

ON COMPOSITE ABSTRACT HOMOGENEOUS POLYNOMIALS¹

MORRIS MARDEN

1. Introduction. In this note we study the nullsets of abstract homogeneous polynomials (a.h.p.) which are derived from given a.h.p.'s by certain kinds of composition.

Except when otherwise indicated, these polynomials are defined from E to K where K is an algebraically closed field (of characteristic zero) and E is a linear vector space over K . If P_n denotes the family of all of a.h.p. of degree n , then by definition [1, pp. 760-763] $P \in P_n$ implies that for all $x, y \in E$ and $s, t \in K$,

$$(1.1) \quad P(sx + ty) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n,k} A_k(x, y) s^k t^{n-k}$$

where $C_{n,k} = n!/k!(n-k)!$ and where the $A_k(x, y) \in K$, the A_k being independent of s and t . The n th polar of P is defined from $E \times E \times \cdots \times E$ to K , as the form $\mathcal{O}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ which is linear in each x_k , symmetric in the set $\{x_k\}$ and such that

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{O}(x, x, \dots, x) = P(x)$$

for all $x \in E$. In terms of the n th polar the coefficients A_k of P are given by the formulas

$$(1.3) \quad A_k(x, y) = [\mathcal{O}(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_{j \leq k} = x, x_{j > k} = y].$$

If $P \in P_n$, the nullset Z_P of P corresponding to given $x, y \in E$, namely

$$(1.4) \quad Z_P = \{sx + ty : P(sx + ty) = 0, sx + ty \neq 0\},$$

belongs to sets that we shall specify by inequalities involving Hermitian symmetric forms $H(x, y)$. These forms may be defined as in the complex plane, since we may write $K = K_0(i)$ where K_0 is a maximally ordered subfield of K and $-i^2$ is the unit element in K and since therefore with each $\kappa \in K$ we may associate a "conjugate" element $\bar{\kappa} \in K$. Thus $H(x, y)$ satisfies the three requirements: $H(x, y) \in K$ for all $x, y \in E$, $H(x, y)$ is linear in x for any fixed y and

$$(1.5) \quad H(y, x) = \overline{H(x, y)}.$$

Presented to the Society August 30, 1968; received by the editors September 26, 1968.

¹ Work on this paper was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant GP-5516.

The general aim of the present note is to describe the nullset of a composite a.h.p. R relative to the nullsets of the a.h.p. from which R was derived. The results obtained are analogous to some well-known theorems on the zeros of composite polynomials in the complex plane.

2. An apolarity-like relation. To aid our study of the composites of two a.h.p. P and Q with P given by (1.1) and Q given similarly by

$$(2.1) \quad Q(sx + ty) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n,k} B_k(x, y) x^k t^{n-k},$$

we introduce an operator $\Phi(P, Q; x, \xi)$ defined by the relation

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Phi(P, Q; sx + ty; \sigma\xi + \tau\eta) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k C_{n,k} A_k(x, y) B_{n-k}(\xi, \eta) s^k t^{n-k} \sigma^{n-k} \tau^k. \end{aligned}$$

So defined, $\Phi(P, Q; x, \xi)$ is an n th degree a.h.p. in x and in ξ . It is a linear functional of P and of Q that has the following properties for $x, y, \xi, \eta \in E; s, t, \sigma, \tau \in K$:

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(P, Q; sx + ty; \sigma\xi + \tau\eta) \in K,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \Phi(Q, P; \xi, x) = (-1)^n \Phi(P, Q; x, \xi),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi(P, Q; sx + tx; \sigma\xi + \tau\eta) = P(x)Q(\sigma t\xi - \tau s\eta).$$

The relations (2.3) and (2.4) are obvious. To deduce (2.5), we note that, since $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $P(sx + tx) = (s+t)^n P(x)$ and thus $A_k(x, x) = P(x)$, for all $x \in E$ and $k=0, 1, \dots, n$. Hence, from (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(P, Q; sx + tx; \sigma\xi + \tau\eta) &= P(x) \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k C_{n,k} B_{n-k}(\xi, \eta) s^k t^{n-k} \sigma^{n-k} \tau^k \\ &= P(x)Q(\sigma t\xi - \tau s\eta). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we learn from (2.5) that, for all $x, \xi \in E$,

$$(2.6) \quad \Phi(P, Q; sx + tx; s\xi + t\xi) = 0.$$

The operator Φ has another property which we now state using the assumption that K is algebraically closed and that hence we may write Q in the form

$$(2.7) \quad Q(s\xi + t\eta) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\delta_j s - \gamma_j t),$$

where $\delta_j \equiv \delta_j(\xi, \eta) \in K$ and $\gamma_j \equiv \gamma_j(\xi, \eta) \in K$ for $\xi, \eta \in E$.

THEOREM (2.1). *Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $Q(x)$ written in form (2.7). Then for any $\xi, \eta \in E$*

$$(2.8) \quad \Phi(P, Q; \xi + \eta; \xi + \eta) = \mathcal{O}(\gamma_1\xi + \delta_1\eta, \gamma_2\xi + \delta_2\eta, \dots, \gamma_n\xi + \delta_n\eta).$$

Thus, if Theorem (2.1) is valid, also

$$(2.8)' \quad \Phi(P, Q; sx + ty, sx + ty) = \mathcal{O}(s\gamma_1x + t\delta_1y, \dots, s\gamma_nx + t\delta_ny).$$

By (2.4), if $P(sx + ty) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\beta_k s - \alpha_k t)$,

$$(2.8)'' \quad \Phi(P, Q; sx + ty, sx + ty) = (-1)^n \mathcal{Q}(s\alpha_1x + t\beta_1y, \dots, s\alpha_nx + t\beta_ny).$$

PROOF. From (2.1) and (2.7), follows that

$$(2.9) \quad C_{n,k}B_k(\xi, \eta) = (-1)^{n-k}S_k$$

where S_k is the sum of all products obtained from $[\delta_1\delta_2 \dots \delta_k\gamma_{k+1}\gamma_{k+2} \dots \gamma_n]$ by permuting the subscripts $1, 2, \dots, n$ in all possible ways. On the other hand, using the fact that $\mathcal{O}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is linear in each x_n , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{O}(\gamma_1\xi + \delta_1\eta, \dots, \gamma_n\xi + \delta_n\eta) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n S_{n-k} [\mathcal{O}(x_1, \dots, x_n): x_{j \leq k} = \xi, x_{j > k} = \eta] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k C_{n,k} B_{n-k}(\xi, \eta) A_k(\xi, \eta) = \Phi(P, Q; \xi + \eta; \xi + \eta). \end{aligned}$$

The last line follows from (2.9) and (1.3).

3. Theorems on composite a.h.p. We now prove a number of theorems on the nullsets of composite a.h.p. The first is analogous to a corollary of Grace's theorem [2, pp. 62–63], cf. also [3].

THEOREM (3.1). *Let $H(x, y)$ be a Hermitian symmetric form and let*

$$(3.1) \quad E_1 = \{x \in E: H(x, x) \leq 0, x \neq 0\}.$$

Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and let Z_P and Z_Q be the nullsets of P and Q respectively corresponding to given $\xi, \eta \in E$. If $Z_P \subset E_1$ and if Q be such that

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi(P, Q; \xi + \eta; \xi + \eta) = 0,$$

then

$$Z_Q \cap E_1 \neq \emptyset.$$

PROOF. If on the contrary $Z_Q \cap E_1 = \emptyset$, then $Z_Q \subset E - E_1$. That is, writing $Q(x)$ as in (2.7) with the given ξ, η , we conclude that

$$\gamma_k\xi + \delta_k\eta \in E - E_1 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We may now apply the following theorem due to Hörmander [3]: If $P(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in E - E_1$, then also $\mathcal{O}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$ for all $x_k \in E - E_1$. We conclude that

$$\mathcal{O}(\gamma_1 \xi + \delta_1 \eta, \gamma_2 \xi + \delta_2 \eta, \dots, \gamma_n \xi + \delta_n \eta) \neq 0,$$

a result which contradicts (3.2) in view of Theorem (2.1). Thus, Theorem (3.1) has been established.

We next develop a theorem analogous to a composition theorem in the complex plane due to Szegő [2, pp. 65–66], namely: Let $P(z) = \sum_0^n C_{n,k} A_k z^k$, $Q(z) = \sum_0^n C_{n,k} B_k z^k$, $R(z) = \sum_0^n C_{n,k} A_k B_k z^k$. Let Γ be any circular region containing the zeros of P . Then every zero of R has the form $(-\beta\gamma)$ where β is a zero of Q and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. A counterpart in vector space is the following:

THEOREM (3.2). Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $R \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$ be defined by (1.1) and (2.1) and

$$(3.3) \quad R(sx + ty) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k C_{n,k} A_k(x, y) B_k(x, y) s^{2k} t^{2(n-k)}.$$

Corresponding to given $x, y \in E$ such that $Q(x)Q(y) \neq 0$, let Z_P, Z_Q and Z_R be the nullsets of P, Q and R respectively. Let E_1 be defined by (3.1). If $Z_P \subset E_1$ and if $(\mu x + \nu y) \in Z_R$, then $(\mu^2 x + \nu^2 y)$ belongs to the set $\{\alpha \gamma x + \beta \delta y\}$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in K$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \alpha x + \beta y \in E_1, \quad \gamma x + \delta y \in Z_Q.$$

PROOF. Let $(\mu x + \nu y)$ be any zero of R . That is,

$$(3.5) \quad R(\mu x + \nu y) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k C_{n,k} A_k(x, y) B_k(x, y) \mu^{2k} \nu^{2(n-k)} = 0.$$

Writing Q as in (2.1) and (2.7) and noting that

$$\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_n \delta_1 \delta_2 \dots \delta_n = (-1)^n B_n(x, y) B_0(x, y) = (-1)^n Q(x)Q(y) \neq 0,$$

we define $Q^* \in \mathcal{P}_n$ by the relations

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} Q^*(sx + ty) &= \sum_{k=0}^n C_{n,k} B_k^*(x, y) s^k t^{n-k} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n (\delta_k^* s - \nu_k^* t) \end{aligned}$$

with $\delta_k^* = \nu^2 \delta_k^{-1}$ and $\gamma_k^* = \mu^2 \gamma_k^{-1}$. Using notation similar to (2.9), we find

$$\delta_1 \delta_2 \dots \delta_n \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_n S_k^* = \nu^{2k} \mu^{2(n-k)} S_{n-k}.$$

Hence

$$B_0(x, y)B_n(x, y)B_k^*(x, y) = \nu^{2k}\mu^{2(n-k)}B_{n-k}(x, y).$$

We may now write equation (3.5) as

$$R(\mu x + \nu y) = B_0(x, y)B_n(x, y) \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k C_{n,k} A_k(x, y) B_{n-k}^*(x, y) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\Phi(P, Q^*; x + y, x + y) = 0.$$

From Theorem (3.1), we now infer that at least one zero $\alpha x + \beta y$ of Q^* lies on E_1 . From (3.6), for some value of k

$$\alpha = \gamma_k^* = \mu^2 \gamma_k^{-1}, \quad \beta = \delta_k^* = \nu^2 \delta_k^{-1}.$$

That is, if $\mu x + \nu y \in Z_R$, then

$$\mu^2 x + \nu^2 y \in \{\alpha \gamma x + \beta \delta y: \alpha x + \beta y \in E_1, \gamma x + \delta y \in Z_Q\}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem (3.2).

4. Polynomials on vectors to vectors. So far we have considered a.h.p. which assume values in a field K . We now extend Theorem (3.1) to a.h.p. which assume values on a supportable subset of a vector space G . A subset M of G is said to be *supportable* if to every $\zeta \in G - M$ there corresponds a linear form $L(w)$ such that $L(\zeta) = 0$ but $L(w) \neq 0$ for $w \in M$. (See [3].)

THEOREM (4.1). *Let E and G be vector spaces over K and let M be a supportable subset of G . Let P and Q be a.h.p. defined on E to G . Corresponding to given $\xi, \eta \in E$, let*

$$\begin{aligned} E_P &= \{s\xi + t\eta \in E: P(s\xi + t\eta) \in M\}, \\ E_Q &= \{s\xi + t\eta \in E: Q(s\xi + t\eta) \in M\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $E_P = E - E_1$ where E_1 is defined by (3.1). For some $\zeta_0 \in G - M$ and the corresponding linear form $L_0(w)$, let Q be such that

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi(L_0(P), L_0(Q); \xi + \eta, \xi + \eta) = 0.$$

Then

$$(4.2) \quad (E - E_P) \cap (E - E_Q) \neq \emptyset.$$

REMARK. Theorem (4.1) is trivial if either $E_P = \emptyset$ or $E_Q = \emptyset$.

PROOF. For every $\zeta \in G - M$ and its corresponding $L(w)$, both $L(P(x))$ and $L(Q(x))$ are a.h.p. of degree n and

$$(4.3) \quad L(P(x)) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in E_P, \quad L(Q(x)) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in E_Q.$$

Hence, $Z_{L(P)} \subset E - E_P$, $Z_{L(Q)} \subset E - E_Q$. Since (4.1) holds, Theorem (3.1) implies (4.2), as was to be proved.

REFERENCES

1. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semigroups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1957.
2. M. Marden, *Geometry of polynomials*, Math. Surveys No. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1966.
3. L. Hörmander, *On a theorem of Grace*, Math. Scand. 2 (1954), 55–64.
4. M. Marden, *A generalization of a theorem of Bôcher*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. (Walsh Jubilee Issue) 3 (1966), 269–275.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE