

PSEUDO-UNIFORM CONVEXITY IN H^1

C. N. KELLOGG¹

1. Introduction. The following theorem is due to D. J. Newman [3]: if f_n, f are in H^1 of the unit circle, $\|f_n\| \rightarrow \|f\|_1$ and $f_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $|z| < 1$, then $\int |f_n - f| d\theta \rightarrow 0$. Newman refers to this property of H^1 as pseudo-uniform convexity.

Let A be a Dirichlet algebra on the compact Hausdorff space X and let $H^1(dm)$ be the closure of A in $L^1(dm)$ where m is a nonnegative finite Borel measure on X such that $f^{\wedge}(0) = \int_X f dm$ defines a multiplicative linear functional on A . For the necessary results concerning Dirichlet algebras, see [2, p. 54]. In some work on invariant subspaces [4], the question arose as whether or not $H^1(dm)$ was pseudo-uniformly convex. It was shown there that if f_n is a sequence in $H^1(dm)$ such that $\|f_n\|_1 \leq 1$ and $\int_X f_n dm \rightarrow 1$, then $\|f_n - 1\|_1 \rightarrow 0$. See also Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1965), p. 855.

The purpose of this paper is to present an argument for Newman's theorem which is independent of the interior of the unit circle and to give an example which is a result of our attempts to generalize the argument to the torus. The example shows that $H^1(dm)$ need not be pseudo-uniformly convex. We still do not know necessary and sufficient conditions in order that $H^1(dm)$ should be pseudo-uniformly convex.

2. Newman's Theorem.

THEOREM. *If f_n and f are in H^1 of the unit circle such that $\|f_n\|_1 \rightarrow \|f\|_1$ and $f_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $|z| < 1$, then $\|f_n - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.*

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|f_n\|_1 = \|f\|_1 = 1$ and that $\int f d\theta \neq 0$. Each f_n has a factorization $f_n = g_n h_n$, where g_n and h_n are in H^2 and $|g_n|^2 = |h_n|^2 = |f_n|$ almost everywhere with respect to $d\theta$, [2, p. 71]. Since the unit ball of H^2 is weakly compact, there exist g and h in H^2 such that $\|g\|_2 \leq 1$, $\|h\|_2 \leq 1$ and g and h are weak limit points of $\{g_n\}$ and $\{h_n\}$ respectively. By passing to subsequences, we may assume that $g_n \rightarrow g$ and $h_n \rightarrow h$ weakly. For p a nonnegative integer, we have

Received by the editors September 20, 1967.

¹ This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grant GP-7373 and the Kentucky Research Foundation.

$$\hat{g}_n(p) \rightarrow \hat{g}(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{h}_n(p) \rightarrow \hat{h}(p),$$

where $\hat{\phi}$, ($\phi \in L^1$), denotes the Fourier transform of ϕ .

Since

$$(\hat{g}_n \hat{h}_n)(p) = \sum_{i=0}^n \hat{g}_n(p-i) \hat{h}_n(i),$$

we have $\hat{f}_n(p) = (\hat{g}_n \hat{h}_n)(p) \rightarrow (\hat{g} \hat{h})(p)$. On the other hand, the hypothesis that $f_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $|z| < 1$ is equivalent to $\hat{f}_n(p) \rightarrow \hat{f}(p)$ (p an integer), [1]. Thus $f = gh$ and from the inequalities

$$1 = \int |f| d\theta = \int |gh| d\theta \leq \|g\|_2 \|h\|_2 \leq 1,$$

we conclude that $\|g\|_2 = \|h\|_2 = 1$. The uniform convexity of H^2 implies that

$$\|g_n - g\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|h_n - h\|_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

It is now clear that $\|f_n - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as required.

3. An example. Let T^2 denote the 2-dimensional torus and let Z^2 denote the set of lattice points in the plane. We shall regard Z^2 as the group of continuous characters on T^2 . We define an order on Z^2 by

$$(r, s) < (p, q) \quad \text{if } r < p \quad \text{or if } r = p \quad \text{and } s < q.$$

If P is the set of nonnegative lattice points (with respect to the above order), then P is a half-plane, see [2, p. 54]. The algebra of all continuous functions whose Fourier transforms vanish outside P is a Dirichlet algebra on T^2 . Also, $H^p(dm)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, is the set of all functions in L^p of the torus whose Fourier transforms are zero in the complement of P .

In order to simplify the notation, let

$$\theta(x, y) = e^{ix} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x, y) = e^{iy},$$

for $(x, y) \in T^2$. If n is a positive integer, we define g_n by

$$g_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k/2} (\phi^{k+n} + \theta \phi^{-k-n}).$$

Each g_n is clearly continuous on T^2 and $\|g_n\|_2 = 1$. Also, if $\hat{g}_n(p, q) \neq 0$, then $p=0$ and $q \geq n$ or $p=1$ and $q \leq -n$ and thus $g_n \in H^2(dm)$. As before, \hat{g}_n denotes the Fourier transform of g_n . We now let $f_n = g_n^2$ and

note that $f_n \in H^1(dm)$ and that $\|f_n\|_1 = 1$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$f_n = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-|k|/2} \theta \phi^k + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k/2} (k+1) (\phi^{2n+k} + \theta^2 \phi^{-2n-k}).$$

Consider the function f defined by

$$f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-|k|/2} \theta \phi^k.$$

It is clear that $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise and that $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly. Since

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k/2} \phi^k \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k/2} \phi^{-k} \right) \theta$$

and $1 = |f^{\wedge}(1, 0)| \leq \|f\|_1$, an application of the Schwarz inequality shows that $\|f\|_1 = 1$. However, f is not the norm limit of $\{f_n\}$ since

$$\|f - f_n\|_1 \geq |f^{\wedge}(0, 2n) - f_n^{\wedge}(0, 2n)| = \frac{1}{4}.$$

The example is now complete.

REFERENCES

1. K. DeLeeuw and W. Rudin, *Extreme points and extremum problems in H^1* , Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 467-485.
2. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.
3. D. J. Newman, *Pseudo-uniform convexity in H^1* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 676-679.
4. J. H. Wells and C. N. Kellogg, *Invariant subspaces*, Illinois J. Math. **10** (1966), 508-516.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY