## A NONOSCILLATION THEOREM FOR A NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

J. W. HEIDEL

In this paper we consider the real-valued solutions of the equation

$$y'' + q(t)y^{\gamma} = 0$$

where  $q(t) \ge 0$  and continuous on some half line  $[a, \infty)$  and  $\gamma$  satisfies  $0 < \gamma = p/q < 1$  where p, q are odd integers. Our purpose is to give conditions under which all solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory. The result we give is similar to that given by Atkinson [1] for the case  $\gamma > 1$  but the proof is different.

The restriction to  $\gamma = p/q$  where p and q are odd is significant. For example, if q is even and p odd, then oscillatory solutions are not real-valued. If p is even and q odd, then all nonzero solutions are trivially nonoscillatory. Similar problems arise if  $\gamma$  is irrational.

We begin with some definitions and basic facts. A solution of (1) is said to be extendable (continuable) if it exists on some half line  $[b, \infty)$ . Since  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , all solutions of (1) are extendable. This follows from a theorem of Wintner [3, p. 29]. A nontrivial solution of (1) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros. Otherwise, a solution is called nonoscillatory, i.e., if it is of one sign for large t. Since  $\gamma$  is restricted to be odd, solutions with real initial conditions are real-valued and the negative of a solution is again a solution.

For the sake of completeness we state some related results. Ličko and Švec [5] have shown that all solutions of (1) are oscillatory if and only if  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s^{\gamma}q(s)ds = \infty$ . Belohorec [2] has shown the following. If there exists a number  $\beta$ ,  $0 < \beta < (1-\gamma)/2$ , such that  $f(t)t^{(3+\gamma)/(2+\beta)} \uparrow K_1 < \infty$ , then all nontrivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory. If  $f(t)t^{(3+\gamma)/2} \downarrow K_2 > 0$ , then (1) has both (nontrivial) oscillatory and nonoscillatory solutions. For similar results pertaining to the case  $1 < \gamma$ , see [1] and [4].

We can now state our major result. Its proof will be preceded by three lemmas.

THEOREM. If  $q(t) \in C'[a, \infty)$ , q(t) > 0 and  $q'(t) \le 0$  for  $t \ge a$  and if  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} sq(s)ds < \infty$ , then (1) has no oscillatory solutions.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} sq(s)ds < \infty$  and let K > 0 be given. Then

Presented to the Society November 9, 1968; received by the editors June 24,1968.

there is a  $t_0 \ge a$  and a solution y(t) of (1) defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$  such that  $K/2 \le y(t) \le K$  for  $t \ge t_0$  and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = K$ .

PROOF. Our proof is a modification of a proof given in [1]. Consider the integral equation

(2) 
$$\psi(t) = K - \int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)q(s)(\psi(s))^{\gamma} ds.$$

Let  $t_0$  be such that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} (s-t_0)q(s)ds < \min\{(K^{1-\gamma})/2, [\gamma(2/K)^{1-\gamma}]^{-1}\}.$$

To prove the lemma it suffices to show that (2) has a solution  $\psi(t)$  such that  $K/2 \le \psi(t) \le K$ .

Let  $\psi_0(t) \equiv K$ ,  $t \ge t_0$ , and

$$\psi_{n+1}(t) = K - \int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)q(s)(\psi_{n}(s))^{\gamma}ds, \qquad t \geq t_{0}.$$

Then  $K/2 \le \psi_n(t) \le K$  for  $t \ge t_0$ . Note that  $F(\psi) = \psi^{\gamma}$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition for  $K/2 \le \psi \le K$  with Lipschitz constant  $\gamma(2/K)^{1-\gamma}$ . Therefore

$$\left| (\psi_{n+1}(t))^{\gamma} - (\psi_n(t))^{\gamma} \right| \leq \gamma (2/K)^{1-\gamma} \left| \psi_{n+1}(t) - \psi_n(t) \right|$$

for  $t \ge t_0$  and

$$|\psi_{n+1}(t) - \psi_n(t)| \le \gamma (2/K)^{1-\gamma} \max_{t \ge t_0} |\psi_n(t) - \psi_{n-1}(t)| \int_t^{\infty} (s-t)q(s)ds$$

also for  $t \ge t_0$ . This shows that  $\psi_n(t) \to \psi(t)$  uniformly on  $[t_0, \infty)$  and hence  $\psi(t)$  is a solution of (2) satisfying  $K/2 \le \psi(t) \le K$  for  $t \ge t_0$ .

LEMMA 2. Suppose that  $q(t) \in C'[a, \infty)$ , q(t) > 0 and  $q'(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq a$ . Let y(t) be a nontrivial oscillatory solution of (1). Let  $\{t_n\}$  be a sequence of consecutive relative maxima of |y(t)| such that  $n > m \Rightarrow t_n > t_m$ . Then  $|y(t_n)|$  is nondecreasing as n increases and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = \infty$ .

PROOF. Multiply (1) by y'(t)/q(t) and integrate from  $t_n$  to  $t_{n+1}$  to obtain

$$\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \frac{(y'(s))^2}{2} \cdot \frac{q'(s)}{(q(s))^2} ds + \frac{(y(t_{n+1}))^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma+1} - \frac{(y(t_n))^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma+1} = 0.$$

Since  $q'(t) \leq 0$ , we get  $|y(t_{n+1})| \geq |y(t_n)|$ .

Note that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \infty$  is not immediate because global unique-

ness for initial value problems does not hold in the case  $\gamma < 1$ . Suppose that  $\lim_{m\to\infty} t_n = t^* < \infty$ . Since |y(t)| is increasing at its relative maxima, we can apply the mean value theorem to get a sequence  $\{s_n\} \to t^*$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |y'(s_n)| = \infty$ . But this contradicts the fact that y(t) exists on  $[a, \infty)$ .

LEMMA 3. Let u(t), v(t), w(t) be solutions of (1) satisfying  $0 \le u(t)$   $\le v(t) \le w(t)$  for  $t' \le t \le t''$ . Define  $\phi(t)$  by

$$\phi(t) = (w - v)(v' - u') - (v - u)(w' - v').$$

Then  $\phi(t') \ge \phi(t'')$ .

PROOF. The statement and proof of this lemma are adapted from Lemma 1 of [6]. Note that in our case

$$(v^{\gamma}-u^{\gamma})(w-u) \geq (w^{\gamma}-u^{\gamma})(v-u).$$

PROOF OF THEOREM. Suppose to the contrary that  $y_1(t)$  is an oscillatory solution of (1). Let  $\{t_n\}$  be the sequence of consecutive relative maxima of  $|y_1(t)|$ . Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \infty$  and  $0 < \lim_{n\to\infty} |y_1(t_n)| \equiv L \le \infty$  by Lemma 2.

Let 0 < K < L and let  $y_2(t)$  be a solution of (1) such that  $y_2(t) \uparrow K$  as  $t \to \infty$  (by Lemma 1). Then we can find two points t', t'' such that the following situation occurs:  $0 < y_1(t') = y_2(t')$ ,  $0 < y_1(t'') = y_2(t'')$ , and  $0 < y_2(t) < y_1(t)$  for t' < t < t''. If we now set  $u(t) \equiv 0$ ,  $v(t) = y_2(t)$ , and  $w(t) = y_1(t)$ , we see that  $\phi(t') < \phi(t'')$  ( $\phi(t)$  is defined in Lemma 3). But this contradicts Lemma 3. This proves the theorem.

REMARK. The question arises as to whether the conditions q(t) > 0,  $q'(t) \le 0$  are necessary in the theorem. We conjecture that the weaker condition  $q(t) \ge 0$  is not sufficient. However, this weaker condition is sufficient for the following corollary.

COROLLARY. If  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} sq(s)ds < \infty$  and if y(t) is an oscillatory solution of (1), then  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} y'(t) = 0$ .

PROOF. The fact that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$  follows from the proof of the theorem. Given  $\epsilon > 0$  pick  $t_0$  so large that  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(s)ds < 1$  and  $|y(t)|^{\gamma} < \epsilon$  for  $t \ge t_0$ . Since y(t) is oscillatory we may suppose that  $y'(t_0) = 0$ . Therefore, by integrating (1) from  $t_0$  to t we get

$$|y'(t)| \le \epsilon \int_{t_0}^t q(s) < \epsilon, \quad t \ge t_0.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary it follows that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y'(t) = 0$  (see also [2, Theorem 2]).

## REFERENCES

- 1. F. V. Atkinson, On second-order non-linear oscillations, Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 643-647.
- 2. Štefan Belohorec, On some properties of the equation  $y'' + f(x)y^{\alpha}(x) = 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , Mat. Časopis Sloven. Akad. Vied. 17 (1967), 10-19.
  - 3. Philip Hartman, Ordinary differential equations, Wiley, New York, 1964.
- **4.** I. T. Kiguradze, On conditions for the oscillation of solutions of the equation  $u'' + a(t) |u|^n \operatorname{sgn} u = 0$ , Časopis Pěst. Mat. 87 (1962), 492–495. (Russian)
- 5. Imrich Ličko and Marko Švec, Le charactère oscillatoire des solutions de l'équation  $y^{(n)} + f(x)y^{\alpha} = 0$ , n > 1, Czechoslovak Math. J. 88 (1963), 481–491.
- 6. R. A. Moore and Z. Nehari, Nonoscillation theorems for a class of nonlinear differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1959), 30-52.

University of Tennessee