DENSITY OF INTEGER SEQUENCES

R. KAUFMAN

1. Let $N = \{n_k\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers. Then N fulfills condition D if it contains a sequence of blocks

(1)
$$B_{\nu} = [u_{\nu}, v_{\nu}] \cap N, \quad 1 \leq u_{\nu} < v_{\nu},$$

for which $v_{\nu} - u_{\nu} \rightarrow \infty$, and $1 + v_{\nu} - u_{\nu} \leq C \cdot |B_{\nu}|$. Here |S| always denotes the number of elements in a finite set S.

Let N^1, \dots, N^r be r sequences fulfilling condition D, and for each real number x, let

$$\Delta(x) = \{(n_1x, \cdots, n_rx): n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_r \text{ and } n_s \in N^s \ (1 \leq s \leq r)\}.$$

Thus $\Delta(x)$ is a denumerable subset of R^r .

THEOREM 1. For all but a denumerable set of real numbers x, $\Delta(x)$ is dense (modulo 2π) in \mathbb{R}^r .

The statement for r=1 is proved, with more precision, by Amice [1] and Kahane [2]. It will be clear from the proof that the inequalities in the definition of $\Delta(x)$ can be strengthened almost arbitrarily.

First we express the exceptional set in Theorem 1 as a denumerable union of closed sets. Let U_1, \dots, U_j, \dots be a sequence of open sets in \mathbb{R}^r forming a base for the topology, and Λ the subgroup of \mathbb{R}^r of integral vectors. Put, for each j,

$$E_{j} = \{x \in R \colon \Delta(x) \cap (U_{j} + 2\pi\Lambda) = \emptyset\}.$$

Then each E_j is closed and we must show that each is denumerable. In the opposite case some E_j would contain a compact nondenumerable subset; hence a homeomorph of the Cantor set, and so E_j would carry a continuous probability measure μ , $(\mu(D) = 0$ for every denumerable set D). We shall now state a theorem on probability distributions that implies Theorem 1.

2. Let M be the set of r-tuples $(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in N^1 \times \dots \times N^r$ defined by the inequalities $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_r$ and X a real random variable whose distribution is continuous.

THEOREM 2. For a certain sequence $(n_{i1}, \dots, n_{ir}) \in M$, the r-dimensional variables $Y_i = (n_{i1}X, \dots, n_{ir}X), i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, tend modulo 2π to uniform distribution.

Received by the editors July 25, 1967.

R. KAUFMAN

DEDUCTION OF THEOREM 1. We suppose, after our remarks on Theorem 1, that for some open set U (one of the U_j , for example)

$$P\{\Delta(X) \cap (U+2\pi\Lambda) = \emptyset\} = 1.$$

But then $P\{Y_i \in U + 2\pi\Lambda\} = 0, i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$, contradicting the fact that $U + 2\pi\Lambda$ has positive measure in the quotient group $R^r/2\pi\Lambda$. This completes the deduction of Theorem 1.

3. **Proof of Theorem 2.** By Weyl's criterion, a sequence Y_i tends to uniform distribution (modulo 2π) if the *r*-dimensional characteristic functions, ψ_i , of the Y_i converge to 0 at each element of Λ except 0. For the variables Y_i , the characteristic functions ψ_i are determined by the characteristic function ϕ of the real variable X:

$$\psi_i(m_1, \cdots, m_r) = \phi(m_1 n_{i1} + \cdots + m_r n_{ir}).$$

A theorem of Wiener [3, p. 221] states that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{1}{m+1}\sum_{0}^{m} |\phi(j)| = 0,$$

and in fact the proof cited shows that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{1}{m+1}\sum_{p}^{p+m} |\phi(j)| = 0,$$

uniformly for all integers p.

For any finite set H of elements $\neq 0$ of Λ , and any $\delta > 0$, we shall find a finite subset S of M so that

(2)
$$\sum_{S} |\phi(m_{1}n_{1} + \cdots + m_{r}n_{r})| < \delta |S|$$

for each $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in H$. Then, for at least one $(n_1^*, \dots, n_r^*) \in S$, and for every $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in H$,

$$|\phi(m_1n_1^*+\cdots+m_rn_r^*)| < \delta |H|;$$

this easily implies the existence of the asserted sequence (n_{i1}, \dots, n_{ir}) in M. The proof will show that S can be chosen so that (2) holds uniformly for any (m_1, \dots, m_r) containing at least one coefficient $m_i \neq 0$ but $|m_i| \leq C'$.

Given a number b > 1, choose, using (1), intervals $[u_1, v_1], \cdots, [u_r, v_r]$ such that

$$b \leq v_1 - u_1, \qquad bv_s \leq v_{s+1} - u_{s+1}, \qquad 1 \leq s < r,$$

and

$$1 + v_s - u_s \leq C \cdot | N^s \cap [u_s, v_s] |, \quad 1 \leq s \leq r.$$

Hence

$$\prod_{s=1}^r (v_s - u_s + 1) \leq C^r \prod_{s=1}^r |N^s \cap [u_s, v_s]|.$$

Let Q denote the rectangle in $\mathbb{R}^r [u_1, v_1] \times \cdots \times [u_r, v_r]$, so that the last inequality is just

$$|Q \cap \Lambda| = \prod_{s=1}^{r} (v_s - u_s + 1) \leq C^r |Q \cap N^1 \times \cdots \times N^r|.$$

Moreover, the inequalities $n_1 < \cdots < n_r$ are satisfied by all the elements of $Q \cap \Lambda$ except at most $rb^{-1} |Q \cap \Lambda|$. Thus if b is sufficiently large

$$|Q \cap \Lambda| \leq 2C^r |Q \cap M|.$$

It is enough to attain, therefore,

(3)
$$\sum_{Q \cap \Lambda} \left| \phi(m_1 n_1 + \cdots + m_r n_r) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \, \delta C^{-r} \left| Q \cap \Lambda \right|.$$

Suppose, for example, that $1 \le m_r \le C'$. By holding n_1, \dots, n_{r-1} fixed, and varying n_r in $[u_r, v_r]$, we obtain from the sums $m_1n_1 + \cdots + m_rn_r$ an arithmetic progression of at least b terms, and difference at most C'. So

$$\sum_{r\leq n_r\leq v_r} \left| \phi(m_1n_1+\cdots+m_rn_r) \right| \leq \sup_p \sum_p^{p+n} \left| \phi(j) \right|,$$

where $b \leq v_r - u_{r+1} \leq h \leq C'(v_r - u_r + 1)$. Hence

$$\sup_{p} \sum_{p+1}^{p+h} \left| \phi(j) \right| = o(h) = o(v_r - u_r + 1) \quad \text{as } b \to \infty.$$

These estimates are uniform with respect to n_1, \dots, n_{r-1} and so (3) holds if b is sufficiently large. Theorem 2 is completely proved.

References

1. Y. Amice, Un théorème de finitude, Ann. Inst. Fourier 14 (1964), 527-531.

2. J.-P. Kahane, Sur les mauvaises répartitions modulo 1, Ann. Inst. Fourier 14 (1964), 519-526.

3. A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series, Monogr. Mat., Bd. 5, PWN, Warsaw, 1935.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

u

1503

1968]