

# THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF LINEAR THIRD ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Y. P. SINGH<sup>1</sup>

1. This paper is a study of asymptotic properties of solutions of the differential equation

$$(L) \quad y''' + p(t)y' + q(t)y = 0.$$

Throughout, we shall assume that  $p(t)$ ,  $p'(t)$  and  $q(t)$  are continuous, and  $p(t)$ ,  $q(t)$  are bounded and do not change sign on  $[a, \infty)$ ,  $a \geq 0$ . Two theorems are provided here, and the techniques used are similar to ones used by Lazer [3], Švec [4] and Zlámal [5] in previous studies of this differential equation. The proofs are based on the following three lemmas. The first lemma is the result due to E. Esclangon [2] (for another source see [1]) and the other two lemmas are elementary and will not be proved here.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let the function  $p_i(t)$ ,  $i=0, 1, \dots, n$  be continuous and bounded for  $t \geq t_0$ . If*

$$y^{(n)} + p_1(t)y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_n(t)y = p_0(t)$$

*and  $y(t)$  is bounded for  $t \geq t_0$ , then its derivatives  $y^{(k)}(t)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) are also bounded for  $t \geq t_0$ .*

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $f(t) \in C^1[a, \infty)$ . If  $\int_a^\infty f^2(t)dt < \infty$  and  $f'(t)$  is bounded, then  $f(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

LEMMA 1.3. *Let  $f(t) \in C^2[a, \infty)$ . If  $f(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $f''(t)$  is bounded, then  $f'(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

I am indebted to Professor A. C. Lazer for many fruitful conversations concerning this differential equation.

2. In this section we consider the behavior of solutions of (L) subject to the conditions  $p(t) \leq 0$  and  $p'(t) - 2q(t) \geq A > 0$ . We will use several times the following identity, which has played an important role in most of the previous investigations of (L). If  $y(t)$  is a solution of (L) and

---

Received by the editors September 18, 1967 and, in revised form, February 2, 1968.

<sup>1</sup> The author acknowledges partial support of the National Science Foundation under Grant No. GP-7447.

$$F(y(t)) \equiv y'^2(t) - 2y(t)y''(t) - p(t)y^2(t),$$

then

$$(1) \quad F(y(t)) = F(y(a)) - \int_a^t (p'(t) - 2q(t))y^2(t)dt.$$

From (1) it follows that if  $y(t)$  is a nontrivial solution of (L) and  $p'(t) - 2q(t) \geq A > 0$ , then  $F(y(t))$  is strictly decreasing.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $p(t) \leq 0$ ,  $p'(t) - 2q(t) \geq A > 0$ . If  $y(t)$  is a solution of (L) for which  $F(y(t)) > 0$  for all  $t \in [a, \infty)$ , then  $y^{(k)}(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .*

PROOF. Since  $F(y(t)) > 0$ ,  $p'(t) - 2q(t) \geq A > 0$ , it follows from (1) that for all  $t \geq a$ ,

$$\int_a^t y^2(s)ds \leq \frac{F(y(a))}{A},$$

and hence

$$\int_a^\infty y^2(s)ds < \infty.$$

We assert that  $y'(t)$  is bounded. There are two possibilities.

- (a)  $y''(t)$  has arbitrarily large zeros.
- (b) There exists a number  $c$  such that  $y''(t) \neq 0$  for  $t \geq c$ .

In case of possibility (a),  $y'(t)$  has arbitrarily large maxima, minima. At every maxima and minima of  $y'(t)$ , we have

$$y'^2(t) - p(t)y^2(t) = F(y(a)) - \int_a^t (p'(t) - 2q(t))y^2(t)dt$$

or

$$y'^2(t) \leq F(y(a)) + p(t)y^2(t) - \int_a^t y^2(t)dt \leq F(y(a)).$$

Thus  $y'(t)$  is bounded at its maxima and minima and hence bounded on  $[a, \infty)$ .

In case of possibility (b), since  $y''(t) \neq 0$  for  $t \geq c$ ,  $y'(t)$  has constant sign after some  $t$ , say  $t = t_1 \geq c$ , and thus either  $y'(t)y''(t) < 0$  or  $y'(t)y''(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ . Because  $\int_a^\infty y^2(s)ds$  is convergent,  $y'(t)$  and  $y''(t)$  cannot have the same sign, and thus we have  $y'(t)y''(t) < 0$  and from this our assertion follows.

From the boundedness of  $y'(t)$  and  $\int_a^\infty y^2(s)ds < \infty$  and Lemma 1.2,

we conclude that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$ . Now from Lemmas 1.1 and 1.3, it follows at once that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'''(t) = 0.$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $p(t) \leq 0$  and  $p'(t) - 2q(t) \geq A > 0$ . If  $z_2(t)$  is the solution of (L) defined by the initial conditions  $z_2(a) = z_2'(a) = 0$ ,  $z_2''(a) = 1$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z_2(t) = \infty$ .*

PROOF. Since  $F[z_2(a)] = 0$  and  $F[z_2(t)]$  is strictly decreasing,  $F[z_2(t)] = z_2'^2(t) - 2z_2(t)z_2''(t) - p(t)z_2^2(t) < 0$  for  $t > a$ . Since  $p(t) \leq 0$ ,  $z_2(t) > 0$ ,  $z_2'(t) > 0$  and  $z_2''(t) > 0$  for  $t > a$  from which the assertion follows.

THEOREM 2.3. *If  $p(t) \leq 0$  and  $p'(t) - 2q(t) \geq A > 0$ , then there exist two independent nontrivial solutions  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$  of (L) which tend to zero with their first three derivatives. If  $y(t)$  is any nontrivial solution of (L) which is not a linear combination of  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$ , then  $|y(t)|$  tends to infinity as  $t$  tends to infinity.*

PROOF. Let  $z_0, z_1, z_2$  be the solutions of (L) satisfying the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} z_i^{(j)} &= \delta_{ij} = 0, & i \neq j, \\ &= 1, & i = j, \end{aligned} \quad i, j = 0, 1, 2.$$

For each integer  $n > a$ , let  $b_{0n}, b_{2n}$  and  $c_{1n}, c_{2n}$  be numbers such that

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad b_{0n}z_0(n) + b_{2n}z_2(n) &= 0, \\ c_{1n}z_1(n) + c_{2n}z_2(n) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3) \quad b_{0n}^2 + b_{2n}^2 = c_{1n}^2 + c_{2n}^2 = 1.$$

Let  $u_n(t)$  and  $v_n(t)$  be the nontrivial solutions of (L) defined by

$$u_n(t) = b_{0n}z_0(t) + b_{2n}z_2(t), \quad v_n(t) = c_{1n}z_1(t) + c_{2n}z_2(t).$$

Since  $u_n(n) = v_n(n) = 0$ , we have  $F(u_n(n)) \geq 0$ ,  $F(v_n(n)) \geq 0$ . Because  $F(y(t))$  is a decreasing function, it follows that

$$(4) \quad F(u_n(t)) > 0, \quad F(v_n(t)) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [a, n].$$

Now by (3) there exists a sequence of integers  $\{n_j\}$  such that the sequences  $\{b_{0n_j}\}$ ,  $\{b_{2n_j}\}$  and  $\{c_{1n_j}\}$ ,  $\{c_{2n_j}\}$  converge respectively to numbers  $b_0, b_2, c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$(5) \quad b_0^2 + b_2^2 = c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 1.$$

Let  $u(t)$ ,  $v(t)$  be the solutions of (L) defined by

$$(6) \quad u(t) = b_0 z_0(t) + b_2 z_2(t), \quad v(t) = c_1 z_1(t) + c_2 z_2(t).$$

By the linear independence of  $z_0$ ,  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  and from (5), it follows that  $u$  and  $v$  are nontrivial solutions of (L). Clearly the sequences  $\{u_n^{(k)}(t)\}$  and  $\{v_n^{(k)}(t)\}$  converge to  $u^{(k)}(t)$  and  $v^{(k)}(t)$ ,  $k=0, 1, 2, 3$ , on  $[a, \infty)$  respectively, and from (4) it follows that  $F(u(t)) \geq 0$  and  $F(v(t)) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in [a, \infty)$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.1,  $u^{(k)}(t), v^{(k)}(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k=0, 1, 2, 3$ .

If  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$  were dependent, then from (5) it would follow that  $u(t) = K z_2(t)$ , for some  $K \neq 0$ . Since  $z_2(a) = z_2'(a) = 0$  and  $z_2''(a) = 1$ , we have

$$F(u(t)) = - \int_a^t (p'(t) - 2q(t))u^2(t)dt < 0 \quad \text{for } t > a,$$

which is contradictory. Thus  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$  are independent.

Consider the solution  $u(t)$ ,  $v(t)$  and  $z_2(t)$ . Now there are two possibilities—that either  $u(t)$ ,  $v(t)$  and  $z_2(t)$  are dependent or that they are independent. Suppose these are dependent. We can find numbers  $B$ ,  $C$  and  $D$ , not all zero such that

$$w(t) \equiv Bu(t) + Cv(t) + Dz_2(t) \equiv 0.$$

By the independence of  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$ ,  $D \neq 0$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |z_2(t)| = \infty$ , and thus when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $w(t) \rightarrow \infty$ , which is not possible. Hence  $u(t)$ ,  $v(t)$  and  $z_2(t)$  are independent, and since the order of (L) is three, it follows from the theory of linear differential equations that every solution  $y(t)$  of (L) is of the form  $y(t) = a u(t) + b v(t) + c z_2(t)$ , for some constants  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$ . Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |z_2(t)| = \infty$ ,  $y(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if  $c = 0$ . From this, assertions of the theorem follow immediately.

3. We will now consider the behavior of the solutions of (L) subject to the conditions  $p(t) \leq 0$ , and  $2q(t) - p'(t) \geq d > 0$ . Under these conditions, if  $y(t)$  is any nontrivial solution of (L), then the function  $F(y(t))$  is strictly increasing.

LEMMA 3.1. *If  $p(t) \leq 0$ ,  $2q(t) - p'(t) \geq d > 0$  and  $y(t)$  is any solution of (L) satisfying the initial conditions*

$$y(c) = 0, \quad y'(c) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y''(c) > 0,$$

(where  $c$  is an arbitrary number greater than  $a$ ), then

$$y(t) > 0, \quad y'(t) < 0, \quad y''(t) > 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, c).$$

PROOF. Since  $y(c) = 0$ ,  $y'(c) = 0$  and  $F(y(t))$  is an increasing function, we have  $F(y(t)) < 0$  in  $[a, c)$ , and thus  $y(t)y''(t) > 0$  in  $[a, c)$ . From this and  $y''(c) > 0$ , it follows that  $y''(t) > 0$  and  $y(t) > 0$  in  $[a, c)$ . Since  $y'(t)$  is an increasing function in  $[a, c)$  and  $y'(c) = 0$ , we have  $y'(t) < 0$ ,  $t \in [a, c)$ , which proves the lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *If  $p(t) \leq 0$ ,  $2q(t) - p'(t) \geq d > 0$  and  $y(t)$  be a nontrivial solution of (L) for which  $F(y(b)) \geq 0$  for some  $b \in [a, \infty)$ , then  $y(t)$  is unbounded on  $[a, \infty)$ .*

PROOF. Suppose  $y(t)$  is a nontrivial bounded solution of (L) with  $F(y(b)) \geq 0$ ,  $b \geq a$ . Since  $p(t)$ ,  $q(t)$  and  $y(t)$  are bounded by Lemma 1.1,  $y'(t)$  and  $y''(t)$  are also bounded, and thus  $F(y(t))$  is bounded. Hence

$$\int_a^\infty y^2(s) ds < \infty.$$

Since  $y'(t)$  is bounded, it follows from the Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y^{(k)}(t) = 0$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2$ . Thus  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(y(t)) = 0$ . This is a contradiction because  $F(y(b)) \geq 0$  for  $b \geq a$  and  $F(y(t))$  is an increasing function. Hence  $y(t)$  is unbounded on  $[a, \infty)$ .

THEOREM 3.3. *If  $p(t) \leq 0$  and  $2q(t) - p'(t) \geq d > 0$ , then there exists a nontrivial solution  $y(t)$  of (L) such that  $y(t) > 0$ ,  $y'(t) < 0$ ,  $y''(t) > 0$ , for all  $t \geq a$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = 0$ . If  $w(t)$  is any bounded solution of (L), then for some  $K$ ,  $w(t) = Ky(t)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $z_0(t)$ ,  $z_1(t)$  and  $z_2(t)$  be the three linearly independent solutions of (L). For each integer  $n > a$  there exist numbers  $c_{0n}$ ,  $c_{1n}$  and  $c_{2n}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad & c_{0n}z_0(n) + c_{1n}z_1(n) + c_{2n}z_2(n) = 0, \\ & c_{0n}z_0'(n) + c_{1n}z_1'(n) + c_{2n}z_2'(n) = 0, \\ & c_{0n}z_0''(n) + c_{1n}z_1''(n) + c_{2n}z_2''(n) > 0 \quad \text{and} \\ & c_{0n}^2 + c_{1n}^2 + c_{2n}^2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $y_n(t)$  be the solution of (L) defined by

$$y_n(t) = c_{0n}z_0(t) + c_{1n}z_1(t) + c_{2n}z_2(t).$$

By the independence of the solutions  $z_0$ ,  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  and from (7),  $y_n(t)$  is a nontrivial solution of (L) for which

$$y_n(n) = y_n'(n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y_n''(n) > 0.$$

Thus by Lemma 3.1 it follows that

$$(8) \quad y_n(t) > 0, \quad y_n'(t) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y_n''(t) > 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, n).$$

By (7) there exists a sequence of integers  $\{n_j\}$  and numbers  $c_i$ ,  $i=0, 1, 2$ , such that  $\lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} c_{in_j} = c_i$ . Let  $y(t)$  be the solution of (L) defined by

$$(9) \quad y(t) = c_0 z_0(t) + c_1 z_1(t) + c_2 z_2(t).$$

From the independence of  $z_0$ ,  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  and

$$(10) \quad c_0^2 + c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 1,$$

it follows that  $y(t)$  is a nontrivial solution of (L). Since the sequences  $\{y_{n_j}(t)\}$ ,  $\{y'_{n_j}(t)\}$  and  $\{y''_{n_j}(t)\}$  converge to the functions  $y(t)$ ,  $y'(t)$  and  $y''(t)$  respectively on any finite subinterval of  $[a, \infty)$ , it follows from (8) that

$$(11) \quad y(t) \geq 0, \quad y'(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y''(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in [a, \infty).$$

If equality held at a point  $\bar{t}$  in the first inequality of (11), then  $y(t) \equiv 0$  for  $t \in [\bar{t}, \infty)$  which contradicts (9) and (10). Thus  $y(t) > 0$ ,  $t \in [a, \infty)$ . Similarly,  $y'(t) < 0$  and  $y''(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in [a, \infty)$ . Since  $y(t)$  is bounded by Lemma 3.2,  $F[y(t)] < 0$  for  $t > a$ . Hence  $\int_a^\infty y^2(t) dt < \infty$ . Since  $y'(t)$  is bounded,  $y(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and by Lemmas 1.1 and 1.3,  $y^{(k)}(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k=0, 1, 2, 3$ .

To prove the last part of the theorem, let  $w(t)$  be a bounded solution of (L), and let  $K$  be a number such that  $w(b) - Ky(b) = 0$ . Consider the solution  $Z(t) = w(t) - Ky(t)$ . If  $Z(t)$  were not identically equal to zero, then  $F(Z(b)) \geq 0$ , and it would follow from Lemma 3.2 that  $Z(t)$  could not be bounded, contradicting the boundedness of  $w(t)$  and  $y(t)$ . This contradiction proves that  $Z(t)$  is the trivial solution of (L) and hence  $w(t) = Ky(t)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. W. A. Coppel, *Stability and asymptotic behavior of differential equations*, Heath, Boston, Mass. 1965.
2. E. Esclangen, *Nouvelles recherches sur les fonctions quasiperiodiques*, Ann. Obs. Bordeaux 16 (1917), 15-226.
3. A. C. Lazer, *The behavior of solutions of the differential equation  $y''' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$* , Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), 435-466.
4. M. Švec, *Einige asymptotisch und oszillatorische Eigenschaften der Differentialgleichungen  $y''' + A(x)y' + B(x)y = 0$* , Czechoslovak Math. J. 15 (90) (1965), 378-393.
5. M. Zlámal, *Asymptotic properties of the solutions of the third order linear differential equations*, Publ. Fac. Sci. Univ. Masaryk (1951/6), 159-167.