

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF TOTALLY REGULAR [ $J, f(x)$ ] TRANSFORMS

DANY LEVIATAN AND LEE LORCH

**0. Introduction.** Our main object is to prove a necessary and sufficient condition for [ $J, f(x)$ ] summation methods to be totally regular (§1). But we take this opportunity to establish also an inclusion theorem for regular [ $J, f(x)$ ] means (§2).

A *totally regular* summation method is one which sums a sequence  $\{s_n\}$ ,  $n=0, 1, \dots$ , to  $s$ , whenever  $s_n \rightarrow s$ , both for  $s$  finite and for  $s$  infinite. Necessary and sufficient conditions for a triangular method to be totally regular were discovered by W. A. Hurwitz [5] who also characterized the totally regular Hausdorff methods [ $H, g$ ] as those generated by nondecreasing  $g(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , with  $g(0+) = g(0) = 0$  and  $g(1) = 1$ . Conditions for total regularity of general methods of summation are more complicated than those for a triangular method and were provided by H. Hurwitz [4]. Here we supply a corresponding result for [ $J, f(x)$ ] methods.

The [ $J, f(x)$ ] transform was introduced by Jakimovski [6] in the following way. Let  $f(x)$  be a function differentiable infinitely often in  $(0, \infty)$ . With a sequence  $\{s_n\}$ ,  $n=0, 1, \dots$ , associate the transform

$$(1) \quad t(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) s_n, \quad x > 0.$$

The sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is said to be summed to  $s$  by [ $J, f(x)$ ] if the sum on the right-hand side of (1) exists and if  $\lim t(x) = s$ ,  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . (If  $s$  is infinite we allow this sum to exist in the extended real number system.)

Some well-known methods are included in the [ $J, f(x)$ ] transform. The Borel exponential mean is obtained by taking  $f(x) = e^{-x}$ , and the Abel scale methods  $A_\gamma$  (see Borwein [2]) are generated by  $f(x) = (1+x)^{-\gamma-1}$ ,  $\gamma > -1$ , with  $\gamma = 0$  giving the Abel method. The Borel transform and the Abel scale methods are totally regular.

It was proved by Jakimovski [6] that the [ $J, f(x)$ ] transform is regular if and only if

$$(2) \quad f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ux} d\alpha(u), \quad x > 0,$$

---

Received by the editors April 14, 1969.

where

$$(3) \quad \alpha(u) \in BV[0, \infty), \quad \alpha(0+) = \alpha(0) = 0, \quad \alpha(\infty -) = 1.$$

For the Borel transform  $\alpha(u) = 0, 0 \leq u < 1; \alpha(u) = 1, 1 \leq u < \infty$ . For the Abel scale

$$\alpha(u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_0^u t^\gamma e^{-t} dt.$$

**I. The characterization.** We shall establish the following characterization of totally regular  $[J, f(x)]$  methods.

**THEOREM 1.** *A regular  $[J, f(x)]$  transform is totally regular if and only if  $\alpha(u)$  is nondecreasing.*

**PROOF.** Sufficiency is obvious. Conversely, suppose that the  $[J, f(x)]$  transform is totally regular; then, by H. Hurwitz [4, Theorem 6],

$$(4) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \left| \frac{x^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) \right| - \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) \right] = 0.$$

Hence for each  $v, 0 < v < \infty$ , we have

$$(5) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \leq vx} \left[ \left| \frac{x^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) \right| - \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) \right] = 0.$$

Since  $[J, f(x)]$  is regular,  $f(x)$  has the representation (2) where  $\alpha(u)$  satisfies (3). We may assume that  $\alpha(u)$  is normalized, that is,  $\alpha(u) = \frac{1}{2}[\alpha(u+) + \alpha(u-)]$ ,  $0 < u < \infty$ . Thus, [7, Theorem 7d, p. 295] for every  $t, 0 < t < \infty$ ,

$$(6) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \leq tx} \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) = \alpha(t).$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_x(0) &= 0, \\ \alpha_x(t) &= \sum_{n \leq tx} \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x), \quad 0 < t < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then the functions  $\alpha_x(t), 0 < x < \infty$ , are of uniformly bounded variation and, by (6),  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_x(t) = \alpha(t), 0 \leq t < \infty$ . Hence, for  $0 < v < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^v |d\alpha(t)| &\leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^v |d\alpha_x(t)| \\
&= \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \leq vx} \left| \frac{x^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) \right| \\
&\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \leq vx} \left| \frac{x^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) \right| \\
&\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty e^{-ux} \sum_{n \leq vx} \frac{(ux)^n}{n!} |d\alpha(u)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Using again [7, Theorem 7d, p. 295] we see that the last expression equals

$$\int_0^v |d\alpha(u)|.$$

Thus,

$$(7) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \leq vx} \left| \frac{x^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) \right| = \int_0^v |d\alpha(t)|.$$

Combining (5) and (7), it follows that

$$\int_0^v |d\alpha(u)| - \alpha(v) = 0, \quad 0 < v < \infty,$$

whence  $\alpha(u)$  is nondecreasing. This completes the proof.

**2. An inclusion theorem.** The following result deals with the relative strength of regular  $[J, f(x)]$  methods. It is an extension of Theorem 5.3 of [6].

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $[J, f(x)]$  is a regular transform and that  $\phi(t)$  satisfies (3). Let*

$$g(x) = \int_0^\infty f(xt) d\phi(t), \quad x > 0.$$

If

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} |f^{(n)}(x)| |s_n|$$

exists and is bounded in every finite interval  $0 \leq x \leq A$ , then  $[J, f(x)]$  summability of  $\{s_n\}$  to a finite  $s$  implies  $[J, g(x)]$  summability of  $\{s_n\}$  to  $s$ . In particular,  $[J, g(x)]$  is regular. Moreover, if  $\phi(t)$  is nondecreasing the same implication holds also for  $s$  infinite.

PROOF. It follows from our assumptions that  $g(x)$  is differentiable infinitely often, and that

$$g^{(n)}(x) = \int_0^\infty t^n f^{(n)}(xt) d\phi(t), \quad x > 0.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} g^{(n)}(x) s_n = \int_0^\infty \left[ \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-xt)^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(xt) s_n \right] d\phi(t).$$

Now, if  $s_n$  is  $[J, f(x)]$  summable to  $s$  and if the sum in the brackets above is bounded in every finite interval  $0 \leq xt \leq A$ , then it follows exactly as for continuous Hausdorff transformations (cf. [3, §11.18]) that  $s_n$  is  $[J, g(x)]$  summable to  $s$ . The rest of the proof is also straightforward.

The following immediate consequence of Theorem 2 is an extension of Theorem 5.4 of [6].

COROLLARY 1. *If the Borel transform of  $\{|s_n|\}$  is bounded in every finite interval, and if  $\{s_n\}$  is Borel summable to a finite  $s$ , then  $\{s_n\}$  is summable to  $s$  by all regular  $[J, f(x)]$  transformations. If  $s$  is infinite, then  $\{s_n\}$  is summable to  $s$  by all totally regular  $[J, f(x)]$  transformations.*

This implies in turn

COROLLARY 2. *Ordinary convergence is not equivalent to any  $[J, f(x)]$  method.*

PROOF. The sequence  $\{0, 1, 0, 1, \dots\}$  is bounded and Borel summable, hence summable by all regular  $[J, f(x)]$  methods. But it is divergent.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. K. Basu, *On the total relative strength of the Hölder and Cesàro methods*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **50** (1948-49), 447-462.
2. D. Borwein, *On a scale of Abel-type summability methods*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **53** (1957), 318-322.
3. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1949.

4. H. Hurwitz, Jr., *Total regularity of general transformations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (1940), 833–837.
5. W. A. Hurwitz, *Some properties of methods of evaluation of divergent sequences*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **26** (1927), 231–268.
6. A. Jakimovski, *The sequence-to-function analogues to Hausdorff transformations*, Bull. Res. Council Israel **8F** (1960), 135–154.
7. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace transform*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1946.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA AND  
YORK UNIVERSITY, TORONTO