ON NEARLY COMMUTATIVE NODAL ALGEBRAS IN CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

MICHAEL RICH

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider algebras satisfying the identity (I) x(xy) + (yx)x = 2(xy)x and show that there are no nodal algebras of this type over any field F of characteristic zero. The proof is obtained by first showing that if x is an element of a finite-dimensional algebra satisfying (I) over a field of characteristic zero then the operator L(x) - R(x) is nilpotent.

A finite-dimensional power-associative algebra A with identity 1 over a field F is called a nodal algebra [7] if every x in A is of the form $x = \alpha 1 + n$ where α is in F and n is nilpotent, and the set N of nilpotent elements of A does not form a subalgebra of A. Albert [1] has proved that there are no commutative nodal algebras over any field F of characteristic zero by showing that N forms a subalgebra. There do exist, however, examples of nodal algebras over fields of characteristic zero [2].

Algebras satisfying (I) have been studied by Kosier [5], Witthoft [8] and the author [6]. It should be noted that in linearized form (I) reduces to

(1)
$$x(zy) + z(xy) + (yx)z + (yz)x = 2(xy)z + 2(zy)x$$

and in operator form (I) is just

(2)
$$L(x)^{2} + R(x)^{2} = 2L(x)R(x)$$

or

(3)
$$L(x)(L(x) - R(x)) = (L(x) - R(x))R(x)$$

where L(x)(R(x)) is the operator which acts as follows: yL(x) = xy(yR(x) = yx).

In a commutative algebra L(x) - R(x) = 0. For algebras satisfying (1) we have the following.

THEOREM 1. Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra satisfying (I) over a field F of characteristic zero. Then for any element x the operator L(x) - R(x) is nilpotent.

Received by the editors June 27, 1969 and, in revised form, September 15, 1969. A MS Subject Classifications. Primary 1720.

Key Words and Phrases. Nodal algebra, power-associative, characteristic zero, nilpotent, finite-dimensional.

¹ The author is indebted to the referee for his suggestions in shortening the proof of Theorem 1.

PROOF. It is known [4, p. 43] that a transformation T on a finite-dimensional vector space V over a field of characteristic zero is nilpotent if all of its powers have trace zero; i.e., tr $T^n = 0$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$. We show that $T = (L(x) - R(x))^2$ is nilpotent. Consider $\operatorname{tr}[(L(x) - R(x))^m]$ for $m \ge 2$. Clearly,

$$\operatorname{tr}[(L(x) - R(x))^{m}] = \operatorname{tr}[L(x)(L(x) - R(x))(L(x) - R(x))^{m-2}] - \operatorname{tr}[R(x)(L(x) - R(x))^{m-2}(L(x) - R(x))]$$

now, by (3), L(x)(L(x) - R(x)) = (L(x) - R(x))R(x) and by the commutativity of the trace, $\text{tr}[R(x)(L(x) - R(x))^{m-2}(L(x) - R(x))] = \text{tr}[(L(x) - R(x))R(x)(L(x) - R(x)^{m-2})]$. Therefore,

$$tr[(L(x) - R(x))^{m}] = tr[(L(x) - R(x))R(x)(L(x) - R(x))^{m-2}]$$
$$- tr[(L(x) - R(x))R(x)(L(x) - R(x))^{m-2}]$$
$$= 0.$$

Therefore, $(L(x) - R(x))^2$ is nilpotent.

COROLLARY. For A as above, trL(x) = trR(x) for any element x.

It should be noted that if A is flexible then Theorem 1 is trivial since then (2) is just $(L(x) - R(x))^2 = 0$.

THEOREM 2. There do not exist any nodal algebras satisfying x(xy) + (yx)x = 2(xy)x over any field F of characteristic zero.

PROOF. Gerstenhaber [3, p. 29] has shown that in a commutative power-associative algebra over a field F of characteristic zero, the assumption that an element n is nilpotent implies that R(n) is nilpotent. If an algebra A is not commutative this result can be applied to A^+ where A^+ is the same vector space as A but multiplication in A^+ is given by: $x \cdot y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$, xy the multiplication in A. Thus, if a is nilpotent in A then $R(a)^+ = \frac{1}{2}(R(a) + L(a))$ is nilpotent and trR(a) + trL(a) = 0.

Now let A be a finite-dimensional power-associative algebra satisfying (I) over a field F of characteristic zero, every element a of A being of the form $a = \alpha 1 + n$ with n nilpotent. We show that the set N of nilpotent elements of A forms a subalgebra. As in [6, Theorem 1] write (1) in terms of operators to get,

(4)
$$R(y)L(x) + R(xy) + L(yx) + L(y)R(x) = 2L(xy) + 2R(y)R(x)$$
.

If we interchange x and y in (4) and subtract the result from (4) we have: [L(y), R(x)] + [R(y), L(x)] + R([x, y]) + L([y, x]) = 2L([x, y]) + 2[R(y), R(x)] (as usual [A, B] denotes AB - BA) which gives rise to:

(5)
$$\operatorname{tr}(R[x, y]) + \operatorname{tr} L([y, x]) = 2 \operatorname{tr} L([x, y]).$$

Let $[x, y] = -[y, x] = \alpha 1 + n$ with α in F and n nilpotent. By [3] tr L(n)+tr R(n) = 0. By the corollary tr L(n) = tr R(n). Therefore tr L(n) = tr R(n) = 0. Thus (5) reduces to: tr $R(\alpha 1)$ - tr $L(\alpha 1)$ = 2 tr $L(\alpha 1)$ or 2α dim A = 0. Therefore $\alpha = 0$. In particular, if x and y are in N then [x, y] is in N. But by [1] xy + yx is in N. Therefore xy and yx are in N, N is a subalgebra and A is not a nodal algebra.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. A. Albert, A theory of power-associative commutative algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1950), 503-527. MR 12, 475.
- 2. T. A. Anderson, A note on derivations of commutative algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 1199-1202. MR 34 #4309.
- 3. M. Gerstenhaber, On nilalgebras and linear varieties of nilpotent matrices. II, Duke Math. J. 27 (1960), 21-32. MR 22 #4742.
- 4. N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math. no. 10, Interscience, New York, 1962. MR 26 #1345.
- 5. F. Kosier, On a class of nonflexible algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1962), 299-318. MR 24 #A3187.
 - 6. M. Rich, On a class of nodal algebras, Pacific J. Math. 32 (1970).
- 7. R. D. Schafer, On non-commutative Jordan algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1958), 110-117. MR 21 #2677.
- 8. W. G. Witthoft, A class of nilstable algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1964), 413-422. MR 28 #4015.

TEMPLE UNIVERSITY