## GENERALIZATION OF A THEOREM OF PÓSA

## HUDSON V. KRONK

A hamiltonian cycle in a graph G is a cycle containing all the points of G, and a graph with a hamiltonian cycle is called hamiltonian. In [3], Pósa proved the following interesting and important theorem.

THEOREM OF PÓSA. Let G be a graph on  $p \ (\ge 3)$  points such that for every integer i with  $1 \le i < p/2$ , the number of points of degree not exceeding i is less than i. Then G is hamiltonian.

A graph G on p ( $\geq 3$ ) points is said to be k-path hamiltonian if every path of length not exceeding k,  $0 \leq k \leq p-2$ , is contained in a hamiltonian cycle of G. The o-path hamiltonian graphs are then the hamiltonian graphs. The object of this note is to generalize Pósa's theorem to k-path hamiltonian graphs. The proof is an extension of that used in the proof of Theorem 1 of [2].

THEOREM. Let G be a graph with  $p \ (\ge 3)$  points, and let  $0 \le k \le p-3$ . If for every integer i with  $k+1 \le i < (p+k)/2$ , the number of points of degree not exceeding i is less than i-k, then G is k-path hamiltonian.

PROOF. Assume that G satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem but contains a path P of length not exceeding k which is not contained in a hamiltonian cycle. We may assume that G becomes k-path hamiltonian whenever any new line is added to G. For if G did not originally have this property we could add suitable lines until it did and the resulting graph would still satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem.

Let  $v_1$  and  $v_p$  be two nonadjacent points of G such that (1)  $\rho(v_1) \leq \rho(v_p)$ , where  $\rho(v)$  denotes the degree of the point v, and (2)  $\rho(v_1) + \rho(v_p)$  is as large as possible. If we add the line  $v_1v_p$  to G we obtain a k-path hamiltonian graph G'. Let G be a hamiltonian cycle of G' which contains the path G'. Let G be a hamiltonian cycle of G' which contains the path G'. If G must include the line G and hence G are the endpoints of a spanning path G and if G which contains the path G. If G is not in G is not in G. For otherwise, G is not in G belong to G containing G. Since at most G lines of G belong to G it follows that there are at least G is G which are nonadjacent to G is G. Therefore, G is G in G which are nonadjacent to G is G. Furthermore, whenever G is adjacent to G and G is G.

Received by the editors April 1, 1968.

is not in P,  $(v_{i-1}, v_{i-2}, \cdots, v_1, v_i, v_i, v_{i+1}, \cdots, v_p)$  is a spanning path in G containing P. By the manner in which  $v_1$  and  $v_p$  were chosen, it follows that  $\rho(v_{i-1}) \leq \rho(v_1)$ . Thus, there are at least  $\rho(v_1) - k$  points having degree not exceeding  $\rho(v_1)$ . However,  $k+1 \leq \rho(v_1) \leq (p+k-1)/2 < (p+k)/2$  so that by assumption there are less that  $\rho(v_1) - k$  points having degree not exceeding  $\rho(v_1)$ . Having been led to a contradiction, we conclude that the theorem is true.

COROLLARY. If G is a graph with  $p \ (\ge 3)$  points such that each point has degree at least (p+k)/2,  $0 \le k \le p-2$ , then G is k-path hamiltonian.

It is not difficult to construct examples that show that the theorem and its corollary are each, in a sense, best possible. However, the problem of finding conditions which are both necessary and sufficient for a graph to be k-path hamiltonian remains unsolved and appears to be extremely difficult. It was, however, shown in [1] that a graph G is (p-2)-path hamiltonian if and only if G is (1) the cycle  $C_p$ , (2) the complete graph  $K_p$ , or (3) the complete bipartite graph K(p/2, p/2), where (3) is possible only if p is even.

## REFERENCES

- 1. G. Chartrand and H. V. Kronk, Randomly traceable graphs, J. SIAM Appl. Math. 16 (1968), 696-700.
- 2. H. V. Kronk, A note on k-path hamiltonian graphs, J. Combinatorial Theory (to appear).
- 3. L. Pósa, A theorem concerning hamiltonian lines, Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci. 7 (1962), 225-226.

Western Michigan University and State University of New York at Binghamton