

ON THE SIZE OF THE BRAUER GROUP

ARMAND BRUMER AND MICHAEL ROSEN¹

It is well known that the isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional central division algebras over a field K form a group $B(K)$, called the Brauer group of K . This group may be described cohomologically as follows: let G_K be the Galois group of the separable closure K_s of K , then $B(K) = H^2(G_K, K_s^*)$ where the cohomology is that of profinite groups [3]. This group is an important arithmetic invariant which has been studied in various contexts.

An examination of known cases has led us to the conjecture that if $B(K)$ has finite exponent, then either the exponent is two or $B(K) = (0)$. A somewhat stronger statement seems to be true. We write A_p for the p -primary component of any abelian group A .

CONJECTURE. Either $B(K)_p$ contains a nontrivial divisible subgroup or $2B(K)_p = (0)$. In particular, if p is odd, the second alternative implies $B(K)_p = (0)$.

In another publication [1] Auslander and Brumer have shown the conjecture is true for function fields in $n \geq 1$ variables over arbitrary ground fields. The purpose of this note is to provide some additional evidence for the conjecture based on the properties of pro- p -groups.

LEMMA 1. *If p is the characteristic of k , then $B(K)$ is divisible by p .*

PROOF. In [3, Chapter II] it is shown that $\text{cd}_p G_K \leq 1$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$(1) \rightarrow K_s^* \xrightarrow{p} K_s^* \rightarrow K_s^*/K_s^{*p} \rightarrow (1).$$

We must have $H^2(G_K, K_s^*/K_s^{*p}) = (0)$. Thus the map

$$B(K) \xrightarrow{p} B(K)$$

is onto.

This lemma shows that for our problem we may assume p is different from the characteristic of K . We do so from now on.

Let μ be the group of all p^n th roots of unity $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ contained in K_s .

Received by the editors March 19, 1967.

¹ The work of the second author has been partially supported by NSF Grant GP-5435.

LEMMA 2. (a) $B(K)_p \approx H^2(G_K, \mu)$. (b) For each subgroup H of G_K , $H^1(H, \mu)$ is divisible.

PROOF. The group K_s^*/μ is uniquely p divisible, hence the p -primary part of its cohomology vanishes in dimensions greater than 0. The short exact sequence $(1) \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow K_s^* \rightarrow K_s^*/\mu \rightarrow (1)$ thus implies the truth of the first assertion.

Let μ_p be the group of p th roots of unity and consider the commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \rightarrow & \mu_p & \rightarrow & \mu & \xrightarrow{p} & \mu \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \rightarrow & \mu_p & \rightarrow & K_s^* & \xrightarrow{p} & K_s^* \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & K_s^*/\mu & \xrightarrow{\approx} & K_s^*/\mu \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 1 & & 1
 \end{array}$$

In cohomology we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 H^1(H, \mu) & \xrightarrow{p} & H^1(H, \mu) & \rightarrow & H^2(H, \mu_p) & \rightarrow & H^2(H, \mu) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \approx \\
 H^1(H, K_s^*) & \rightarrow & H^1(H, K_s^*) & \rightarrow & H^2(H, \mu_p) & \rightarrow & H^2(H, K_s^*)_p.
 \end{array}$$

By Hilbert's Theorem 90, $H^1(H, K_s^*) = (0)$. The second assertion follows at once.

LEMMA 3. Suppose G is a pro-nilpotent group and P its p -Sylow subgroup, then either $H^2(G, \mu) \approx H^2(P, \mu)$ or $H^2(G, \mu) = (0)$.

PROOF. Since G is pro-nilpotent, P is a direct factor of G , $G = PN$. The order of N is prime to p . Thus $H^m(N, \mu) = (0)$ for all $m > 0$. From the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, we see $H^2(P, \mu^N) \approx H^2(G, \mu)$. The automorphism group of μ is isomorphic to U , the group of p -adic units. From this and the fact that the order of N is prime to p , one sees that μ^N is either (1) or μ . The conclusion follows.

LEMMA 4. Suppose $G = G_K$ is an abelian pro- p -group and that $\sqrt{(-1)} \in K$ if $p = 2$. Then one of the following must hold.

- (i) G acts trivially on μ .
- (ii) G is isomorphic to the p -adic integers, i.e. $\text{cd } G = 1$.

PROOF. A torsion element of G will define a subfield of finite codimension in the algebraic closure of K . Under our hypotheses the well-known theorem of Artin-Schreier shows there can be no such subfield. Thus G is torsion free.

As has already been mentioned, the automorphism group of μ is isomorphic to the p -adic units. G is a pro- p -group. Hence the image of G in $\text{Aut}(\mu)$ is either trivial or isomorphic to the p -adic integers. If neither (i) nor (ii) hold, we can find a subgroup $H = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ of G such that σ acts trivially on μ and τ acts nontrivially. The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence yields

$$(0) \rightarrow H^1(\langle \tau \rangle, \mu) \rightarrow H^1(H, \mu) \rightarrow H^1(\langle \sigma \rangle, \mu)^{\langle \tau \rangle} \rightarrow H^2(\langle \tau \rangle, \mu).$$

The last term vanishes since $\text{cd} \langle \tau \rangle = 1$. Since σ acts trivially on μ , $H^1(\langle \sigma \rangle, \mu) = \text{Hom}(\langle \sigma \rangle, \mu) \approx \mu$. Hence the next to last term is isomorphic to the group of elements of μ left fixed by τ . This group contains at least μ_p but is finite since τ acts nontrivially.

By Lemma 2, $H^1(H, \mu)$ is divisible. We have now arrived at a contradiction since the epimorphic image of a divisible group cannot be a nontrivial finite group.

LEMMA 5. *Let F be a free pro- p -group and R a closed normal subgroup. If $R \neq (1)$, then $R/[R, F]$ is not a torsion group.*

PROOF. Let $F_0 = F$ and define $F_{k+1} = [F_k, F]$. It is known that F_k/F_{k+1} is torsion free (cf. for instance Proposition 2 of [2]). Since $\bigcap F_k = (1)$ our hypotheses imply that for some k we have $R \subset F_k$ but $R \not\subset F_{k+1}$. Since $[R, F] \subset F_{k+1}$, the natural map yields a nontrivial homomorphism

$$R/[R, F] \rightarrow F_k/F_{k+1},$$

F_k/F_{k+1} is torsion free, so $R/[R, F]$ cannot be torsion.

THEOREM. *Let K be a field containing all p^n th roots of unity, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Let L be the maximal p extension of K , and let P be the Galois group of L over K . Then one of the following must hold:*

- (i) $B(K)_p$ contains a nontrivial divisible subgroup.
- (ii) P is a free pro- p -group, so that $B(L/K) = (0)$.

PROOF. Consider the subgroup $B(L/K) = H^2(P, L^*)$ of $B(K)$ consisting of those elements split by L . Since $\mu \subset K^*$ and L has no p -extensions, we can apply the considerations of Lemma 2 to conclude that $B(L/K) \approx H^2(P, \mu)$ and $H^1(P, \mu)$ is divisible. P acts trivially on μ , so $H^1(P, \mu) \approx (P/P_1)^\wedge$, the character group of P/P_1 . Pontryagin duality implies P/P_1 is torsion free.

Represent P as the quotient F/R of a free pro- p -group F by a closed normal subgroup R . We may suppose F is minimal (cf. [3]). The Hochschild-Serre sequence gives

$$(0) \rightarrow H^1(P, \mu) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(F, \mu) \rightarrow H^1(R, \mu)^P \rightarrow H^2(P, \mu) \rightarrow H^2(F, \mu).$$

The last term is zero because $\text{cd } F = 1$. α is an isomorphism because F was chosen to be minimal. Also, notice that $H^1(R, \mu)^P = \text{Hom}(R/[R, F], \mu)$. Thus

$$\text{Hom}(R/[R, F], \mu) \approx H^2(P, \mu).$$

If P is not free, $R \neq (1)$, and Lemma 5 shows that $R/[R, F]$ is not torsion. Pontryagin duality now shows that $H^2(P, \mu)$ contains a nontrivial divisible subgroup (dual to the maximal torsion-free quotient of $R/[R, F]$!).

COROLLARY 1. *Let K be a field whose Galois group G_K is abelian. The $B(K)_p$ is divisible unless $p=2$ and $\sqrt{-1} \notin K$. In the latter case $2B(K)_2$ is divisible.*

PROOF. Lemma 3 implies we may assume G_K is a pro- p -group. Assume that all the p^{th} roots of unity are in K . Then, as in the proof of the theorem, $G_K \approx F/F_1$ for some free pro- p -group F . Hence $B(K)_p$ is dual to $F_1/[F_1, F] = F_1/F_2$ which is torsion free. It follows that $B(K)_p$ is divisible.

By Lemma 4 the corollary is proven unless $p=2$ and $\sqrt{-1} \notin K$.

Let $L = K(\sqrt{-1})$. Then $B(L)_2$ is divisible. Since G_L is of index 2 in G_K , we have the maps $\text{res}: B(K) \rightarrow B(L)$ and $\text{cor}: B(L) \rightarrow B(K)$ arising from cohomology. The composition $\text{cor} \circ \text{res} = \text{multiplication by } 2$. Thus

$$B(K)_2 \supset \text{cor } B(L)_2 \supset \text{cor} \circ \text{res } B(K)_2 = 2B(K)_2.$$

From the fact that a homomorphic image of a divisible group is divisible, it follows readily that $2B(K)_2$ is divisible.

COROLLARY 2. *Assume all the p^{th} roots of unity are in the ground field K , and that G_K is pro-nilpotent. Then either $B(K)_p = (0)$ or $B(K)_p$ contains a nontrivial divisible subgroup.*

PROOF. Let P be a p -Sylow subgroup of G_K . $B(K)_p = H^2(G_K, \mu)$, and by Lemma 3 $H^2(G_K, \mu)$ is either (0) or $H^2(P, \mu)$. Suppose the latter case holds. Since P is a direct factor of G_K , it is isomorphic to the Galois group of the maximal p -extension of K . Thus either $H^2(P, \mu) = (0)$ or it contains a nontrivial divisible subgroup.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Maurice Auslander and Armand Brumer, *On the Brauer group of commutative rings*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Netherlands (to appear).
2. John Labute, *Demuskin groups of rank \aleph_0* , Bull. Soc. Math. France **94** (1966), 211-244.
3. J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie galoisienne*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY AND
BROWN UNIVERSITY