## A NONLINEAR STEADY STATE TEMPERATURE PROBLEM FOR A SEMI-INFINITE SLAB

## DANG DINH ANG

We propose to investigate the following boundary value problem:

- (1)  $w_{xx} + w_{yy} = 0$ ,  $0 < x < \pi$ ,  $0 < y < \infty$ ,
- (2)  $w(0, y) = f_1(y), 0 \le y < \infty$ ,
- (3)  $w(\pi, y) = f_2(y), 0 \le y < \infty$ ,
- (4)  $-w_{\nu}(x, 0) = G[x; w(x, 0)], 0 < x < \pi$

where  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  are real-valued continuous functions on  $[0, \infty]$  vanishing at infinity, and G is a nonlinear real-valued function of two real variables satisfying the following conditions:

G[x; u] is strictly decreasing in u, G[x; c] = 0 where c is a constant such that

- (5)  $c \ge \sup \sup_{x} (f_1(x), f_2(x)),$
- (6) G[x; u] is continuous in x and u jointly.

Physically, w is the temperature, and the condition (4) with G satisfying (5) and (6) is a generalization of Newton's law of cooling;  $-w_y(x, 0) = l(c_0 - w(x, 0)), l$  and  $c_0$  being constants.

Mann and Blackburn [1] investigated a particular case of the foregoing problem corresponding to  $f_1 = 0 = f_2$ , c = 1, and G independent of the first argument x. The reason we consider this problem again is two-fold: first, the argument given in [1] in the proof of the existence theorem (loc. cit. Theorem 3) does not directly carry over to the present more general case, and second, we wish to present a method for constructing the solution in certain particular cases, whereas the authors in [1] were more concerned with existence theorems. Our main tool is a fixed point theorem of H. Schaefer [2].

By a solution of the boundary value problem (1)-(6), we mean a function w harmonic in the open strip, satisfying (2)-(6), continuous in  $0 \le x \le \pi$ ,  $0 \le y < \infty$ , and vanishing at infinity. We postulate w to be of the form

- (7)  $w = w_1 + w_2$ , where  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$  are harmonic in the open strip, and
- (8)  $w_1(0, y) = w_1(\pi, y) = 0, 0 \le y < \infty$ ,
- (9)  $-w_{1,y}(x, 0) = G[x; w(x; 0)], 0 < x < \pi,$
- (10)  $w_2(0, y) = f_1(y)$ ,
- (11)  $w_2(\pi, y) = f_2(y)$ ,
- (12)  $w_{2,y}(x, 0) = 0, 0 < x < \pi.$

By proceeding as in [1], one finds

Received by the editors September 21, 1967.

(13) 
$$w_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \log \frac{1 - 2 \exp(-y) \cos(x - z) + \exp(-y)}{1 - 2 \exp(-y) \cos(x + z) + \exp(-y)} \cdot G[z; w(z; 0)] dz, \qquad 0 < x < \pi, \ 0 < y < \infty.$$

We now seek an integral representation formula for  $w_2$ . We use the technique of Fourier transforms. Our operations with Fourier transforms are purely formal. We are concerned with the final representation formula which will be justified for its sake. Let

$$(14) \quad \hat{f}_1(w) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty f_1(\zeta) \cos w \zeta d\zeta, \quad \hat{f}_2(w) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty f_2(\zeta) \cos w \zeta d\zeta.$$

Note that the possibility that these integrals diverge does not concern us. Let

(15) 
$$w_2(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (A(w) \exp(iwy - wx) + B(w) \exp(iwy - w(\pi - x))) dw.$$

Then by (10) and (11), and formally using the Fourier inversion formula, we get

(16) 
$$w_2(0, y) = 2 \int_0^\infty \hat{f}_1(w) \cos wy dw,$$

(17) 
$$w_2(\pi, y) = 2 \int_0^\infty \hat{f}_2(w) \cos wy dw.$$

Equating

$$w_2(0, y) = f_1(y), \qquad w_2(\pi, y) = f_2(y),$$

gives

(18) 
$$A(w) + B(w) \exp(-w\pi) = \hat{f}_1(w),$$

(19) 
$$A(w) \exp(-w\pi) + B(w) = \hat{f}_2(w).$$

Hence

(20) 
$$A(w) = \Delta(w)(\hat{f}_1(w) - \hat{f}_2(w) \exp(-w\pi)),$$

(21) 
$$B(w) = \Delta(w)(\hat{f}_2(w) - \hat{f}_1(w) \exp(-w\pi)),$$

where

(22) 
$$\Delta(w) = (1 - \exp(-2w\pi))^{-1}.$$

In view of (15), (20), (21), we have

(23) 
$$w_2(x, y) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} (f_1(\zeta) D(x, y; \zeta) + f_2(\zeta) E(x, y; \zeta)) d\zeta,$$

where

(24) 
$$D(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \Delta(w)(\exp(-wx) - \exp(-2w\pi + wx))$$

$$\cos wy \cos w\zeta d\zeta,$$

(25) 
$$E(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \Delta(w)(\exp(-w(\pi - x)) - \exp(-w(\pi + x)))$$
$$\cdot \cos wy \cos w\zeta d\zeta.$$

LEMMA 1. (i) w<sub>2</sub> is harmonic in the open strip.

(ii)  $\lim_{y\to 0} w_2(x, y)$  exists for  $0 \le x \le \pi$  and defines a function continuous on  $[0, \pi]$ .

- (iii)  $\lim_{y\to 0} w_{2,y}(x, y) = 0, 0 < x < \pi$ .
- (iv)  $\lim_{x\to 0} w_2(x, y) = f_1(y)$ ,  $\lim_{x\to \pi} w_2(x, y) = f_2(y)$ .

PROOF. The proof of (i), (ii), (iii) is straightforward. The proof of (iv) proceeds by approximating the kernels D and E by the Poisson kernel. Details are omitted.

In view of Lemma 1 and equation (13), the boundary value problem (1)-(6) reduces to the following integral equation

(26) 
$$u(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} G[z; u(z)] K(x, z) dz + w_2(x, 0), \quad 0 \le x \le \pi,$$

where  $u(x) = w_1(x, 0)$  and

(27) 
$$K(x, z) = \log \frac{1 - \cos(x - z)}{1 - \cos(x + z)}$$

Define

(28) 
$$Tu(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} G[z; u(z)] K(x, z) dz + w_{2}(x, 0), \qquad 0 \le x \le \pi.$$

Then u is a solution of (26) if and only if u is a fixed point of T. In the sequel, our arguments will be couched in the language of fixed point theorems. Let E be the Banach space of continuous functions on  $[0, \pi]$ . Then it is clear that T takes E into E. It is also clear that T is completely continuous, since the function  $\log(1-\cos(x\pm z))$  is integrable in z.

LEMMA 2. T has at most one fixed point.

The proof of this lemma proceeds exactly as in the proof of Theorem 4 of [1].

LEMMA 3. Let  $T_{\lambda} = \lambda T$ ,  $0 < \lambda \le 1$ . If u is a fixed point of  $T_{\lambda}$ , then

$$\inf_{x}\inf(f_1(x),f_2(x))\leq u\leq c.$$

Proof. Let

$$v(x,y) = \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \log \frac{1 - 2 \exp(-y) \cos(x - z) + \exp(-y)}{1 - 2 \exp(-y) \cos(x + z) + \exp(-y)} \cdot G[z; w(z,0)] dz + \lambda w_2(x, y).$$

Then, by Lemma 1,

$$\lim_{x \to 0} v(x, y) = \lambda f_1(y),$$

$$\lim_{x \to x} v(x, y) = \lambda f_2(y),$$

$$-\lim_{y \to 0} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, y) = G[x, u(x)].$$

To prove the double inequality of the lemma, we use the maximum modulus principle for harmonic functions.

(i) u is  $\leq c$ .

Suppose on the contrary that u(x) > c for some x. Let  $x_M$  be such that  $u(x_M) = \max u(x)$ . Then  $G[x_M; u(x_M)] < 0$  by the definition of G, since  $u(x_M) > c$ . On the other hand, v is not constant. Hence, by the maximum principle,

$$-y^{-1}(v(x_M, y) - v(x_M, 0)) > 0, y > 0.$$

Since  $-v_{\nu}(x_{M}, 0) = G[x_{M}, u(x_{M})]$ , we have a contradiction. This contradiction proves (i).

(ii) u is  $\geq \inf_x \inf (f_1(x), f_2(x)) \equiv a$ .

Suppose on the contrary that for some x, we have u(x) < a. Let  $x_m$  be such that  $u(x_m) \equiv \inf u(x)$ . Then  $u(x_m) = \inf v(x, y)$  by the maximum principle. The proof proceeds on the same lines as in part (i).

We now state our existence theorem.

THEOREM 1. T has a unique fixed point u. Furthermore,  $a \le u \le c$ .

PROOF. Suppose that T has no fixed point. Then by a theorem of Schaefer [2], there exist a sequence  $(u_n)$  of elements of E and a se-

quence of real numbers  $0 < \lambda_n < 1$ , such that

$$u_n = \lambda_n T u_n, \qquad ||u_n|| \to \infty.$$

But by Lemma 3,  $a \le u_n \le c$ . This contradiction proves that T has a fixed point u, which is unique by Lemma 2. Furthermore,  $a \le u \le c$ , by Lemma 3. Thus the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 2. The boundary value problem (1)-(6) has a unique solution.

PROOF. Each fixed point of the operator T gives rise to a solution of the boundary value problem (1)-(6) in an obvious way. Hence by Theorem 1, the given boundary value problem has a solution. Let v(x, y), v'(x, y) be two solutions of the boundary value problem. Let w(x, y) = v(x, y) - v'(x, y). Then w vanishes on the edges x = 0,  $0 \le y < \infty$ , and  $x = \pi$ ,  $0 \le y < \infty$ . Using the maximum modulus principle, and the properties of the function G[x, u(x)], we can prove that w vanishes on the base  $0 \le x \le \pi$ . Then, again by the maximum modulus principle, w must be the null function. This proves the theorem.

The problem of actually constructing the solution of the problem is one of great interest. If T is a contraction, i.e., if  $||Tu-Tu'|| \le \alpha ||u-u'||$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then the fixed point of T can be obtained by successive approximation. We shall show that even when T is only nonexpansive, i.e.,  $||Tu-Tu'|| \le ||u-u'||$ , the fixed point of T can still be obtained by successive approximation.

THEOREM 3. Let T be nonexpansive. Then the fixed point u of T can be obtained by successive approximation. More precisely, let  $T_n = \alpha_n T$ ,  $0 < \alpha_n < 1$ .

If  $\alpha_n \to 1$  and  $\alpha_n^n \to 0$ , then  $\lim_n T_n^n w = u$  for any w in E.

PROOF. By  $T_n^n$  we mean of course the *n*th iterate of  $T_n$ . For each n,  $T_n$  is a contraction, and hence has a fixed point  $u_n$ ,  $u_n = T_n u_n$ .

By Lemma 3, the sequence  $(u_n)$  is bounded, and, by the above relation, is relatively compact. Hence  $(u_n)$  has a cluster value. Now, each cluster value of  $(u_n)$  is a fixed point of T. Since by Theorem 1, T has a unique fixed point, it follows that  $(u_n)$  has a unique cluster value u. Since  $(u_n)$  is relatively compact,  $(u_n)$  converges to u. Now, if w is any element of E,

$$||T_n^n w - u_n|| = ||T_n^n w - T_n^n u_n||$$
  
$$\leq \alpha_n^n ||w - u_n||.$$

Since  $(u_n)$  is bounded, the theorem follows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author had several helpful discussions with Professor Leon Knopoff on the subject matter of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- 1. W. R. Mann and J. F. Blackburn, A nonlinear steady state temperature problem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 979-986.
- 2. H. Schaeser, Über die Methode der a priori-Schranken, Math. Ann. 129 (1955), 415-416.

University of Saigon and University of California, Los Angeles