

A NOTE ON A THEOREM OF JACOBSON

T. S. RAVISANKAR

The question as to whether every derivation of a simple algebra is inner, is still unsettled. The simple proofs given below of Theorem A, which is a special case of a well-known theorem (see [4, pp. 22–23]), and that of Theorem B would possibly be a new approach to this question.

THEOREM A. *If A is a simple algebra with identity over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic zero, then every derivation of A is inner.*

PROOF. In the first instance, let A have an identity or not. Let R_x (L_x) denote the right (left) multiplication in A , and D be a derivation of A . Then A has neither proper ideals nor proper D -ideals. In other words, the Lie algebra L (L') generated by R_x, L_x (R_x, L_x and the derivation D) is irreducible. Moreover, $L' = L + \{\alpha D\}_{\alpha \in F}$ (a vector space sum and not necessarily a direct sum), and L is an ideal of L' . Further, by a theorem of Jacobson [1, p. 47], we have $L = C \oplus [LL]$; $L' = C' \oplus [L'L']$ for centers C, C' of L, L' ; $[LL], [L'L']$ are semisimple; $[LL]$ is an ideal of $[L'L']$. Any transformation T in C commutes with the irreducible associative algebra generated by R_x, L_x and hence should be a multiple of the identity transformation I , by Schur's lemma. Now, if A contains an identity, $C = FI, C' = FI$; since the dimension of L' is at the most greater by unity than that of L , and since $[L'L']$ cannot have a one dimensional (abelian) ideal complementary to $[LL]$, $[LL] = [L'L']$; i.e., $L = L'$, or, $D \in L$. Thus, every derivation of A is inner.

REMARK 1. In case A is any simple nonassociative algebra, then $C \subseteq C'$ and therefore $[LL] = [L'L']$ in this case also. If, in addition $C = C'$ for every derivation D of A , then every derivation of A will be inner. Because F is algebraically closed, $C = 0$ or $C = FI, C' = 0$ or $C' = FI$. Since $C = FI$ implies $C' = FI = C$ and since every derivation is inner in this case as well as when $C' = 0$, the question raised at the outset boils down to the consideration of the only case $C = 0, C' = FI$. The plausibility of this case remains to be seen.

Now, in the case of simple Lie algebra A over a field F of characteristic zero, $L = \{\text{ad } x\}_{x \in A}$; $L' = L + \{\alpha D\}_{\alpha \in F}$. Since A is simple, the center of $A = \{x \in A \mid \text{ad } x = 0\} = \{0\}$. If $\text{ad } y \in \text{center } C$ of L , then

Received by the editors August 20, 1968.

$[\text{ad } x, \text{ad } y] = \text{ad}[x, y] = 0$ for every x in A ; hence $[x, y] = 0$, i.e., $\text{ad } y = 0$ and $C = 0$. If $\text{ad } z + \alpha D$ belongs to the center C' of L' , then $[\text{ad } z + \alpha D, \text{ad } x] = 0$ for all x , i.e., $\text{ad}[z, x] - \alpha \text{ad } xD = 0$ for all x in A , or, $[z, x] - \alpha xD = 0$, i.e., $-(\text{ad } z + \alpha D) = 0$. Hence $C' = 0$. Thus L, L' are semisimple [1, p. 47]. Further arguments as in the proof of Theorem A yield

THEOREM B. *Every derivation of a simple Lie algebra A over a field of characteristic zero is inner.*

REMARK 2. Theorem B is, more generally, true for a simple Malcev algebra A [3]. For, the center C of L is then known to be the zero ideal [2, Corollary 5.32]. A similar argument shows that the center C' of L' is also the zero ideal.

REFERENCES

1. N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
2. A. A. Sagle, *Malcev algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 426–458.
3. ———, *On derivations of semi-simple Malcev algebras*, Portugal. Math. **21** (1962), 107–109.
4. R. D. Schafer, *An introduction to nonassociative algebras*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.

THE RAMANUJAN INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS