

LIFTING MODULAR REPRESENTATIONS OF FINITE GROUPS

BURTON FEIN¹

Let (π) be the maximal ideal of the ring R of P -integral elements of an algebraic number field K , where P is a prime of K dividing the rational prime p . The natural homomorphism from R to $\bar{K} = R/(\pi)$ induces a map $S \rightarrow \bar{S}$ from the set of representations by matrices with coefficients in R of a finite group G into the set of representations of G in \bar{K} . The lifting problem in modular representation theory is to determine whether for a given representation T of G in \bar{K} there exists a representation S of G by R -matrices such that $\bar{S} = T$. In this paper we introduce a notion of lifting projective modular representations from characteristic p to characteristic zero and show how this concept may be applied to the lifting problem.

NOTATION. Throughout this paper G denotes a finite group of order $|G|$ and K denotes an algebraic number field which is a splitting field for G . Let p be a rational prime and let R be the ring of P -integral elements of K , where P is a prime of K dividing p . Let (π) be the maximal ideal of R and set $\bar{K} = R/(\pi)$. \bar{K} is a finite field of characteristic p which is a splitting field for G . For $a \in R$, set $\bar{a} = a + (\pi) \in \bar{K}$. If $A = (a_{ij})$ is a matrix with entries in R (R -matrix) we denote by \bar{A} the matrix (\bar{a}_{ij}) . By a linear representation of G in a field L we shall understand a homomorphism from G into $GL(m, L)$ for some m . By a projective integral representation (resp. projective modular representation) of G in R (resp. \bar{K}) we mean a map T of G into $GL(m, K)$ (resp. $GL(m, \bar{K})$) satisfying $T(1) = 1_m$, $T(g)T(h) = \alpha(g, h) \cdot T(gh)$ where $\alpha(g, h) \in R$ (resp. \bar{K}) and $T(g)$ has entries in R (resp. \bar{K}) for all $g, h \in G$. α is called the factor set associated with T . If $\alpha(g, h) = \beta(g, h) = 1$ for all $g, h \in G$, T is a linear integral representation (resp. linear modular representation). We identify linear representations with projective representations having trivial factor sets. We refer the reader to [3] and [7] for the relevant theory.

DEFINITION. Let T be a projective modular representation of G in \bar{K} and let α be the associated factor set. T is *projectively liftable* if there exists a projective integral representation S of G in R with factor set β such that $\bar{S}(g) = T(g)$ for all $g \in G$. If $\alpha(g, h) = \beta(g, h) = 1$ for all $g, h \in G$ (i.e. S and T are linear representations), we say that T is *liftable*.

Received by the editors November 1, 1966.

¹ This research was supported by NSF Grant GP-5497.

We emphasize that, according to the above definition, if we speak of a modular representation T of G in \bar{K} being liftable to an integral representation S of G in R , then both T and S must be linear representations of G .

LEMMA 1. *Let T and W be projectively equivalent projective modular representations of G of degree m in \bar{K} . If T is projectively liftable, then so is W .*

PROOF. By assumption there exists a function γ from G to \bar{K} and a matrix $U \in GL(m, \bar{K})$ such that $U^{-1}T(g)U = W(g)\gamma(g)$ for all $g \in G$. Let $V \in GL(m, K)$ having entries in R such that $\bar{V} = U$ and let α be a function from G to R such that $\bar{\alpha}^{-1}(g) = \gamma(g)$. $\det V$ is a unit in R so V^{-1} has entries in R . Hence if S is a projective integral representation which projectively lifts T , $V^{-1}SV\alpha$ is a projective lifting of W .

The next lemma will permit us to take finite extensions of K .

LEMMA 2. *Let K_1 be a finite extension of K and let R_1 be the ring of P_1 -integral elements of K_1 , where P_1 is a prime of K_1 dividing the prime P of K , i.e. $P_1 \cap K = P$. Let (π_1) be the maximal ideal of R_1 and view \bar{K} as a subfield of $\bar{K}_1 = R_1/(\pi_1)$. Let T be an irreducible linear modular representation of G in \bar{K} . If T is liftable when viewed as a \bar{K}_1 -representation, then T is liftable as a \bar{K} -representation.*

PROOF. The lemma is a consequence of the fact that the decomposition matrix of G for the prime p does not depend on K [3, Chapter 12].

THEOREM 1. *Let G be a finite group and suppose that $p \nmid |H^2(G, E^*)|$ where E^* is the multiplicative group of an algebraic closure E of K and where G acts trivially on E^* . Let T be an irreducible linear modular representation of G in \bar{K} which is projectively liftable. Then T is liftable.*

PROOF. By assumption there is a projective integral representation S of G in R with factor set α such that $\bar{S}(g) = T(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and $\bar{\alpha}(g, h) = 1$ for all $g, h \in G$. Let e be the order of α in $H^2(G, E^*)$. Then α is equivalent to α' where $\alpha'(g, h)$ is an e th root of unity for all $g, h \in G$ [3, p. 360]. There exists a function ρ from G to E^* such that $\alpha'(g, h) = \alpha(g, h)\rho(g)\rho^{-1}(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$. In view of Lemma 2 we may assume that $\rho(g) \in K$ for all $g \in G$. Let $\rho(g) = \pi^{\nu(g)}\gamma(g)$ where $\gamma(g)$ is a unit in R and $\nu(g)$ is an integer. Since $\bar{\alpha}'(g, h) \neq 0$, $\bar{\alpha}(g, h) \neq 0$ for all $g, h \in G$, $\nu(g) + \nu(h) = \nu(gh)$. Therefore $\alpha'(g, h) = \alpha(g, h)\gamma(gh)\gamma^{-1}(g)\gamma^{-1}(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$. Let $\gamma^{-1}(g) = \lambda(g)$ and set $Z(g) = \lambda(g)S(g)$ for all $g \in G$. Z is a projective integral representation of G in R with factor set α' . \bar{Z} is projectively equivalent over \bar{K} to T . We may assume that K contains a primitive $(|\bar{K}| - 1)$ -th root of

unity δ over the rationals. Define a function μ from G to the integers by $\bar{\lambda}(g) = \delta^{\mu(g)}$ where $1 \leq \mu(g) \leq |K| - 1$. Set $\eta(g) = \delta^{-\mu(g)}$ and let $V(g) = \eta(g)Z(g)$. V is a projective integral representation of G in R such that $\bar{V} = T$. The factor set β associated with V satisfies

$$\beta(g, h) = \alpha'(g, h)\eta^{-1}(gh)\eta(g)\eta(h)$$

for all $g, h \in G$. Since $\beta(g, h)$ is a root of unity with $\bar{\beta}(g, h) = 1$ for all $g, h \in G$, we see that $\{\beta\}$ has order p^b in $H^2(G, E^*)$. Since $p \nmid |H^2(G, E^*)|$ by assumption, β is equivalent to the unit factor set. Therefore there is a function τ from G to E^* such that

$$\beta(g, h) = \tau(gh)\tau^{-1}(g)\tau^{-1}(h)$$

for all $g, h \in G$. As before we may assume that $\tau(g) \in R$ for all $g \in G$. Let $W(g) = \tau(g)V(g)$. W is a projective integral representation of G in R and $\bar{W}(g) = \bar{\tau}(g)\bar{V}(g) = \bar{\tau}(g)T(g)$ for all $g \in G$. Since $\bar{\beta}(g, h) = 1$ for all $g, h \in G$, \bar{W} is a linear modular representation of G in \bar{K} . Let $\bar{\tau}(g) = \delta^{\theta(g)}$ where $1 \leq \theta(g) \leq |K| - 1$. Let $M(g) = \delta^{\theta(g)}W(g)$ for all $g \in G$. M is a linear integral representation of G in R and $\bar{M} = T$ and so T is liftable.

We refer the reader to [3, p. 361] for the definition and construction of a representation-group of a finite group with respect to an algebraically closed field (see also [7]). A representation-group G^* of G with respect to E is a central extension of G with kernel $A \cong H^2(G, E^*)$ with the following property: Let T be a projective representation of degree m of G in E . Then if $\{u_\theta: g \in G\}$ is a set of coset representatives of A in G^* , there exists a projective representation T' of G in E which is projectively equivalent to T and a linear representation S of G^* with $S(a) \in E^* \cdot 1_m$ for all $a \in A$ and $S(u_\theta) = T'(g)$ for all $g \in G$. If S and T' have this relationship we say that S linearizes T' . Let \bar{E} be an algebraic closure of \bar{K} . If $p \nmid |H^2(G, E^*)|$, then a representation-group for G with respect to E is also one with respect to \bar{E} [1, Satz 2].

DEFINITION. We say that G has *property* (p, m) if every irreducible linear modular representation of degree m of G in \bar{K} is liftable.

In view of Lemma 2 we see that property (p, m) does not depend on the splitting field chosen.

LEMMA 3. *Let G^* be a representation-group for G with respect to E and suppose that K is a splitting field for both G and G^* . Assume also that $p \nmid |H^2(G, E^*)|$ and G^* has property (p, m) (with respect to \bar{K}). Let T be an absolutely irreducible projective modular representation of degree m of G in \bar{K} . Then there exists a finite extension K_1 of K such that, in the context of Lemma 2, T is projectively liftable (to an R_1 -representation) when viewed as a representation of G in \bar{K}_1 .*

PROOF. As noted above G^* is a representation-group for G with respect to both E and \bar{E} . T is projectively equivalent over \bar{E} to an irreducible projective representation T' of G in \bar{E} , where T' is linearizable. Let S be a linear representation of G^* in \bar{E} which linearizes T' . Hence there is a finite extension L of \bar{K} such that the entries of $S(h)$ and $T'(g)$ lie in L for all $h \in G^*$ and all $g \in G$ and T' is projectively equivalent to T over L . In view of Lemma 1 we may assume that $T = T'$. There exists a finite extension K_1 of K containing a valuation ring R_1 with maximal ideal (π_1) such that $L = \bar{K}_1 = R_1/(\pi_1)$. Since K_1 is a splitting field for G^* , G^* has property (p, m) with respect to \bar{K}_1 . S is an irreducible linear modular representation of degree m of G in \bar{K}_1 and so S is liftable. Let V be a linear integral representation of G^* in R_1 with $\bar{V}(h) = S(h)$ for all $h \in G^*$. G^* is a central extension of G with kernel A , say. If $a \in A$, then $S(a) = \lambda(a)1_m$ with $\lambda(a) \in \bar{K}_1$. $V(a) = \mu(a)1_m$, with $\bar{\mu}(a) = \lambda(a)$. Let $\{u_g: g \in G\}$ be a set of coset representatives of A in G^* . Set $U(g) = V(u_g)$ for all $g \in G$. Then U is a projective lifting of T , T being viewed as a \bar{K}_1 -representation. This proves the result.

THEOREM 2. *Let G be a finite group possessing an abelian normal subgroup A such that every proper subgroup of $B = G/A$ is p -solvable. Suppose also that $p \nmid |H^2(G, E^*)|$, $p \nmid |H^2(B, E^*)|$ and that the algebraic number field K is now a splitting field for all subquotients of G^* and B^* , where G^* and B^* are some two representation-groups of G and B respectively. If B^* possesses property (p, m) (with respect to \bar{K}) then so does G .*

PROOF. Let T be an irreducible linear modular representation of degree m of G in \bar{K} and let T_A denote the restriction of T to A . By Clifford's Theorem we have

$$T_A \sim e(T_1 + \dots + T_r)$$

where T_1, \dots, T_r are inequivalent conjugate irreducible linear representations of A in \bar{K} . Since \bar{K} is also a splitting field for A and A is abelian, each of the T_i 's has degree one.

Case 1. $r > 1$. Let I be the inertia group of T_1 in G and view eT_1 as a representation W of I . $I \supset A$ and I is a proper subgroup of G so I is p -solvable. W is an irreducible linear modular representation of I in \bar{K} such that $W^g \sim T$, where W^g is the induced representation of W to G [3, p. 348]. Since I is p -solvable, there exists an irreducible linear integral representation S of I in R with $\bar{S}(g) = W(g)$ for all $g \in I$ [6, Theorem 6]. Let $V = S^g$. \bar{V} and $\bar{S}^g = W^g \sim T$ have the same character and the same degree. Since T is irreducible, $\bar{V} \sim T$ and so T is liftable.

Case 2. $r = 1$. We have $T \sim C \times D$ (Kronecker product) where C, D are irreducible projective representations of G in \bar{E} such that C is one-dimensional and $D(g) = 1_m$ for $g \in A$ [3, Theorem 51.7]. Extending K if necessary, we may assume that the entries of $C(g), D(g)$ lie in \bar{K} for all $g \in G$, that T is equivalent to $C \times D$ over \bar{K} , and that D is projectively liftable with respect to \bar{K} . We refer to Lemmas 2 and 3 to justify this step. C is projectively liftable since C is a 1-dimensional representation. Hence $C \times D$ is projectively liftable and it follows from Theorem 1 that T is liftable.

REMARK. The hypothesis that $p \nmid |H^2(G, E^*)|$ is satisfied, for example, when G has a cyclic Sylow p -subgroup [5, p. 49]. If p is a prime, $p \geq 5$, then $LF(2, p)$ is a minimal simple group [4, Chapter 12]. The hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied when $p \nmid |A|$, $B = G/A \cong LF(2, p)$ where $p \geq 5$, \bar{K} has characteristic p , and $m = (p-1)/2$ or $(p+1)/2$ [2, p. 590].

REFERENCES

1. K. Asano, M. Osima and M. Takahasi, *Über die Darstellung von Gruppen durch Kollineationen im Körper der Charakteristik p* , Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan **19** (1937), 199-209.
2. R. Brauer and C. Nesbitt, *On the modular characters of groups*, Ann. of Math. **42** (1941), 556-590.
3. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
4. L. Dickson, *Linear groups*, Leipzig, 1901.
5. I. Schur, *Über die Darstellungen der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen*, J. für Math. **127** (1904), 20-50.
6. R. Swan, *The Grothendieck ring of a finite group*, Topology **2** (1963), 85-110.
7. K. Yamazaki, *On projective representations and ring extensions of finite groups*. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I **10** (1964), 147-195.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES