

## EIGENFUNCTION EXPANSIONS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

R. T. SEELEY<sup>1</sup>

In [5, Theorem 10.2], there was derived a simple result characterizing  $C^\infty$  sections  $f$  of a vector bundle over a compact manifold, in terms of the rate of decay of the coefficients of  $f$  in eigenfunctions of a  $C^\infty$  differential operator. Here we derive a similar result for analytic sections, mentioned in [5]. Following the proof are several applications (the first of which motivates the general proof) and an alternate proof based on a conversation with F. E. Browder.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $E$  be a complex vector bundle over the compact real-analytic manifold  $X$ . Suppose  $X$  has an analytic volume element, that  $E$  has an analytic Hermitian inner product, and that  $A$  is an analytic, elliptic, normal differential operator of order  $m$  on the sections of  $E$ . Let  $\{\phi_k\}$  and  $\{\lambda_k\}$  be respectively the eigensections and eigenvalues of  $A: A\phi_k = \lambda_k \phi_k$ , and let  $\mu_k$  be the positive  $m$ th root of  $|\lambda_k|$ . Then  $f = \sum f_k \phi_k$  is analytic if and only if the sequence  $\{s^{\mu_k} |f_k|\}$  is bounded for some  $s > 1$ .*

The condition of the theorem is equivalent to:  $\sum s^{\mu_k} |f_k|^2 < \infty$  for some  $s > 1$ , as the proof shows.

By normality of  $A$  we mean  $A^*A = AA^*$ . This guarantees the existence of a basis of orthonormal eigensections, as follows. The null space of  $A$  is finite dimensional [5, Theorem 8.3], and if  $P$  is orthogonal projection onto this null space, then  $P+A$  is normal and has trivial null space and closed range. It follows that  $P+A$  is an isomorphism from  $H^m(E)$  (the space of sections of  $E$  all of whose derivatives of order less than  $m+1$  are square integrable) onto  $H^0(E)$ , the space of square integrable sections of  $E$ . Then  $P+A$  has an inverse  $B$  which is a compact normal operator on  $H^0(E)$ . Since  $B$  has orthonormal eigensections  $\{\phi_k\}$  with eigenvalues converging to zero, the eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_k\}$  of  $A$  converge to infinity. More precisely we have

$$(1) \quad \sum |\lambda_k|^{-2n} < \infty,$$

where  $n$  is the dimension of  $X$ . For  $|\lambda_k|^{2n}$  are the eigenvalues of

Received by the editors August 9, 1968.

<sup>1</sup> This paper contains observations made in 1962 while the author was supported by a NATO fellowship at the Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, and written up in 1963 with the support of an NSF research grant, both of which are acknowledged with thanks.

$(AA^*)^n = A^n(A^*)^n$ , while  $[P + (AA^*)^n]^{-1}$  is an operator of trace class [5, Lemma 10.1].

Since the  $\phi_k$  are eigensections of  $A^*A + I$  with eigenvalues  $|\lambda_k|^2 + 1$ , we may assume that  $A$  is positive, that  $\lambda_k > 0$ , and that the order  $m$  of  $A$  is even.

The proof depends on imbedding  $X$  in the open manifold  $X' = X \times I$ , where  $I$  is the open interval  $(0, 2)$ , and  $X$  is identified with  $X \times \{1\}$ . We rely on the Cauchy-Kowalewski theorem to derive the rate of decay of the coefficients from the analyticity of  $f$ , and on the analyticity of solutions of elliptic equations for the converse proof.

Extend the bundle  $E$  in the obvious way to  $X'$ , denoting the extension by  $E' = E \times I$ . If  $\pi$  is the projection of  $E'$  onto  $X$ , then  $\pi': E' \rightarrow X'$  is defined by  $\pi'(e, t) = (\pi(e), t)$ . We consider sections of  $E'$  as maps  $f': X \times I \rightarrow E$  such that  $\pi f'(x, t) = x$ . Define the operator  $A'$  on sections of  $E'$  by  $A'f'(x, t) = (Af)(x, t) + i(t\partial/\partial t)^m f(x, t)$ , where  $m$  is the order of  $A$ . Then  $A'$  is an analytic differential operator on sections of  $E'$ , and since we have assumed  $m$  is even and the characteristic polynomial (symbol) of  $A$  is positive definite, it follows that  $A'$  is elliptic.

Suppose now  $f$  is an analytic section of  $E$ . Then for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an analytic solution  $f'$  in  $X \times [1, 1+\epsilon] \subset X'$  of the Cauchy problem:  $A'f' = 0$ ,  $f'(x, 1) = f(x)$ ,  $(t\partial/\partial t)^j f'(x, 1) = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ . Writing  $a_k(t) = \int_X \langle f'(x, t), \phi_k(x) \rangle dx$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the Hermitian inner product in any fibre of  $E$ , we have

$$(i\partial/\partial t)^m a_k(t) = i \int_X \langle Af'(x, t), \phi_k(x) \rangle dx = i\lambda_k a_k(t).$$

Thus  $a_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m A_{k,j} t^{\theta_j \mu_k}$ , where  $\mu_k > 0$ ,  $(\mu_k)^m = \lambda_k$ , and  $\{\theta_j\}_1^m$  are the roots of  $\theta^m = i = \sqrt{(-1)}$ . Applying the data for  $t = 1$ , we find

$$\sum_{j=1}^m A_{k,j}(\theta_j)^p = \delta_{op} f_k,$$

where  $f_k = \int_X \langle f(x), \phi_k(x) \rangle dx$ . Thus  $A_{k,j} = c_j f_k$ , where  $\{c_j\}$  is the unique solution of

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m c_j(\theta_j)^p = \delta_{op}, \quad p = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

Note that the  $c_j$ 's are quotients of nonvanishing van der Monde determinants and thus no  $c_j = 0$ . Now

$$\int_X \langle f'(x, t), f'(x, t) \rangle dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m c_j f_k t^{\theta_j \mu_k} \right|^2 < \infty$$

for  $1 \leq t < 1 + \epsilon$ , so  $\{ |\sum_1^m c_j t^{\theta_j \mu_k}| |f_k| \}$  is bounded for some fixed  $t > 1$ . Letting  $\theta_1 = e^{i\pi/2m}$ , we have  $\operatorname{Re}(\theta_1) > \operatorname{Re}(\theta_j)$  for  $j = 2, \dots, m$ . Since

$$\sum_1^m c_j t^{\theta_j \mu_k} = t^{\theta_1 \mu_k} \left( c_1 + \sum_2^m c_j t^{(\theta_j - \theta_1) \mu_k} \right),$$

while  $\operatorname{Re}(\mu_k(\theta_j - \theta_1)) \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $c_1 \neq 0$ , we have that  $\{ |t^{\theta_1 \mu_k} f_k| \}$  is bounded. If  $\alpha$  is the real part of  $\theta_1$ , and  $s = t^\alpha$ , we then have  $s > 1$  and  $\{ s^{\mu_k} |f_k| \}$  is bounded, which proves the first part of the theorem.

For the converse, we construct an  $L^2$  solution  $u$  of  $A' u = 0$  with  $u(x, 1) = f(x)$ , and then observe that since  $A'$  is analytic and elliptic,  $u$  is analytic [2, §5]. The construction of  $u$  proceeds as follows.

First, from the boundedness of  $\{ s^{\mu_k} |f_k| \}$  we conclude that  $\sum t^{2\mu_k} |f_k|^2 < \infty$  for  $0 \leq t < s$ . For if  $r = t/s$ ,  $\sum t^{2\mu_k} |f_k|^2 \leq M \sum r^{2\mu_k}$ . Since  $\sum (\mu_k)^{-p} < \infty$  for an appropriate  $p$  (by (1)),  $\sum |\log r^{2\mu_k}|^{-p} < \infty$ , and the comparison test shows that  $\sum r^{2\mu_k} < \infty$ .

Thus writing  $u(x, t) = \sum_1^\infty \sum_1^m f_k c_j t^{\theta_j \mu_k} \phi_k(x)$  for  $s^{-1} < t < s$  (with  $c_j$  as in (2) and  $(\theta_j)^m = i$ ), we have that  $u$  is square integrable on every compact subset of  $X \times \{s^{-1} < t < s\}$ . It is also easy to show that for each  $C^\infty$  section  $\psi$  of  $E'$  with compact support in  $X \times \{s^{-1} < t < s\}$ , we have  $(u, (A')^* \psi) = 0$ , so that  $u$  is a “weak” solution of  $A' u = 0$ . It follows from standard regularity theorems that  $u$  is  $C^\infty$  [1, Theorem 8.1], and then analytic [2, §5]. Finally, since  $f$  is the restriction of  $u$  to  $X \times \{1\}$ ,  $f$  is analytic.

**Applications.** If we let  $A$  be the Laplace operator on the unit sphere  $\{|x| = 1\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , then the eigenfunction expansion in question is the spherical harmonic expansion  $f(x) = \sum f_{jk} S_{jk}(x)$  ( $|x| = 1$ ) where  $S_{jk}$  is a spherical harmonic of degree  $j$ . The eigenvalues are  $\lambda_{jk} = -j(j+n-2)$ , and  $k$  runs from 1 to  $(2j+n-2)(j+n-3)!/j!(n-2)!$ . Thus it follows easily from the general theorem above that  $f$  is analytic if and only if  $\sum f_{jk} r^i S_{jk}$  converges (in  $L^2$ ) for some  $r > 1$ . Let now  $\mathfrak{H}$  be the space of functions harmonic in  $\{|x| < 1\}$ , with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets; and let  $\mathfrak{Q}$  be the set of functions analytic on  $\{|x| = 1\}$ , untopologized. Then we can show immediately that  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is the dual of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . For this, use the base of neighborhoods of zero in  $\mathfrak{H}$  given by

$$U_{r,\delta} = \left\{ u \text{ in } \mathfrak{H}: \int_{|x|=1} |u(rx)|^2 dx < \delta \right\} \quad \text{for } 0 < r < 1, \delta > 0.$$

Suppose  $\hat{f}$  is in the dual of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , let  $H_{jk}(x) = |x|^j S_{jk}(x/|x|)$ , and set

$f_{jk} = \hat{f}(H_{jk})$ . Suppose  $|\hat{f}(u)| < 1$  if  $u \in U_{r,\delta}$ , and let  $u = \sum u_{jk} H_{jk}$ . Then  $|\sum f_{jk} u_{jk}| < 1$  if  $\sum |u_{jk}|^2 r^{2j} < \delta$ , so  $\sum |f_{jk} r^{-j}|^2 < \delta^{-1}$ , which shows that the  $f_{jk}$  are the spherical harmonic coefficients of a function  $f$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Conversely, each function in  $\mathfrak{A}$  leads to a functional on  $\mathfrak{K}$ , and the isomorphism is established. The same isomorphism can also be realized as follows. Given  $f$  analytic on  $\{|x|=1\}$ , solve the problem (i)  $\Delta v(x)=0$  in  $|x|>1$ , (ii)  $v$  bounded in  $|x|>1$ , (iii)  $v(x)=f(x)$  for  $|x|=1$ . Then  $v$  extends analytically to  $|x|\geq r$  for some  $r<1$ , and for any  $u$  in  $\mathfrak{K}$  we have  $\hat{f}(u) = \int_{|x|=1} u(rx)v(rx)$ .  $\mathfrak{A}$  can now be given the various topologies of the dual of  $\mathfrak{K}$ . (For a more general result of this type, see Lions and Magenes [7].)

For a second application, suppose  $f$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}-\{0\}$ , and for some complex  $\lambda$ ,  $f(tx)=t^\lambda f(x)$  for all  $t>0$ . Then (except for certain integer values of  $\lambda$ ),  $f$  defines a unique tempered distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , which has a Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$ . If  $f(x)=|x|^\lambda \sum f_{jk} S_{jk}(x/|x|)$ , then  $\hat{f}(x)$  comes from the function  $|x|^{-\lambda-n-1} \sum f_{jk} \gamma_j S_{jk}(x/|x|)$ , with  $\gamma_j = \pi^{n/2} (-i)^j 2^{\lambda+n} \Gamma((j+n+\lambda)/2)/\Gamma((j-\lambda)/2)$  (see [4]). Since  $\sum |f_{jk}|^2 t^{2j} < \infty$  for some  $t>1$ , so is  $\sum |f_{jk}|^2 |\gamma_j|^2 s^{2j} < \infty$  for some  $s>1$ , and  $f$  is analytic. The same result holds, with minor rephrasings, for the exceptional integer values mentioned above.

Another corollary of the expansion theorem is the following: if  $B$  is any bounded operator on  $H^0(E)$  and  $AB=BA$ , then  $B$  maps analytic functions into analytic functions. For if  $\{\lambda_j\}$  are the distinct eigenvalues of  $A$ , and  $S_j$  is the eigenspace of  $\lambda_j$ , then any  $f$  in  $H^0(E)$  has the expansion  $f=\sum a_j \phi_j$ , where  $\phi_j \in S_j$ , and  $\{\phi_j\}$  extends to an orthonormal basis of eigensections. If  $B\phi_j=b_j \psi_j$  with  $b_j$  complex and  $\|\psi_j\|=1$ , then  $|b_j| \leq \|B\|$ ,  $\psi_j \in S_j$ , and  $\{\psi_j\}$  extends to an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions. Since  $Bf=\sum a_j b_j \psi_j$ , we find  $Bf$  is analytic when  $f$  is.

Finally, if  $A$  is a positive semidefinite elliptic operator, then for each positive number  $L$  and each real number  $\alpha$  there is a well defined positive operator  $(A+L)^\alpha$  on  $H^0(E)$ . It is an easy consequence of the above theorem that, if  $A$  is analytic, then  $(A+L)^\alpha$  maps analytic functions into analytic functions, and in fact the map is continuous and invertible in appropriate topologies. In particular, the operator  $\Lambda=(L-\Delta)^{1/2}$  constructed in [6] has this property.

**Alternate proof.** We can also base the proof of our main theorem on a result of Kotake and Narasimhan [3]. This result uses the technique of [2], and applies to an elliptic operator on an arbitrary open set in Euclidean space. We show that our criterion for analyticity is equivalent to the criterion of [3] applied to a compact manifold. Letting

again  $A\phi_j = \lambda_j \phi_j$  and  $\mu_j^m = |\lambda_j|$ , the criterion of [3] for analyticity of  $f = \sum f_j \phi_j$  is: there is a constant  $C$  such that for all  $k \geq 0$

$$(3) \quad \sum \mu_j^{2km} |f_j|^2 \leq ((km)!)^2 C^{2k+2}.$$

The equivalence of (3) with our main theorem reduces easily to the following:

LEMMA. Let  $0 < \mu_j < \infty$ , and suppose  $\sum r^{\mu_j} < \infty$  for each  $r < 1$ . Then the condition (3) on sequences  $\{f_j\}$  is equivalent to

$$(4) \quad |f_j| \leq Dt^{\mu_j} \text{ for some } D < \infty \text{ and } t < 1.$$

Note that the condition  $\sum r^{\mu_j} < \infty$  has been derived from (1) in the course of our original proof, when  $(\mu_j)^m$  is the  $j$ th eigenvalue of  $A$ .

To prove the lemma, assume (3). Then each term of the series in the left of (3) is bounded by the right of (3), so  $(\mu_j/k)^k |f_j|^{1/m} \leq (mC^{1/m})^k C^{1/m}$ . Stirling's formula gives, for an appropriate constant  $B$ ,  $|f_j|^{1/m} (\mu_j)^k / k! \leq (B/2)^{k+1}$ , so that  $|f_j|^{1/m} \sum (\mu_j/B)^k / k! \leq B$ , i.e.  $|f_j| \leq B^m (e^{-1/B})^{\mu_j}$ , which is (4).

For the converse, we assume (4) and prove  $\sum \mu_j^k |f_j| \leq k! C^{k+1}$ , which implies (3). Consider  $\psi(z) = \sum e^{z\mu_j}$ . Since  $\sum r^{\mu_j} < \infty$  for each  $r < 1$ ,  $\psi$  is analytic for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) < 0$ , and thus on the compact set  $\{z = \log t\}$  satisfies  $|\psi^{(k)}(z)| \leq k! C^{k+1}$ , where  $\psi^{(k)}$  is the  $k$ th derivative of  $\psi$ . Using this, we find  $\sum \mu_j^k |f_j| \leq D \sum \mu_j^k t^{\mu_j} = D \psi^{(k)}(\log t) \leq k! C^{k+1}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Hörmander, *On interior regularity of the solutions of partial differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **11** (1958), 197–218.
2. C. B. Morrey and L. Nirenberg, *On the analyticity of the solutions of linear elliptic systems of partial differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1957), 271–290.
3. Takeshi Kotake and M. S. Narasimhan, *Regularity theorems for fractional powers of a linear elliptic operator*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 449–471.
4. R. T. Seeley, *Homogeneous distributions*, Report TW 88, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam.
5. ———, *Integro-differential operators on vector bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 167–204.
6. ———, *Singular integrals on compact manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 658–690.
7. J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **63** (1963), 201–224.