

# QUOTIENTS OF COMPLETELY REGULAR SPACES

C. J. HIMMELBERG<sup>1</sup>

In a previous paper [1] we gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a quotient space of a pseudo-metrizable space to be pseudo-metrizable. In this note we give a short proof of the corresponding theorem for preservation of complete regularity by quotient maps. The proof specializes in an obvious way to the pseudo-metric case and has the advantage that, unlike the proof in [1], it requires neither the use of uniformities nor the complicated construction of that paper. Moreover, we obtain an interesting explicit definition of a pseudo-metric (or, in the complete regularity case, a defining family of pseudo-metrics) for the quotient space.

For the most part the terminology here is standard. But we wish to make some things explicit. If  $p$  is a pseudo-metric for  $X$ , and if  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $x \in X$ , and  $A, B \subset X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} N_\epsilon[x] &= N_{p,\epsilon}[x] = \{z \in X \mid p(z, x) < \epsilon\}, \\ p(A, B) &= \inf\{p(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}, \\ N_\epsilon[A] &= N_{p,\epsilon}[A] = \{z \in X \mid p(z, A) < \epsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

The topology on a space  $X$  defined by a family  $P$  of pseudo-metrics for  $X$  is the topology with  $\{N_{p,\epsilon}[x] \mid p \in P, \epsilon > 0, x \in X\}$  as subbase. (We do not require in the above definition that  $P$  separate points; so the topology generated by  $P$  need not be Hausdorff.) Recall that a topology on  $X$  is completely regular if and only if it can be defined by a family of pseudo-metrics.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f$  be a function from a completely regular space  $X$  onto a topological space  $Y$ , and suppose that  $Y$  has the quotient topology relative to  $f$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$Y$  is completely regular.*
- (2) *There exists a family  $P_0$  of pseudo-metrics defining the topology of  $X$  and a subbase  $\mathcal{S}$  of the topology of  $Y$  such that for each  $G \in \mathcal{S}$  there exists  $p \in P_0$  and a set  $\{\epsilon(y, p) \mid y \in G\}$  of positive real numbers satisfying*
  - (i)  $N_{p,\epsilon(y,p)}[f^{-1}[y]] \subset f^{-1}[G]$ , if  $y \in G$ ,
  - (ii)  $p(f^{-1}[y], f^{-1}[z]) \geq \epsilon(y, p) - \epsilon(z, p)$ , if  $y, z \in G$ .
- (3) *There exists a family  $P_0$  of pseudo-metrics defining the topology of  $X$  such that the topology of  $Y$  is defined by the family  $Q = \{q_p \mid p \in P_0\}$*

---

Received by the editors March 20, 1967.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation (GP-6490).

of pseudo-metrics defined by

$$q_p(y, z) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^n p(f^{-1}[y_{i-1}], f^{-1}[y_i]),$$

where  $y, z \in Y$ ,  $y_i \in Y$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and the infimum is taken over all finite chains  $y = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n = z$ .

REMARK. Assertion (1) implies the existence of a single family  $P_0$  which satisfies the requirements of both (2) and (3). Also the proof of (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) requires only that  $f$  be continuous, and not necessarily that  $f$  also be a quotient map.

PROOF OF (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let  $Y$  be completely regular, let  $P, Q$  be families of pseudo-metrics which define the topologies of  $X, Y$ , respectively. For each  $q \in Q$ , let  $\mathcal{S}_q$  be the topology on  $Y$  defined by  $q$ . Then let  $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{S}_q \mid q \in Q \}$ . For each  $(p, q) \in P \times Q$ , define  $p_q: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$p_q(x, y) = p(x, y) + q(f(x), f(y)), \quad \text{if } x, y \in X.$$

Let  $P_0 = \{ p_q \mid (p, q) \in P \times Q \}$ . Trivially, each member of  $P_0$  is a continuous pseudo-metric for  $X$ ; so the topology on  $X$  defined by  $P_0$  is smaller than the topology defined by  $P$ . Thus, since  $p_q(x, y) \geq p(x, y)$ , for  $(p, q) \in P \times Q$ , and  $x, y \in X$ , it follows that  $P_0$  and  $P$  define the same topology, i.e.,  $P_0$  defines the given topology on  $X$ . Now let  $G \in \mathcal{S}$ , say  $G \in \mathcal{S}_q$ , with  $q \in Q$ , and let  $p \in P$  be arbitrary. Define

$$\epsilon(y, p_q) = q(y, Y - G), \quad \text{if } y \in G.$$

By the way  $q$  was chosen, it is trivial that each such  $\epsilon(y, p_q)$  is positive. It is also easy to check (i) and (ii) of (2).

PROOF OF (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Let  $P_0$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  be given as in (2) and let  $Q$  be defined as in (3). It is easily shown that each  $q_p \in Q$  is a pseudo-metric for  $Y$ . Moreover for each  $p \in P_0$ ,  $f$  is continuous (in fact decreases distances) if  $X, Y$  are given the topologies defined by  $p, q_p$ , respectively. Thus  $f$  is continuous relative to the topologies defined by  $P_0$  and  $Q$ . All that remains to be shown is that the topology defined by  $Q$  is larger than the quotient topology on  $Y$ . To do this it is sufficient to show that each member of  $\mathcal{S}$  is open in the topology defined by  $Q$ . So let  $G \in \mathcal{S}$ , and let  $p \in P_0$  and  $\{ \epsilon(y, p) \mid y \in G \}$  be as given by (2). Then we claim that, for all  $y \in G$ ,  $q_p(z, y) < \epsilon(y, p) \Rightarrow z \in G$ .

For suppose  $q_p(z, y) < \epsilon(y, p)$ . Then there exists a chain  $y = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n = z$  of points of  $Y$  such that

$$(*) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p(f^{-1}[y_{i-1}], f^{-1}[y_i]) < \epsilon(y, p).$$

In particular,  $p(f^{-1}[y], f^{-1}[y_1]) < \epsilon(y, p)$ . This means that  $p(f^{-1}[y], u) < \epsilon(y, p)$  for some  $u \in f^{-1}[y_1]$ . Consequently,  $u \in f^{-1}[G]$  and  $y_1 = f(u) \in G$ .

Now apply (ii) of (2) to (\*) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=2}^n p(f^{-1}[y_{i-1}], f^{-1}[y_i]) &< \epsilon(y, p) - p(f^{-1}[y], f^{-1}[y_1]) \\ &\leq \epsilon(y, p) - \epsilon(y, p) + \epsilon(y_1, p) \\ &= \epsilon(y_1, p). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by repeating the argument following the inequality (\*), we deduce successively that  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n = z$  all belong to  $G$ . We have thus proved that  $G$  is open relative to  $q_p$ , and hence is open in the topology defined by  $Q$ .

PROOF OF (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Trivial.

A simplified ( $P_0$  and  $Q$  in the statements of (2) and (3), and  $P, Q$  in the proof of (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) will all have only one element) version of the above argument now gives the following pseudo-metric version of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f$  be a function from a pseudo-metrizable space  $X$  onto a topological space  $Y$ , and suppose that  $Y$  has the quotient topology relative to  $f$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $Y$  is pseudo-metrizable.
- (2) *There exists a pseudo-metric  $p$  defining the topology of  $X$  and a subbase  $\mathcal{S}$  for the topology of  $Y$  such that for each  $G \in \mathcal{S}$  there exists a set  $\{\epsilon(y) \mid y \in G\}$  of positive real numbers satisfying*
  - (i)  $N_{\epsilon(y)}[f^{-1}[y]] \subset f^{-1}[G]$ , if  $y \in G$ ,
  - (ii)  $p(f^{-1}[y], f^{-1}[z]) \geq \epsilon(y) - \epsilon(z)$ , if  $y, z \in G$ .
- (3) *There exists a pseudo-metric  $p$  defining the topology of  $X$  such that the topology of  $Y$  is defined by the pseudo-metric  $q$  defined by*

$$q(y, z) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^n p(f^{-1}[y_{i-1}], f^{-1}[y_i]),$$

where  $y, z \in Y$ ,  $y_i \in Y$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and the infimum is taken over all finite chains  $y = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n = z$ .

REMARK. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) is the main theorem of [1].

#### REFERENCE

1. C. J. Himmelberg, *Preservation of pseudo-metrizability by quotient maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 1378-1384.