some $x \in R$, $p - h(x) \in \text{Ker } f$. Hence

Received by the editors November 1, 1966.

$P = \text{Ker } f + h(R)$. Since the $\text{Ker } f$ is small, this implies that $P = h(R)$. Let $p_0 = h(1)$. Then $P = p_0R$ and $R \xrightarrow{t_{p_0}} p_0R \rightarrow 0$, where $t_{p_0}(x) = p_0x$ for all $x \in R$, is direct since P is projective. Hence $\text{Ker } t_{p_0} = \{r \in R \mid p_0r = 0\}$ is a direct summand of R . Since $p_0 = h(1)$, $\text{Ker } h = \text{Ker } t_{p_0}$. If $h(I) = 0$, then $\text{Ker } t_{p_0} = I$ and I is a direct summand of R . Hence there is a minimal right ideal J in R such that $R = J \oplus I$. Thus, by [2, p. 50], there is an idempotent $e \neq 0$ in J such that $eI = 0$ is small. If $h(I) \neq 0$ then $h(I) \subset \text{Ker } f$ since $fh(I) = \pi(I) = 0$. Thus $h(I)$ is small. Since $h(R)$ is projective, there is an R -homomorphism ϕ from $h(R)$ making

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & h(R) & \\
 & \swarrow \phi & \downarrow i \\
 R & \xrightarrow{h} & h(R) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where i is the identity map, commutative. Since $h(I)$ is small, $\phi(h(I))$ is small in R by [4, p. 93]. Let $\phi(p_0) = a \in R$. Then $p_0 = h\phi(p_0) = h(a) = h(1)a = p_0a$. Therefore, $a = \phi(p_0) = \phi(p_0a) = a^2$ and $aI = \phi(h(I))$ is small. Clearly $a \neq 0$ since $h\phi(p_0) = p_0$. Conversely, suppose there is a nonzero idempotent e in R such that eI is small. Since $eI \subset N$ by [1, Lemma 2.4], the right ideal $(I : e) = \{r \in R \mid er \in I\}$ is I . Define a mapping g from eR onto R/I by $g(er) = r + I$ for all $er \in eR$. Since $er_1 = er_2$ then $r_1 - r_2 \in (I : e) = I$, g is well defined and clearly g is an R -homomorphism from eR onto R/I . Furthermore since eR is a direct summand of R , eR is projective and since the kernel of g is eI , which is small, g is a projective cover for R/I .

LEMMA 2. Let I be a large maximal right ideal in R and let $L = \{x \in R \mid xI = 0\}$. Then $L^2 = 0$.

PROOF. If $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$ are elements in L then $I \cap yR \neq 0$ and $x(yr) = 0$ for some $r \in R$ such that $yr \neq 0$ in I . If $xy \neq 0$, then $r \in I$ since the set $\{r \in R \mid (xy)r = 0\} = I$. This is impossible since $yr \neq 0$ and $y \in L$. Thus $L^2 = 0$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Suppose every irreducible R -module has a projective cover. Let \bar{I} be a maximal right ideal of R/N . Then there is a maximal right ideal I in R such that $\bar{I} = I/N$. By Lemma 1, there is a nonzero idempotent e in R such that eI is small. By [1, Lemma 2.4], $eI \subset N$. Since $e \notin N, e + N$ is a nonzero left annihilator of \bar{I} . Hence by Lemma 2, \bar{I} cannot be large. Since \bar{I} is a maximal right ideal of $R/N, \bar{I}$ must be a direct summand of R/N if \bar{I} is not large. Thus by

[6, Lemma 3.1], R/N must be a semisimple ring with the minimum condition for right ideals. Now let $x \in R$ such that $x^2 - x \in N$. If $x \notin N$, by Zorn's Lemma, we can construct a right ideal J^* in R with the properties that $N \subseteq J^*$, $x \in J^*$ such that if K is a right ideal which contains J^* properly then $x \in K$. Then the right R -module $xR + J^*/J^*$ is irreducible and $(J^*: x) = \{r \in R \mid xr \in J^*\}$ is a maximal right ideal of R . Hence there is an idempotent $e \neq 0$ in R such that $e \cdot (J^*: x) \subset N$. Since $x^2 - x = x(x-1) \in N$, $(x-1) \in (J^*: x)$ and $e(x-1) = ex - e \in N$. Conversely, suppose R is semiprimary and if $x+N$ is a nonzero idempotent in R/N then there is a nonzero idempotent e in R such that $ex - e \in N$. If I is a maximal right ideal of R , I/N is a maximal right ideal of R/N , and since R is semiprimary, there is a minimal right ideal K/N in R/N such that $K/N \cap I/N = N$ and $K/N \oplus I/N = R/N$ (see [4, p. 67]). Let $\bar{x} = x+N$, for some $x \in R$, be a nonzero idempotent in K/N such that $\bar{x} \cdot (I/N) = N$. By hypothesis, there is a nonzero idempotent e in R such that $ex - e \in N$. Since $xI \subset N$ and $ex - e \in N$, $eI \subset N$. Thus by Lemma 1, R/I has a projective cover.

The following corollary is related to Corollary 1 of [4, p. 76].

COROLLARY. A ring R is local (i.e. R/N is a division ring) if and only if 1 is a primitive idempotent and every irreducible R -module has a projective cover.

PROOF. If R is a local ring then 1 and 0 are only idempotents in R , and since N is the only maximal right (left) ideal in R , every irreducible R -module has a projective cover. Conversely, suppose every irreducible R -module has a projective cover and 1 is a primitive idempotent in R . By Theorem 1, R/N is a semisimple ring with the minimum condition on right ideals and if $x+N$ is a nonzero idempotent in R/N there is a nonzero idempotent e in R such that $ex - e \in N$. Since 1 is a primitive idempotent in R , $e = 1$. Hence only idempotents in R/N are zero and $1+N$. Since R/N is semisimple with a minimal right ideal, this implies that R is a local ring.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. We only need to prove that if R is commutative such that every irreducible R -module has a projective cover then idempotents modulo N can be lifted. We first prove that if $x+N$ is an idempotent such that $(x+N)(R/N)$ is a minimal ideal in R/N then $x - e \in N$ for some idempotent e in R . Let J^* be as in the proof of Theorem 1. Since $xR + N \supset J^* \supset N$ and $xR + N/N$ is a minimal ideal of R/N , $J^* = N$ since J^* is properly contained in $xR + N$. As in the case of the proof of Theorem 1, there is an idempotent e in R such that $e \cdot (J^*: x) = e \cdot (N: x) \subset N$. Now $(N: ex) = (N: x) = (N: e)$ since $(N: x)$ is a maximal ideal and $(N: ex) \supset (N: x) \supset (N: e) \supset (N: ex)$. Thus

$(1-e) \in (N: e) = (N: x)$ and $x - xe \in N$. Since $ex - e \in N$, this implies that $x - e \in N$. Now let $g = g^2$ in R such that $xg \in N$. Since $e - x \in N$, $eg \in N$. Let $e' = e - eg$. Then $g \cdot e' = 0$ and $e' \cdot e' = (e - eg)(e - eg) = e - eg - eg + eg = e'$. $e' + N = e + N = x + N$. It is well known that if R/N is a semisimple ring with the minimum condition then $1 + N = (x_1 + N) + (x_2 + N) + \cdots + (x_n + N)$ for some positive integer n where $x_i - x_i^2 \in N$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, $x_i x_j \in N$ if $i \neq j$ and $(N: x_i)$, for each i , is a maximal right ideal (see [2, p. 46 and p. 50]). By the above argument, we can choose an orthogonal set of idempotents e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n in R such that $x_i - e_i \in N$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, and $1 + N = (e_1 + N) + (e_2 + N) + \cdots + (e_n + N)$. Now let $y + N$ be an arbitrary nonzero idempotent in R/N . Then $y + N = (e_1 y + N) + (e_2 y + N) + \cdots + (e_n y + N)$ and $e_i y \cdot e_j y \in N$ if $i \neq j$ and $(N: e_i y)$ is a maximal ideal for all i such that $e_i y \notin N$. There is an orthogonal set of idempotents a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n in R such that $y - (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n)^2 \in N$ and $(a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n)^2 = (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n)$.

REFERENCES

1. H. Bass, *Finitistic homological dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 466-488.
2. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, rev. ed., Colloq. Publ., Vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1964.
3. R. E. Johnson, *The extended centralizer of a ring over a module*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 891-895.
4. J. Lambek, *Lectures on rings and modules*, Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1966.
5. W. W. Leonard, *Small modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 527-531.
6. K. Koh, *On very large one sided ideals of a ring*, Canad. Math. Bull. **9** (1966), 191-196.

NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY