

A GRONWALL INEQUALITY FOR LINEAR STIELTJES INTEGRALS

J. V. HEROD

This paper provides a Gronwall type inequality which includes the one found by Schmaedeke and Sell [4].

Suppose that S is an interval of real numbers containing zero and OB is the collection of functions from S to the real numbers each member of which is of bounded variation on each finite interval of S . The numeral 1 will also denote the constant function from S which has only the value 1; if x is in S , then 1_x denotes the function from S which has the value 1 at x and the value 0 elsewhere; and 0_x denotes the function $1 - 1_x$. Let J be a function from OB to the collection of functions from $S \times S$ to the real numbers having the following properties: if each of f and g is in OB and $\{x, y, z\}$ is in $S \times S \times S$ then

(1) $J[f](x, y) + J[g](x, y) = J[f+g](x, y),$

(2) if r is a number then $J[r \cdot f](x, y) = r \cdot J[f](x, y),$

(3) $J[f](x, y) + J[f](y, z) = J[f](x, z)$ provided that $x \leq y \leq z$ or $x \geq y \geq z,$

(4) $J[f](x, z) \geq 0$ provided that $f(y) \geq 0$ for $x \leq y \leq z$ or $x \geq y \geq z,$ and

(5) if x is in S and $x \geq 0$ then each of $J[0_x](x, x^+)$ and $J[1_x](x^-, x)$ is less than 1; whereas, if x is in S and $x \leq 0$ then each of $J[1_x](x^+, x)$ and $J[0_x](x, x^-)$ is less than 1.

THEOREM. *If J satisfies properties (1)–(5), there is a function m from $S \times S$ to the real numbers having the following properties:*

(i) $m(x, y) \geq 1$ for each $\{x, y\}$ in $S \times S,$

(ii) $m(x, y) \cdot m(y, z) = m(x, z)$ provided that $x \leq y \leq z$ or $x \geq y \geq z,$

(iii) $m(0, x) = 1 + J[m(0, \cdot)](0, x)$ for each x in $S,$ and

(iv) if f is in $OB,$ P is a number, and $f(x) \leq P + J[f](0, x)$ for each x in $S,$ then $f(x) \leq P$ for each x in $S.$

REMARK. It is the purpose of this remark to show a connection between the above theorem and one of Schmaedeke and Sell. In [4], they investigate an inequality similar to that in part (iv) but use the mean Stieltjes integral and the Dushnik or interior integral (see also [3]). One-term approximating sums for these are indicated:

Received by the editors January 20, 1969.

$$(M) \int_x^y f dg \sim \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} \cdot [g(y) - g(x)]$$

and

$$(I) \int_x^y f dg \sim f(z) \cdot [g(y) - g(x)] \quad \text{where } x < z < y \text{ or } x > z > y.$$

If no member of S is negative, g is increasing and right continuous, and, for $x \leq y$, $J[f](x, y)$ is defined to be (M) $\int_x^y f dg$, with $J[f](y, x) = J[f](x, y)$, then J satisfies properties (1)–(4) and, also, property (5) in case $g(z) - g(z^-) < 2$ for all z different from zero. If P is a number, f is in OB , and $f(x) \leq P + (M) \int_0^x f dg$ for each x in S , then $f(x) \leq P + J[f](0, x)$ for each x in S , since no member of S is negative. This inequality includes the inequality of [4, p. 1219]. If, instead, J is defined in terms of the interior integral then properties (1)–(4) are, again, satisfied by J and property (5) makes no additional requirement due to the condition that g is right continuous. (See Remark 1 of [4].)

REMARK. With properties (1)–(3), a more familiar property which is equivalent to the conceptually simpler property (4) is

(4') if f is in OB and $\{x, z\}$ is in $S \times S$ and m is a number such that $|f(y)| \leq m$ for all y in S such that $x \leq y \leq z$ or $x \geq y \geq z$ then $|J[f](x, z)| \leq mJ[1](x, z)$ (compare [2, Axiom II]).

To see that (4) implies (4'), notice that each of $m + f(y)$ and $m - f(y)$ is nonnegative for $x \leq y \leq z$ or $x \geq y \geq z$; to see that (4') implies (4), notice that each of $J[1_x]$ and $J[0_x]$ has only nonnegative values and use the formulas in Theorem 1 and equation (24) of [2]. We shall use the fact that if f is in OB and $\{x, y\}$ is in $S \times S$ then $|J[f](x, y)| \leq J[|f|](x, y)$ which follows from properties (1)–(4).

INDICATION OF PROOF OF THEOREM. The proof of parts (i), (ii), and (iii) of the theorem is only a slight modification of the ideas developed by MacNerney in [2, Theorems 1 and 2] and used by the author in [1, Theorem 1.1]. For part (iv), suppose that f is in OB , P is a number, and $f(x) \leq P + J[f](0, x)$ for each x in S . Define a sequence h with values in OB as follows: $h_0 = f$ and, if n is a positive integer, then $h_n(x) = P + J[h_{n-1}](0, x)$ for each x in S . Let r be a function so that if x is in S then $r(x) = \int_0^x |d[h_2 - h_1]|$. Let L be a sequence so that if x is in S then $L_1(x) = r(x)$ and if n is a positive integer then $L_{n+1}(x) = J[L_n](0, x)$. If n is a positive integer and a is in S , then

$$0 \leq \sum_{p=1}^n L_p(a) \leq \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} L_p(a) \leq r(a) \cdot m(0, a).$$

Moreover, if x is in S and between 0 and a and n is a positive integer then $L_n(x) \leq L_n(a)$. Finally, if n is a positive integer and x is in S then $|h_{n+1}(x) - h_n(x)| \leq L_n(x)$. Thus the sequence h converges absolutely and, if a is in S , uniformly on the set of all numbers in S between 0 and a . Moreover, if $\lim h = U$ and a is in S , then $U(a) = P + J[U](0, a)$; and $U(x) = Pm(0, x)$ for each x in S . (To see this latter, recall [2, Theorems 2 and E].) We have, inductively, that if p is a positive integer and x is in S then $f(x) \leq h_p(x)$. Consequently, $f(x) \leq Pm(0, x)$.

[REMARK. Using [1, Lemma 1.1] and similar techniques to the ones indicated above, we may obtain a more general inequality for a function f which satisfies $f(x) \leq P + J[f](0, x) + g(x)$ where g is in OB and $g(0) = 0$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. V. Herod, *Solving integral equations by iteration*, Duke Math. J. **34** (1967), 519-534.
2. J. S. MacNerney, *A linear initial-value problem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 314-329.
3. S. Pollard, *The Stieltjes integral and its generalization*, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **49** (1920), 73-138.
4. W. W. Schmaedeke and G. R. Sell, *The Gronwall inequality for modified Stieltjes integrals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 1217-1222.

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY