

# SEMI-ISOMORPHISMS OF CERTAIN INFINITE PERMUTATION GROUPS

W. R. SCOTT

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be infinite cardinal numbers,  $S(X)$  the full symmetric group on a set of cardinal  $X$ ,  $A(X)$  the alternating group of finite even permutations on the same set, and  $S(X, Y)$  the subgroup of  $S(X)$  of all permutations moving fewer than  $Y$  elements.

A semi-automorphism of a group  $G$  is a permutation  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $(xyx)T = (xT)(yT)(xT)$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . Semi-isomorphism is defined similarly. Dinkines [1] and Herstein and Ruchte [2] showed that any semi-automorphism of  $S(X, Y)$  or  $A(X)$  was either the restriction  $T$  of an inner automorphism of  $S(X)$ , or was of the form  $T(-I)$  where  $x(-I) = x^{-1}$  for all  $x$ . Theorem 11.4.6 of [3] states that every automorphism of any group  $G$  such that  $A(X) \subset G \subset S(X)$  is the restriction of an inner automorphism of  $S(X)$ . In the present paper, we prove the common generalization of these two theorems whose statement is obvious. (See the corollary at the end.)

**LEMMA.** *If  $Q$  is a subset of  $A(X)$  containing all 3-cycles and such that  $x, y \in Q$  imply  $xyx \in Q$ , then  $Q = A(X)$ .*

**PROOF.**<sup>1</sup> If  $x \in A(X)$ , then  $x = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n$ , where the  $c_i$  are 3-cycles. If  $n = 1$ , then  $x \in Q$ . Induct on  $n$ . Since

$$x = c_1 \cdots c_n = c_1^{-1} (c_1^{-1} (c_2 \cdots c_n) c_1) c_1^{-1}$$

and the middle factor is the product of  $n - 1$  3-cycles  $c_1^{-1} c_i c_1$ , it follows by induction that  $x \in Q$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be an infinite cardinal number,  $G$  and  $H$  subgroups of  $S(X)$  containing  $A(X)$ , and  $T$  a semi-isomorphism of  $G$  onto  $H$ . Then either*

- (1)  *$T$  is induced by conjugation by an element of  $S(X)$ , or*
- (2)  *$T$  is the product of a mapping of type (1) mapping  $G$  onto  $H$ , and the mapping  $-I$  of  $H$  onto  $H$ .*

**PROOF.** Since the center of  $H$  is 1, it follows that  $T$  preserves order and powers [1, Lemma 1]. Let  $S$  ( $S'$ ) be the subgroup generated by all elements of order 2 in  $G$  ( $H$ ). Since  $A(X)$  is simple,  $S$  and  $S'$  each contain  $A(X)$ . Call elements  $x, y \in G$ ,  $S$ -conjugate iff  $x = y^s$  for some

Received by the editors August 16, 1968.

<sup>1</sup> This brief proof is due to Fletcher Gross.

$s \in S$ . Define  $S'$ -conjugacy similarly. Then  $S$ - and  $S'$ -conjugacy are equivalence relations. Moreover, if  $x$  is  $S$ -conjugate to  $y$ , then  $x = u_n \cdots u_1 y u_1 \cdots u_n$  with  $o(u_i) = 2$ , so that

$$xT = (u_n T) \cdots (u_1 T)(yT)(u_1 T) \cdots (u_n T),$$

$o(u_i T) = 2$ , and  $xT$  and  $yT$  are  $S'$ -conjugate. Since the inverse of a semi-isomorphism is also a semi-isomorphism, the converse is also true. Therefore  $T$  carries an  $S$ -conjugate class onto an  $S'$ -conjugate class.

Let  $M$  be the set of 3-cycles. Then

- (3)  $M$  is an  $S$ -conjugate class of  $G$ .
- (4) All elements of  $M$  have order 3.
- (5)  $\text{Max } o(xy x) = 5$  for  $x, y \in M$ .

By earlier remarks,  $MT$  satisfies these conditions with  $H$  instead of  $G$  and  $S'$  instead of  $S$ . If  $x = (123)(456) \in MT$ , then conjugation by an appropriate  $s \in A(X) \subset S'$  gives  $y = (132)(478) \in MT$ . Then

$$xyx = (123)(46785)$$

contrary to (5). If  $x = (123)(456)(789) \cdots \in MT$ , then conjugation by some  $s \in S'$  yields  $y = (132)(457)(689) \cdots \in MT$ . But then

$$xyx = (123)(48)(59) \cdots,$$

contrary to (5). By (4), it follows that all elements of  $MT$  are 3-cycles. Hence, by (3),  $MT = M$ .

Let  $Q = \{x \in A(X) \mid xT \in A(X)\}$ . If  $x, y \in Q$ , then  $xyx \in Q$ . By the lemma,  $Q = A(X)$ , that is  $A(X)T \subset A(X)$ . Using  $T^{-1}$  instead of  $T$ , we have  $A(X)T = A(X)$ . Thus  $T|A(X)$  is a semi-automorphism. By [1] or [2],  $T|A(X)$  is either an automorphism or an anti-automorphism. But all automorphisms of  $A(X)$  are of the form  $T_z$  where  $T_z$  is conjugation by some element  $z \in S(X)$  (see, for example, [3, Theorem 11.4.8]). If  $T$  is an anti-automorphism on  $A(X)$ , then  $T(-I)$  is an automorphism, hence  $T(-I) = T_z$  and  $T = T_z(-I)$  on  $A(X)$ .

Let  $U = TT_z^{-1}$  or  $T(-I)T_z^{-1}$  in the above two cases. Then  $U$  is a semi-isomorphism of  $G$  which is the identity on  $A(X)$ . The theorem will follow if we can show that  $U$  is the identity on  $G$ .

Suppose that there is an  $x \in G$  such that  $xU \neq x$ . We assert that  $x$  can be chosen so that it fixes at least 5 letters. If this is false, then choose  $x$  so that it fixes the maximum possible number of letters (at most 4). If  $x$  contains an  $n$ -cycle  $n \geq 3$ , we can assume that  $x = (\cdots 123 \cdots) \cdots$ , in which case  $(123)x(123)$  fixes all letters fixed by  $x$  and the letter 3 in addition. In the other case,  $x$  is a product of disjoint 2-cycles, say  $x = (12)(34) \cdots$ ; then  $(123)x(123)$  again

fixes 3 and all letters fixed by  $x$ . Since for  $y = (123)$ ,  $(yxy)U = y(xU)y \neq yxy$ , we have a contradiction in either case. Hence, as asserted,  $x$  can be chosen so that it fixes at least 5 letters.

Now let  $x$  be any element of  $G$  fixing at least 5 letters. We assert that  $xU$  fixes the same letters as  $x$ . Suppose, in fact, that  $x$  fixes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, but that  $xU$  moves 1. Then, changing notation if necessary,  $1(xU) \neq 1, 2, 3$ , or 4. Now

$$\begin{aligned} xU &= [(12)(34)x(12)(34)]U = (12)(34)(xU)(12)(34), \\ (xU)(12)(34) &= (12)(34)(xU). \end{aligned}$$

But the left side sends 1 into  $1(xU)$ , while the right sends it into  $2(xU)$ . This contradiction proves that  $xU$  fixes all letters fixed by  $x$ . Consideration of  $U^{-1}$  shows that  $xU$  fixes the same letters as  $x$ .

Let  $x$  fix at least 5 letters, and  $xU \neq x$ . For some letter  $i$ ,  $ix = j$ ,  $i(xU) = k$ ,  $k \neq j$ . The preceding paragraph implies that  $i \neq j$ . Let  $r \neq i$  or  $j$ . Now the element  $(rij)x(rij)$  fixes  $r$  and all letters fixed by  $x$ , hence at least 5 letters altogether. However  $(rij)(xU)(rij) = [(rij)x(rij)]U$  does not fix  $r$ . This contradicts the preceding paragraph. Thus the theorem is true.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $A(X) \subset G \subset S(X)$  and  $T$  is a semi-automorphism of  $G$ , then there is an element  $z \in N_{S(X)}(G)$  such that either  $T$  is the automorphism  $T_*$  (induced by conjugation by  $z$ ) or the anti-automorphism  $T_*(-I)$ .*

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Flora Dinkines, *Semi-automorphisms of symmetric and alternating groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1951), 478-486.
2. I. N. Herstein and M. F. Ruchte, *Semi-automorphisms of groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1958), 145-150.
3. W. R. Scott, *Group theory*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1964.

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH