# UPPER BOUNDS ON THE DIMENSION OF EXTENDIBILITY OF SUBMANIFOLDS IN C<sup>n</sup>

### STEPHEN J. GREENFIELD

1. Introduction. Suppose K is a subset of  $C^n$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}(K)$  is the collection of all functions holomorphic in a neighborhood of K. We say that K is extendible to a connected set K' of  $C^n$  if  $K \not\subseteq K'$ , and the natural restriction map from  $\mathfrak{R}(K')$  to  $\mathfrak{R}(K)$  is onto.

In the special case that K is a submanifold, M, of  $C^n$  it is interesting to ask for "geometric" conditions on M that insure results on extendibility. Such results can be proven for C-R submanifolds of  $C^n$ . These manifolds also have interesting applications to partial differential equations. (See [1], [2], for details of what follows.)

 $T(C^n) \otimes C$  has a splitting into two equal-dimensional subbundles,  $H(C^n)$  and  $A(C^n)$ , obtained from the complex structure of  $C^n$ .  $H(C^n)_p$  is generated by  $\partial/\partial z_j|_p$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and is called the holomorphic tangent bundle of  $C^n$ .  $A(C^n)$ , the antiholomorphic tangent bundle, is the conjugate of  $H(C^n)$  in  $T(C^n) \otimes C$ .

If M is a differentiable submanifold of  $C^n$ , M is called a C-R submanifold of  $C^n$  if  $H(M) = T(M) \otimes C \cap H(C^n)$  is a vector bundle. If M is a C-R manifold, then  $A(M) = T(M) \otimes C \cap A(C^n)$  is also a vector bundle.  $H(M) \cap A(M) = 0$ , and H(M) (resp. A(M)) is involutive. The Levi algebra of M,  $\mathfrak{L}(M)$ , is the Lie subalgebra of complex vector fields generated by sections of A(M) and H(M). We make the assumption that the dimension of  $\mathfrak{L}(M)$  is constant. Then  $\mathfrak{L}(M)$  is the algebra of sections of a vector bundle V, and  $V \supset H(M) + A(M)$ . Let  $e = \text{fiber dim}_C V/(H(M) + A(M))$ . e is called the excess dimension of  $\mathfrak{L}(M)$ , ex dim  $\mathfrak{L}(M)$ .

Now max  $(\dim M - n, 0) \le \text{fiber } \dim_C H(M) \le n$ . If fiber  $\dim_C H(M) = \max (\dim M - n, 0)$ , M is called generic. There are two results:

THEOREM (NIRENBERG AND WELLS [2]). If M is a compact generic C-R submanifold of  $C^n$ , and dim  $M \leq n$ , then M is not extendible.

THEOREM ([1]). If M is a generic C-R submanifold of C<sup>n</sup>, and dim M > n, then M is locally extendible to a set containing a differentiable manifold N, with dim  $N = \dim M + e$ . If e = 0, then M is locally holomorphically convex.

(We say a set is locally extendible if each sufficiently small open subset of it is extendible. A set K is locally holomorphically convex if, for any  $p \in K$ ,  $K \cap B$  is not extendible, for B a sufficiently small open ball in  $C^n$  centered at p.)

In the following section we prove a stronger version of the second theorem above for real analytic C-R submanifolds of C<sup>n</sup>, by removing the restriction "generic" and establishing an upper bound on the dimension of local extendibility. We comment on the possibility of proving the theorem for differentiable C-R submanifolds.

Conversations with Professor Hugo Rossi during the preparation of this paper proved invaluable.

2. **Real analytic** C-R **submanifolds.** If M is a C-R submanifold of  $C^n$ , then the C-R codimension of M, C-R codim M, is dim M—fiber dim H(M). If M is generic and dim  $M \ge n$ , then codim M in  $C^n = C$ -R codim M.

If (M, H(M)) and (M', H(M')) are C-R manifolds, a C-R map  $f: M \rightarrow M'$  is a differentiable map so that  $df(H(M)) \subset H(M')$ . If M is a C-R submanifold of C<sup>n</sup>, the restriction of any element of  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  to M is a C-R map from M to C.

THEOREM. Let M be a nontrivial real analytic C-R submanifold of  $C^n$  (so  $H(M) \neq 0$ ). If e = 0, M is locally holomorphically convex. If e > 0, M is locally extendible to a set containing a manifold N, with dim  $N = \dim M + e$ . M is not locally extendible to a set of dimension greater than dim M + e.

PROOF. Suppose M is a real analytic C-R submanifold of  $C^n$ , and dim M = k, and C-R codim M = l. Then, if we select  $p \in M$ , we can find  $m = \frac{1}{2}(k+l)$  linear combinations  $S_1, \ldots, S_m$  of the coordinate functions  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  so that the map  $S: C^n \to C^m$  given by  $S = (S_1, \ldots, S_m)$  imbeds M near p as a generic C-R submanifold of  $C^m$ . We restrict our attention to that part of M which is imbedded generically. Then (using a complexification argument due to Tomassini [3]) any real analytic C-R map  $f: M \to C$  is the restriction of a holomorphic function defined in a neighborhood of S(M) in  $C^m$ .

If we suppose ex dim  $\mathfrak{L}(M) = e > 0$ , then ex dim  $\mathfrak{L}(S(M)) = e$ . We must show that M is extendible to a set L containing an (e+k) dimensional manifold. If  $f \in \mathfrak{K}(M)$ , then  $f|_{M} \colon M \to C$  is a C-R map. So there is  $f^* \in \mathfrak{K}(S(M))$  with  $f^*|_{S(M)} = f|_{M} \circ S^{-1}|_{S(M)}$ .  $f^*$  extends to a set  $L^*$  (since S(M) is generic) and  $L^*$  contains an (e+k) dimensional manifold. Consider now the functions  $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathfrak{K}(M)$ . There are associated  $z_1^*, \ldots, z_n^* \in \mathfrak{K}(S(M))$  which extend to  $L^*$ . We define L: P

 $=(p_1,\ldots,p_n)\in L$  when there is  $q\in L^*$  with  $p_j=z_j^*(q)$ ,  $1\leq j\leq n$ . By the way we constructed the map S, we see that L must contain an (e+k) dimensional manifold since  $L^*$  does. We define an extension of f to L by taking the extension of  $f^*$  and transporting back to L. By the way L was constructed this is insured to be an analytic function of  $z_1,\ldots,z_n$ .

If e=0, a similar argument will show that M is locally holomorphically convex. Or, there is also a simple complexification argument for this case.

To complete the remaining assertions of the theorem, we show that there is a real analytic C-R manifold, N, with dim N = (k+e), and ex dim  $\mathfrak{L}(N) = 0$ , so that

$$M \xrightarrow{i} C^n$$

$$N$$

(a diagram of C-R maps) commutes, and each map is of maximal rank (i is the natural imbedding). The germ of N at M is called the germ of the minimal flattening of M in  $C^n$ , and is unique.

How to obtain N: consider the 'abstract' complexification of M,  $M_C$ .  $M_C$  is a complex manifold with M a totally real, real analytic submanifold of  $M_C$ , and  $\dim_C M_C = \dim M$ . ("Totally real" means "having no holomorphic tangent vectors.")  $T(M)_p \otimes C = T(M_C)_p$ . We extend H(M) and A(M) to vector subbundles of  $T(M_C)$ , perhaps shrinking  $M_C$  as a neighborhood of M. Call these bundles H' and A'. Then A' is the conjugate of H', and H' (resp. A') is involutive. Let  $\mathcal{L}'$  be the Lie algebra generated by sections of H' and A'. Then  $\mathcal{L}'$  is a distribution of constant fiber dimension, since  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is. Let N be the union of all maximal integral submanifolds of  $\mathcal{L}'$  which intersect M. N is the desired 'locally flat' manifold. The map j is induced by  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  on M extended to  $M_C$  and restricted to N.

(By a similar method we could also construct the minimal complexification of M in  $C^n$ —the smallest germ of a complex submanifold of  $C^n$  containing M. Something like this is also done in Tomassini [3].)

So M is a subset of a locally holomorphically convex set N (since ex dim  $\mathfrak{L}(N) = 0$ ), with dim  $N = \dim M + e$ . Therefore M is not locally extendible to a set of dimension greater than dim M + e.

We can also prove a nongeneric extendibility theorem for differentiable C-R submanifolds with C-R codim = 1, using a result of Nirenberg and Wells. (Let  $\alpha(K)$  be the uniformly closed algebra of functions on K generated by restrictions to K of functions in  $\Re(K)$ .)

THEOREM [2]. If M is a differentiable hypersurface of  $C^n$ , and  $p \in M$ , then any sufficiently small compact neighborhood K of p in M has the following property: the uniformly closed algebra of functions generated by restriction to K of C-R functions on M is identical with  $\alpha(K)$ .

#### We also need:

Lemma. Suppose a compact set K is extendible to a compact set K'. Then every element of  $\alpha(K)$  is the restriction of a unique function in  $\alpha(K')$ .

## Then we can get:

THEOREM. Let M be a nontrivial C-R submanifold of  $C^n$ , with C-R codim = 1. If  $e = \exp \dim \mathfrak{L}(M)$  is 0, then M is locally holomorphically convex. If e = 1, M is locally extendible to a set containing a submanifold N, and  $\dim N = \dim M + 1$ . M is not locally extendible to a set of dimension greater than  $\dim M + 1$ . (Of course, e is 0 or 1.)

PROOF. Suppose dim M = k. Then, as before, we can find  $m = \frac{1}{2}(k+1)$  complex-valued C-R functions  $S_1, \ldots, S_m$  so that  $S = (S_1, \ldots, S_m)$  is a C-R imbedding of M as a hypersurface of  $C^m$  near some point  $p \in M$ . If e > 0, then S(M) is extendible to a set  $L^*$  containing a (k+1) dimensional manifold. To transport  $L^*$  back to  $C^n$ , proceed as in the real analytic case, but use the preceding theorem and lemma instead of the complexification argument.

The function  $z_j|_{M}(1 \le j \le n)$  is a C-R function; by restricting to a suitable compact neighborhood of p, we can find extensions  $z_j^*$  of  $z_j$  (considered on S(M)) to some compact subset of  $L^*$  containing a  $C^m$ -open set. These values of  $z_j^*$  furnish the desired subset L of  $C^n$ , and the extension of any function to L is obtained in the obvious way.

Since we see that functions in  $\mathcal{K}(M)$  are locally approximable on M (in small enough compact sets) by analytic functions in  $C^m$ , M is not locally extendible to a set of dimension greater than m.

If e = 0, then the theorem is given in [1].

Some points worthy of further investigation should be noted. Can the theorem of Nirenberg and Wells (closure of C-R functions =  $\mathfrak{C}(K)$ ) be generalized to higher codimensional submanifolds? Then we could prove theorems similar to the above in higher codimension. If a submanifold is locally holomorphically convex (resp. extendible to a set of dimension at most k) is it holomorphically convex (resp. extendible to a set of dimension at most k)? This is a higher codimensional analogue of the Levi problem, and seems to be difficult.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. S. Greenfield, Cauchy-Riemann equations in several variables, Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa 22 (1968), 275-314.
- 2. R. Nirenberg and R. O. Wells, Approximation theorems on differentiable submanifolds of a complex manifold, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (1969), 15-35.
- 3. G. Tomassini, Tracce delle funzioni olomorfe sulle sottovarietà analitiche reali d'una varietà complessa, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 20 (1966), 31-44.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY