

A CAMERON-MARTIN TRANSLATION THEOREM FOR A GAUSSIAN MEASURE ON $C(Y)$

J. D. KUELBS¹

1. **Introduction.** Let Y be the product space $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} [a_k, b_k]$ where $-\infty < a_k < b_k < \infty$ for $k=1, 2, \dots$ and $b_k - a_k = O(2^{-k})$. The topology on Y is the product topology and $C(Y)$ denotes the space of real valued continuous functions on Y with the uniform topology. Then a Gaussian measure m can be defined on $C(Y)$ which is a generalization of Wiener measure and an analogue and generalization of the Cameron-Martin translation theorem [1], [2], [3] for Wiener integrals is obtainable in this setting. In another paper this measure and translation theorem will be used to obtain a representation for additive functionals on $C(X)$ when X is a compact metric space.

2. **The definition of the measure m on $C(Y)$ and other preliminaries.** If p_1, \dots, p_k are points in Y such that $p_i = (c_{i1}, c_{i2}, \dots)$ for $i=1, \dots, k$ then $F^{p(1, \dots, k)}$ will denote the k -variate Gaussian distribution with mean zero and covariance matrix $V = (v_{ij})$ where $2v_{ij} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 + (c_{in} - a_n) \wedge (c_{jn} - a_n)]$ and by $a \wedge b$ we mean $\min(a, b)$. That V is actually a covariance matrix follows immediately from the fact that the matrix $D_m = (d_{ijm})$ with

$$d_{ijm} = 1 + (c_{im} - a_m) \wedge (c_{jm} - a_m)$$

is nonnegative definite for $m=1, 2, \dots$ and that V is the Hadamard product of the D_m [4].

If $p_1 = (c_1, c_2, \dots)$ and $p_2 = (d_1, d_2, \dots)$ are in Y and the Gaussian random variable with distribution $F^{p(i)}$ is denoted by $Z_{p(i)}$ for $i=1, 2$ then $E[Z_{p(1)} - Z_{p(2)}]^2 \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |d_k - c_k|$ if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k - a_k) C \geq \exp\{2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k - a_k)\}$. Therefore, using the final remark and Theorem 1 of [5], we see that these conditions on the family $F^{p(1, \dots, k)}$, $p_i \in Y$, are sufficient to assure the existence of a measure μ on the Borel sets \mathfrak{C} of $C(Y)$ whose finite-dimensional distributions consist of the family postulated. The triple $(C(Y), \mathfrak{C}, \mu)$ will denote the measure space obtained from the standard completion of $(C(Y), \mathfrak{C}, \mu)$.

By an n -dimensional rectangular subset \mathfrak{O} of Y we mean a finite set of points of Y which is formed by taking the product of finite subsets of the first n coordinates of Y with the remaining coordinates all being $\alpha_n = (a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots)$. That is, if $a_k \leq x_1 < \dots < x_{M_k} \leq b_k$

Received by the editors October 15, 1966.

¹ Supported by NSF Grant GP-3483.

for $k=1, \dots, n$ then the rectangular subset formed is $\mathcal{O} = \{p \in Y: p = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}, \alpha_n), i_k = 1, \dots, M_k\}$.

If \mathcal{O} is an n -dimensional rectangular subset of Y as given above then we define

$$(2.1) \quad Q(\mathcal{O}, u) = \sum_{i_1=1}^{M_1} \dots \sum_{i_n=1}^{M_n} \frac{[\Delta_1 \dots \Delta_n u(i_1, \dots, i_n)]^2}{\Delta_{i_1} x^1 \dots \Delta_{i_n} x^n}$$

where

$$(2.2) \text{ (a)} \quad \Delta_j x^k = x_j^k - x_{j-1}^k \quad \text{with } x_0^k = a_k - 1$$

for $k=1, \dots, n$ and $j=1, \dots, M_k$,

$$(2.2) \text{ (b)} \quad \Delta_1 \dots \Delta_k u(i_1, \dots, i_n) = \Delta_1 \dots \Delta_{k-1} u(i_1, \dots, i_k, \dots, i_n) - \Delta_1 \dots \Delta_{k-1} u(i_1, \dots, i_k - 1, \dots, i_n) \text{ for } k = 2, \dots, n, \\ \Delta_1 u(i_1, \dots, i_n) = u(i_1, \dots, i_n) - u(i_1 - 1, \dots, i_n),$$

and we assume $u(i_1, \dots, i_n) = 0$ if some $i_j = 0$.

Let $W(\mathcal{O}, u) = C(\mathcal{O})^{-1/2} \exp\{-Q(\mathcal{O}, u)\}$ where

$$C(\mathcal{O}) = [\Delta_1 x^1 \dots \Delta_{M_1} x^1]^{L_1} \dots [\Delta_1 x^n \dots \Delta_{M_n} x^n]^{L_n \pi^L}, \quad L = \prod_{j=1}^n M_j,$$

and $L_k = L/M_k$.

From these definitions several things follow. First we notice that the variable $u(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is associated with the point $(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}, \alpha_n)$ of \mathcal{O} and that for any \mathcal{O} the function $W(\mathcal{O}, u)$ is a Gaussian density of L variables. Secondly, it can be shown that if $F^{\mathcal{O}}$ denotes the Gaussian distribution function corresponding to the density $W(\mathcal{O}, u)$ then $F^{\mathcal{O}}$ is identical to $F^{p(1, \dots, L)}$ where p_1, \dots, p_L are the points in \mathcal{O} . Furthermore, if $Z(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ denotes the Gaussian random variable associated with the point $(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}, \alpha_n)$ and $\Delta_1 \dots \Delta_n Z(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is defined as was $\Delta_1 \dots \Delta_n u(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ in (2.2) then the $\Delta_1 \dots \Delta_n Z(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ are independent Gaussian random variables with expectation zero and variance $\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{i_1} x^1 \dots \Delta_{i_n} x^n$ for $i_k = 1, \dots, M_k; k = 1, \dots, n$.

3. A statement of the main result. Let $Y_n = \{\prod_{k=1}^n [a_k, b_k]\} \times \alpha_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and by S_n denote the 2^n subsets of Y_n formed by selecting $n-k$ of the first n coordinates and setting each such x_j equal to a_j while the remaining k coordinates among the first n are allowed to vary as they do in Y_n . The symbol S denotes $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$. If $I \in S$ and I has $k > 0$ coordinates which vary then μ_I denotes Lebesgue measure

on I when I is considered as k dimensional. If I is the single point $\alpha_0 = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ then μ_I is the measure obtained by placing mass one at this point.

The Borel sets of Y are denoted by \mathfrak{B} and if $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ we define $\nu(B) = \sum_{I \in S} \mu_I(B \cap I)$. Then ν is sigma-additive on \mathfrak{B} , $\nu(Y) = \sum_{I \in S} \mu_I(I) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + b_k - a_k)$, and $C(Y)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{L}_2(Y)$ with respect to mean square convergence. In fact, polynomials in finitely many coordinates of Y with rational coefficients are dense in $\mathfrak{L}_2(Y)$ and, as a result, a countable orthonormal basis of polynomials exists for $\mathfrak{L}_2(Y)$.

If g is in $\mathfrak{L}_2(Y)$ and $f_0(p) = \int_{Y(p)} g d\nu$ where $Y(p) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} [a_k, x_k]$ for $p = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ in Y then $f_0 \in C(Y)$. By $\int_Y g d\bar{f}$, when g is $\mathfrak{L}_2(Y)$, we will mean a generalization of the Paley, Wiener, and Zygmund integral as given in [6]. The precise definition of $\int_Y g d\bar{f}$ occurs later. We now state our main result. It is easy to see how it generalizes the results of [1] and [3].

THEOREM. *Let F be a measurable functional. If g is in $\mathfrak{L}_2(Y)$ and $f_0(p) = \int_{Y(p)} g d\nu$ for all p in Y then*

$$(3.1) \quad E(F(f)) = \exp \left\{ - \int_Y g^2 d\nu \right\} E \left\{ F(f + f_0) \exp \left\{ - 2 \int_Y g d\bar{f} \right\} \right\}$$

in the sense that if either integral exists both exist and they are equal. The symbol $E(\cdot)$ denotes integration with respect to the measure m .

4. The following lemmas are needed in the proof of our theorem. The definitions and results involving Riemann-Stieltjes integration of functions of n -variables can be found in [3, pp. 412-415].

If $I \in S$ and I has n coordinates which vary then $\tau(I) = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \tau_k(I)$ where $\tau_k(I)$ is the collection of k -dimensional subsets of I formed by choosing $n - k$ of the n coordinates of I which vary and setting each x_j of this collection equal to a_j or b_j while each x_j of the remaining k coordinates which can vary satisfies $a_j \leq x_j \leq b_j$.

If f is defined on Y and $J \in \tau(I)$ for some $I \in S$ then f is of bounded variation on J if f^J is of bounded variation there when J is considered as being finite dimensional. Here by f^J we mean f restricted to J . The Riemann-Stieltjes integral of g with respect to f over J , $\int_J g df$, when f and g have domain Y is simply the Riemann-Stieltjes integral of g^J with respect to f^J over J . When J is a single point α it is understood that $\int_J g df = g(\alpha)f(\alpha)$.

By \mathfrak{O}_N we will denote the n -dimensional rectangular subset formed by the sets $L_k = \{x_j = a_k + (b_k - a_k)(j - 1)/N\}$ where $j = 1, \dots, N + 1$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$. The point $(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}, \alpha_n) \in \mathfrak{O}_N$ will be denoted

by (i_1, \dots, i_n) and if $f \in C(Y)$ we define $\Delta_1 \cdots \Delta_n f(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ as in (2.2) with $f(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ replacing $u(i_1, \dots, i_n)$. Finally, if $f_0, f \in C(Y)$ we define

$$(4.1) \quad H(\mathcal{P}_N, f_0, f) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathcal{P}_N} \frac{[\Delta_1 \cdots \Delta_n f_0(i_1, \dots, i_n) \Delta_1 \cdots \Delta_n f(i_1, \dots, i_n)]}{\Delta_{i_1} x^1 \cdots \Delta_{i_n} x^n}$$

where $\Delta_j x^k$ is as in (2.2). The next lemma now follows directly using results found in [3, pp. 412–415].

LEMMA 1. *Let $g \in C(Y)$ be of bounded variation on all elements of $\tau(I)$ for all $I \in S$. Then if $f_0(p) = \int_{Y(p)} g d\nu$ for $p \in Y$ we have that*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} H(\mathcal{P}_N, f_0, f) = \sum_{I \in S_n} \int_I g df$$

where the convergence is bounded in N for all f in any uniformly bounded subset of $C(Y)$.

If F is a functional on $C(Y)$ which has a Gaussian distribution with respect to the measure m we will write $F = \mathfrak{X}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ where μ and σ^2 are the expectation and variance of F .

LEMMA 2. *If g satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1 then the functionals $\{\int_I g df: I \in S\}$ form an independent family and $\int_I g df = \mathfrak{X}(0, \frac{1}{2} \int_I g^2 d\mu_I)$. Moreover, $G(f) = \sum_{I \in S} \int_I g df$ exists for almost all f and $G(f) = \mathfrak{X}(0, \frac{1}{2} \int_Y g^2 d\nu)$.*

PROOF. If $I \in S$ and $Z_N(f, I)$ is a Riemann-Stieltjes sum for $\int_I g df$ then using the final remark of §2 we see that $Z_N(f, I)$ is a linear combination of independent Gaussian functionals each with zero expectation. Moreover, the variance of $Z_N(f, I)$ is a Riemann sum for $\frac{1}{2} \int_I g^2 d\mu_I$. Hence $\int_I g df = \mathfrak{X}(0, \frac{1}{2} \int_I g^2 d\mu_I)$ for $I \in S$. The independence of the family $\{\int_I g df: I \in S\}$ is also assured because of the independence mentioned at the end of §2. Finally, the distribution of $G(f)$ follows because it is the sum of a sequence of independent Gaussian functionals each with expectation zero and such that the sum of their variances is $\frac{1}{2} \int_Y g^2 d\nu$ which is finite.

We will denote $\sum_{I \in S} \int_I g df$ by $\int_Y g df$ provided the sum exists for almost all f in $C(Y)$. Let $\{\phi_k(p)\}$ be a complete orthonormal set of functions for $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ each of which satisfies the conditions on g in Lemma 1 for $I \in S$. Let g be in $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ and suppose $g_n(p) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k(p)$ where $c_k = \int_Y g \phi_k d\nu$. Under these conditions we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. *The generalized Stieltjes integral $\int_Y g d\bar{f} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Y g_n df$ exists for almost all $f \in C(Y)$ and $\int_Y g d\bar{f} = \mathfrak{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \int_Y g^2 d\nu)$.*

PROOF. Now $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Y g_n df = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_Y \phi_k df$ where $c_k = \int_Y g \phi_k d\nu$ and $c_k \int_Y \phi_k df = \mathfrak{N}(0, c_k^2/2)$. Furthermore, since $\{\phi_k(p)\}$ is an orthogonal set we see that $\{c_k \int_Y \phi_k df\}$ is an independent family. Therefore, since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 = \int_Y g^2 d\nu$ is finite it follows that

$$\int_Y g d\bar{f} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \int_Y \phi_k df = \mathfrak{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{2} \int_Y g^2 d\nu\right).$$

The definition of $\int_Y g d\bar{f}$ for g in $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ follows that used in [6] to define a generalized Stieltjes integral. Furthermore, if g satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1 for all $I \in \mathcal{S}$ it is easily shown that $\int_Y g d\bar{f} = \int_Y g df$ for almost all $f \in C(Y)$. It is also true that $\int_Y g d\bar{f}$ is essentially independent of the orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{L}_2(Y)$ used in its definition as long as each element of the basis satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1 for all $I \in \mathcal{S}$.

5. **Proof of theorem.** Our proof will first be for the case that g satisfies Lemma 1 and F is a bounded continuous functional which vanishes if $\|f\| = \max_{y \in Y} |f(y)| > M$. Let J_n be the continuous map of $C(Y)$ into $C(Y)$ such that $J_n(f)(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \alpha_n)$ for all (x_1, x_2, \dots) in Y and define $F_n(f) = F(J_n(f))$. Let $\{\mathcal{P}_N\}$ be the sequence of n -dimensional rectangular subsets used in Lemma 1 and by $\{G_N\}$ we will mean a corresponding sequence of linear continuous mappings of $C(Y)$ into $C(Y)$ such that $G_N(f)$ depends only on the values of f at points in \mathcal{P}_N . We also assume $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} G_N(f) = f$ on Y_n . The construction of a sequence $\{G_N\}$ is obtained through use of the techniques found in [5]. Now using the translation theorem for Lebesgue integrals on $R_{(N+1)^n}$

$$E\{F_n(G_N(f))\} = \exp\{-Q(\mathcal{P}_N, f_0)\} \cdot E\{F_n(G_N(f + f_0)) \exp\{-2H(\mathcal{P}_N, f_0, f)\}\}$$

where $H(\mathcal{P}_N, f_0, f)$ is defined as in (4.1). Letting N approach infinity and using Lemma 1 along with the bounded convergence theorem we have

$$(5.1) \quad E\{F_n(f)\} = \exp\left\{-\sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}_n} \int_I g^2 d\mu_I\right\} \cdot E\left\{F_n(f + f_0) \exp\left\{-2 \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}_n} \int_I g df\right\}\right\}.$$

Furthermore, using Lemma 2 it follows that

$$\left\{ F_n(f + f_0) \exp \left\{ -2 \sum_{I \in S_n} \int_I g df \right\} \right\}$$

is a \mathfrak{L}_1 fundamental sequence which converges almost everywhere to $F(f + f_0) \exp \left\{ -2 \int_Y g df \right\}$ and, letting n approach infinity in (5.1), we have that (3.1) holds for F and g as given.

Now let g be in $\mathfrak{L}_2(Y)$ and $\{\phi_k(p)\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathfrak{L}_2(Y)$ such that each ϕ_k satisfies Lemma 1 for all $I \in S$. Let $f_n(p) = \int_{Y(p)} \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k d\nu$ where $c_k = \int_Y \phi_k g d\nu$. Then $\{f_n\}$ converges to f_0 in the uniform topology and by the previous case we have for all n that

$$E(F(f)) = \exp \left\{ - \int_Y \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k \right)^2 d\nu \right\} \cdot E \left\{ F(f + f_n) \exp \left\{ -2 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_Y c_k \phi_k df \right\} \right\} .$$

Since the sequence of functionals integrated above converges almost surely to $F(f + f_0) \exp \left\{ -2 \int_Y g d\tilde{f} \right\}$ and it is also \mathfrak{L}_1 fundamental we have, by letting n approach infinity, that (3.1) holds for F a bounded continuous functional which vanishes if $\|f\| > M$. The extension to arbitrary measurable F follows as usual so the proof is complete.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. H. Cameron and W. T. Martin, *Transformations of Wiener integrals under translations*, Ann. of Math. **45** (1944), 386–396.
2. R. H. Cameron and R. E. Graves, *Additive functionals on a space of continuous functions*. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1951), 160–176.
3. J. Yeh, *Cameron-Martin translation theorems in the Wiener space of functions of two variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1963), 409–420.
4. M. Marcus and N. A. Khan, *A note on the Hadamard product*, Canad. Math. Bull. **2** (1959), 81–83.
5. J. D. Kuelbs, *Measures on $C(Y)$ when Y is a compact metric space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 248–254.
6. R. E. A. C. Paley, N. Wiener and A. Zygmund, *Notes on random functions*, Math. Z. **37** (1933), 647–668.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN